

Ok.
FILED

SEP 23 1974

LAURENCE P. HENNIGAN, Clerk

By John E. Lucas Deputy

RESOLUTION NUMBER 74 -130

(Federal Aid Urban Boundaries)

WHEREAS the City of Woodland has adopted an urban boundary and Federal Aid Urban System by their Resolution Number 2567;

AND WHEREAS the City of Davis has adopted an urban boundary and Federal Aid Urban System by their Resolution Number 1535, Series 1974;

AND WHEREAS said urban boundaries and system extend into the unincorporated areas surrounding the City Limits;

AND WHEREAS the Federal Aid Highway Act of 1973 provides for the establishment of Urban Boundaries and systems for the purpose of constructing streets and highways on the Federal Aid Urban System;

AND WHEREAS concurrence on the part of Yolo County with the proposed urban limits and system is required:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County hereby concur in the urban limits as proposed by the Cities of Woodland and Davis, maps of which are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS 23rd DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

John E. Lucas
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENNIGAN, CLERK

BY John E. Lucas DEPUTY
(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM

BY Chief County Counsel





FILED

SEP 30 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By JOE E. LUCAS
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-131

(Resolution Re-defining and Re-establishing
Boundaries of Certain Election Precincts)

WHEREAS, it is necessary to make changes in the boundaries
of the election precincts hereinafter designated; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has the authority to
change the boundaries of precincts pursuant to Elections Code
§ 1505; and

WHEREAS, the surveyor has, within ninety days after receipt
of the County Clerk's request, re-defined said precincts and has
prepared a map and description thereof, pursuant to Elections
Code § 1505; and

WHEREAS, the map and description have been filed with the
Board of Supervisors on September 26, 1974, in compliance with
Elections Code § 1502; and

WHEREAS, the boundaries of said precincts shall be as
set forth in the description of the election precincts as prepared
by the surveyor, which description is on file at the office of
the Board of Supervisors;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the
Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct.

2. The Board of Supervisors hereby orders that the bound-
aries of the following designated precincts are as set forth in
the description of the precinct prepared by the surveyor on file
at the office of the Board of Supervisors for the County of Yolo:

1. East Davis Precinct
2. Cacheville Precinct
3. Davis Precinct No. 31
4. East Davis No. 1 Precinct
5. Chiles Precinct
6. Haussler Precinct

- 1 7. Mace Precinct
2 8. Davis Precinct No. 29
3 9. Knights Landing No. 1. Precinct
4 10. East Davis No. 2 Precinct
5 11. Covell Precinct

6
7 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
8 County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of September
9 1974, by the following vote:

10 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

11 NOES: None.

12 ABSENT: None.

13 Wm. E. Duncan
14 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
15 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

16 ATTEST:

17 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

18 BY Pete E. Lucas
19 DEPUTY

20 (SEAL)
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

BK

FILED

OCT-3 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By... *[Signature]* Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-132

(Authorizing Stop Signs on Antioch Avenue at Davis Road)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354, and 21355 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorizes the designation of any intersection as a stop intersection requiring all vehicles to stop at one or more entrances to said intersection.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the intersection of Antioch Avenue and Davis Road is hereby designated a stop intersection and that all northbound and southbound traffic on Antioch Avenue is hereby required to stop at the entrances to said intersection, and that the Director of Public Works is hereby directed to install stop signs on Antioch Avenue facing northbound and southbound traffic at its intersection with Davis Road.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 30th day of September, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Merchend, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

W E Duncan

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

By *[Signature]* Deputy
(Seal)

Approved as to Form: OCT - 3 1974
County Counsel

XEROX
COPY

FILED

00T-3 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By: *Laurence P. Henigan*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-133

(Designating Linden Road from Jefferson Boulevard (State Highway 84) to South River Road (County Road 138), a through Highway)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354 and 21355 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorize the designation of any highway as a through highway requiring all vehicles to stop at the entrances thereto:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that Linden Road from Jefferson Boulevard (State Highway 84) to South River Road (County Road 138) is designated a through highway; and all traffic is required to stop at County road entrances thereto; and that the Director of Public Works is directed to install stop signs at the County road entrances to Linden Road:

1. Stopping northbound traffic at Mojave Drive,
2. Stopping northbound traffic at Rubicon Way,
3. Stopping northbound traffic at Iron Wood Way,
4. Stopping northbound traffic at Spruce Way,
5. Stopping northbound Traffic at Redwood Avenue,
6. Stopping northbound traffic at Alder Way.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of September, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

W. E. Duncan
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY *Laurence P. Henigan* Deputy
(Seal)

Approved as to Form
Date 00T-3 1974
[Signature]
Clerk of Yolo County

XEROX
COPY

1 RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2 (Resolution No. 74-134)

3 of Yolo County, State of California

FILED

SEP 30 1974

4
5 { In re Issue of Bonds of
6 { Woodland Joint Unified School District
7 { of Yolo and Sutter Counties.

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

8
9 WHEREAS THE GOVERNING BOARD OF Woodland Joint Unified School

10 District has certified, as required by law, to the Board of Super-
11 visors of Yolo County, State of California, whose superintendent
12 of schools has jurisdiction over said school district, all proceed-
13 ings had in the premises with reference to the matters hereinafter
14 recited, and said certified proceedings show, and after a full ex-
15 amination and investigation said Board of Supervisors does hereby
16 find and declare:

17 That on the 13th day of August, 1973, said Governing Board
18 deemed it advisable, and by its resolution and order of election
19 duly and regularly passed and adopted, and entered on its minutes,
20 on said day, did order the County Superintendent of Schools to call
21 an election to be called and held on the 6th day of November, 1973
22 in said District, to submit thereat to the electors of said Dis-
23 trict the question of whether bonds of said District shall be is-
24 sued and sold in the amount of One Million Five Hundred Thousand
25 Dollars (\$1,500,000.00), to run not exceeding 25 years, and to
26 bear interest at a rate not exceeding seven per cent (7%) per
27 annum payable annually for the first year the bonds have to run
28 and semi-annually thereafter, for the purpose of raising money
29 for the purpose of replacing portions of Beamer Park Elementary
30 School, Cacheville Elementary School, C. E. Dingle Elementary
31 School, Grafton Elementary School, Laugenour Elementary School,
32 Zamora Elementary School, Woodland Junior High School, and Rhoda

1 Maxwell School.

2 That said election was called by publication or posting of
3 notice thereof by said County Superintendent of Schools as required
4 by law and as set forth in the certificate of the Governing Board
5 of said school district heretofore filed with this board;

6 That said election was held in said District on said day ap-
7 pointed therefore in said notice thereof, and was in all respects
8 held and conducted, the returns thereof duly and legally canvassed,
9 and the results thereof declared, as required by law; that from
10 said returns said Governing Board found and confirmed, and said
11 Board of Supervisors now finds and declares, that there were 6,382
12 votes cast on the bond proposition at said election, and that
13 more than one-half thereof, to wit: 3,524 votes were cast in
14 favor of issuing said bonds, and said Governing Board caused an
15 entry of the result of said election to be made on its minutes;
16 that this Board of Supervisors has received a certified copy of
17 a resolution duly adopted by the Governing Board of said District
18 on the 24th day of September, 1974, prescribing the total amount
19 of said bonds to be sold, to wit, One Million Five Hundred Thousand
20 Dollars (\$1,500,000.00); that all acts, conditions and things
21 required by law to be done or performed have been done and per-
22 formed in strict conformity with the laws authorizing the issuance
23 of school bonds; and that the total amount of indebtedness of said
24 District, including this proposed issue of bonds, is within the
25 limit prescribed by law;

26 IT IS THEREFORE RESOLVED AND ORDERED that bonds of said
27 Woodland Joint Unified School District, in Yolo and Sutter Counties,
28 State of California, issue in the aggregate sum of One Million
29 Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,500,000.00); that said bonds
30 shall be dated the 1st day of November, 1974, and shall be payable
31 in lawful money of the United States of America, at the office of
32 the County Treasurer of said County, as follows, to wit:

1 \$ 10,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
2 on November 1, 1975.
3 \$ 25,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
4 on November 1, 1976.
5 \$ 35,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
6 on November 1, 1977.
7 \$ 35,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
8 on November 1, 1978.
9 \$ 45,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
10 on November 1, 1979.
11 \$ 50,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
12 on November 1, 1980.
13 \$ 50,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
14 on November 1, 1981.
15 \$ 75,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
16 on November 1, 1982.
17 \$ 75,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
18 on November 1, 1983.
19 \$100,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
20 on November 1, 1984.
21 \$100,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
22 on November 1, 1985.
23 \$100,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
24 on November 1, 1986.
25 \$125,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
26 on November 1, 1987.
27 \$125,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
28 on November 1, 1988.
29 \$125,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
30 on November 1, 1989.
31 \$125,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
32 on November 1, 1990.

1 \$150,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
2 on November 1, 1991.

3 \$150,000.00 principal amount of bonds shall mature and be payable
4 on November 1, 1992;

5 that said bonds shall bear interest at the rate of not to exceed
6 seven per cent (7%) per annum, payable in like lawful money at
7 the office of said County Treasurer in one installment, for the
8 first year said bonds have to run, on the 1st day of November,
9 1975, and thereafter semi-annually on the 1st days of May and
10 November of each year until said bonds are paid;

11 Said bonds shall be signed by the Chairman of said Board of
12 Supervisors, and by the Treasurer or Auditor of said County, and
13 shall be countersigned, and the seal of said Board placed thereon,
14 by the County Clerk of said County or the Clerk of the Board of
15 Supervisors or by a deputy of either of such officers, and the
16 coupons of said bonds shall be signed by said Treasurer or Auditor.
17 All such signatures and countersignatures may be printed, litho-
18 graphed, engraved or otherwise mechanically reproduced, except that
19 one of said signatures or countersignatures to said bonds shall
20 be manually affixed. Said seal may be printed, engraved, stamped or
21 otherwise placed in facsimile on said bonds. Said bonds with
22 said coupons attached thereto, so signed and executed, shall be
23 delivered to said County Treasurer for safe keeping.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that bonds maturing by their terms
25 on or before November 1, 1988 will not be subject to redemption
26 prior to their respective stated maturities. Bonds maturing by
27 their terms on or after November 1, 1989 will be subject to re-
28 demption prior to their respective stated maturities, at the
29 option of the Governing Board of Woodland Joint Unified School
30 District, as a whole, or in part in inverse order of maturities
31 (and by lot within each maturity), on any interest payment date on
32 or after November 1, 1988, upon payment of the principal amount

1 thereof and interest accrued thereon to the date fixed for redemp-
2 tion plus a premium of one-quarter of one per cent (1/4 of 1%) of
3 such principal amount for each year or fraction thereof, if any,
4 remaining between the date fixed for redemption and the fixed matu-
5 rity date of the bonds called for redemption.

6 Notice of such redemption shall be given by publication of
7 such notice once a week for two (2) successive calendar weeks in a
8 newspaper of general circulation or a financial journal circulated
9 in San Francisco, California, the first publication of which shall
10 be at least thirty (30) days but not more than sixty (60) days
11 prior to the date fixed for such redemption. After the date fixed
12 for such redemption, if the County Treasurer of the County shall
13 have duly published notice of such redemption on behalf of the
14 Governing Board of the District and shall have provided funds
15 for the payment of principal of and interest on the bonds so called
16 for redemption together with the premium then due thereon, interest
17 on such bonds shall thereafter cease.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said bonds shall be issued sub-
19 stantially in the following form, to wit:

21	Number	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Dollars
		STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
22	<u>000</u>		<u>\$5,000.00</u>
23		SCHOOL BOND OF	
24		Woodland Joint Unified School District	
25		of Yolo and Sutter Counties	

26
27
28 Woodland Joint Unified School District of Yolo and Sutter Counties,
29 State of California, acknowledges itself indebted to and promised
30 to pay to the holder hereof, at the office of the Treasurer of
31 said County, on the 1st day of November 19__, the principal sum
32 of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) in lawful money of the

1 United States of America, with interest thereon in like lawful
2 money at the rate of _____ per cent (_____) per annum, pay-
3 able at the office of said Treasurer on the 1st days of November
4 and May of each year from the date hereof until this bond is
5 paid (except interest for the first year which is payable in one
6 installment at the end of such year).

7 The bonds maturing by their terms on or before November 1
8 1988 shall not be subject to redemption prior to their respective
9 stated maturities. Bonds maturing by their terms on or after
10 November 1, 1989 shall be subject to redemption prior to their
11 respective stated maturities, at the option of the Governing Board
12 of Woodland Joint Unified School District, as a whole, or in part
13 in inverse order of maturities (and by lot within each maturity),
14 on any interest payment date on or after November 1, 1988, upon
15 payment of the principal amount thereof and interest accrued there-
16 on to the date fixed for redemption, plus a premium of one-quarter
17 of one percent ($1/4$ of 1%) of such principal amount for each year
18 or fraction thereof, if any, remaining between the date fixed for
19 redemption and the fixed maturity date of the bonds called for
20 redemption.

21 This bond is one of a series of bonds of like tenor and
22 date (except for such variation, if any, as may be required to set
23 forth varying numbers, denomination, interest rates and maturities),
24 numbered from 1 to 300, inclusive, amounting in the aggregate to
25 One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,500,000.00) and is
26 authorized by a vote of more than one-half ($1/2$) of the voters
27 voting at an election duly and legally called, held and conducted
28 in said District on the 6th day of November, 1973, and is issued
29 and sold by the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County, State of Cali-
30 fornia, pursuant to and in strict conformity with the provisions
31 of the Constitution and laws of said State.

32 -----

1 And said Board of Supervisors hereby certifies and declares
2 that the total amount of indebtedness of said District, including
3 this issue of bonds, is within the limit provided by law, that all
4 acts, conditions and things required by law to be done or performed
5 precedent to and in the issuance of said bonds have been done and
6 performed in strict conformity with the laws authorizing the is-
7 suance of school bonds, that this bond and the interest coupons
8 attached thereto are in the form prescribed by order of said Board
9 of Supervisors duly made and entered on its minutes and shall be
10 payable out of the interest and sinking fund of said District, and
11 the money for the redemption of said bonds and the payment of in-
12 terest thereon shall be raised by taxation upon the taxable pro-
13 perty of said District.

14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF said Board of Supervisors has caused this
15 bond to be signed by its Charman and by the Auditor of said County,
16 and to be countersigned by the County Clerk, and the seal of said
17 Board to be placed hereon, the 1st day of November, 1974.

18
19 (SEAL)

CHAIRMAN OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

20
21
22 _____
COUNTY AUDITOR

23 Countersigned:

24
25 _____
COUNTY CLERK

26
27
28 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that to each of said bonds shall be
29 attached interest coupons substantially in the following form,
30 to wit:

31 -----

32 -----

Coupon No. _____

Woodland Joint Unified School District

in said County, on the 1st day of _____, 19____

at his office in Woodland, in said

County, the sum \$

hereon, being interest then due on

Bond NO. _____
of said District,

dated November 1, 1974

County Auditor

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the money for the redemption of
19 said bonds and payment of the interest thereon shall be raised by
20 taxation upon all taxable property in said District and provision
21 shall be made for the levy and collection of such taxes in the man-
22 ner provided by law.

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of said Board of Su-
24 pervisors shall cause a notice of the sale of said bonds to be
25 published at least two (2) weeks in THE DAILY DEMOCRAT, a newspaper
26 of general circulation, printed and published in said County of
27 Yolo, and therein advertise for bids for said bonds and state that
28 said County Treasurer will up to Monday, the 21st day of October,
29 1974, at 10:00 o'clock A.M. of said day, receive sealed proposals
30 for the purchase of said bonds, or any portion thereof, for cash,
31 at not less than par and accrued interest, and that the Board

32 -----

1 of Supervisors reserves the right to reject any and all bids for
2 said bonds.

3 The foregoing resolution and order was passed and adopted
4 by the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County, State of California,
5 at a _____ regular meeting thereof held on the 30th day of
6 September, 1974, by the following vote, to wit:

7
8 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

9 NOES: None.

10 ABSENT: None.

11
12 WITNESS my hand and the seal of said Board of Supervisors
13 this 30th day of September, 1974.

14
15 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
16 County Clerk and ex-officio Clerk
17 of the Board of Supervisors

18 By BARBARA A. RODGERS
19 Deputy

20 (SEAL)
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

FILED

OCT 21 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 74- 135

By Paula C. Coral
Deputy

(Resolution Establishing Revolving Fund for the
Office of the County Auditor)

WHEREAS, Government Code §§ 29320, et seq. authorize the
Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the use
of any officer of the county; and

WHEREAS, it appears to this Board of Supervisors that
it is necessary to establish such a revolving fund in the sum of
TEN (\$10.00) DOLLARS for the purpose of making minor purchases
and paying postage; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND, by the
Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That the revolving fund for the office of the County
Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby established as specified
herein.

2. That the revolving fund for the office of the County
Auditor of the County of Yolo is necessary for and its use is
limited to disbursements for the purpose of making minor purchases
and paying postage.

3. That the amount of the revolving fund for the office of
the County Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby established
to be TEN (\$10.00) DOLLARS.

4. That the Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized
and directed to draw his warrant in favor of the office of the
County Auditor of the County of Yolo in the amount of \$10.00 after
the provision of this resolution providing for a bond have been
complied with and the Treasurer of the County of Yolo is hereby
authorized and directed to honor said warrant when presented for
payment.

5. That the County Auditor shall file with the Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors either a bond executed by himself as principal
and by an admitted surety insurer in an amount equal to that of

1 the revolving fund for the office of the County Auditor of the
2 County of Yolo as established herein, or an endorsement to his
3 official bond, and that the bond or endorsement shall be conditioned
4 upon the faithful administration of the fund and upon the willing-
5 ness and ability of the County Auditor to account for and pay
6 over the fund upon demand of the Board of Supervisors at any time.

7 6. That said revolving fund shall be replenished only by
8 demand made upon the County for reimbursement of the fund in the
9 same manner as other demands are made, and shall be supported by
10 receipts for any expenditure in excess of \$1.00 setting forth
11 date, purpose of the expenditure and the amount expended. All
12 sums received in satisfaction of the demand shall be returned to
13 the revolving fund.

14 7. That upon the demand of the Auditor or the Board of
15 Supervisors, the County Auditor shall give an account of the
16 revolving fund for the office of the County Auditor of the County
17 of Yolo.

18 8. That the Clerk of the Board shall transmit certified
19 copies of this resolution to the County Auditor and the County
20 Treasurer.

21 PASSED AND ADOPTED this 7th day of October, 1974,
22 by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, by the following
23 vote:

24 AYES: Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

25 NOES: None.


26 ABSENT: Stephens.

27
28
29 ATTEST:

30 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

31 BY Paula C. Corral DEPUTY

32 (SEAL)



CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OCT 21 1974

FILED

OCT 21 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By *Paula C. Coral*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-136

(Resolution Establishing Revolving Fund for
Library and Bookmobile)

WHEREAS, Government Code § 29320, et seq. authorizes the Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the use of any person in charge of any office of the County; and

WHEREAS, it appears to this Board of Supervisors that it is necessary to establish such a revolving fund in the sum of THIRTY-FIVE (\$35.00) DOLLARS for the purpose of making minor purchases; and

WHEREAS, the actions of County officers heretofor for the establishment, maintenance and operation of such a revolving fund are hereby ratified and conformed.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That the revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo is hereby established as specified herein.

2. That the revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo is necessary for and its use is limited to disbursements for the purpose of making minor purchases.

3. That the amount of the revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo is hereby established to be THIRTY-FIVE (\$35.00) DOLLARS.

4. That the Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo so as to increase the amount held by the County Librarian to \$35.00 after the provision of this resolution providing for a bond have been complied with and the Treasurer of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to honor said warrant when presented for payment.

5. That the County Librarian is hereby ordered to file with

1 the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors either a bond executed by
2 herself as principal and by an admitted surety insurer, or cause
3 an endorsement to be added to her official bond or the County
4 blanket bond maintained in lieu thereof, either in an amount equal
5 to that of the revolving fund as established by this resolution.
6 The bond or endorsement shall be conditioned upon the faithful
7 administration of the fund and the willingness and ability of the
8 principal to account for and pay over the fund upon demand of the
9 Board of Supervisors at any time.


10 6. That said revolving fund shall be replenished only by
11 demand made upon the County for reimbursement of the fund in the
12 same manner as other demands are made, and shall be supported by
13 receipts for any expenditure in excess of \$1.00 setting forth
14 date, purpose of the expenditure and the amount expended. All
15 sums received in satisfaction of the demand shall be returned to
16 the revolving fund.

17 7. That upon the demand of the Auditor or the Board of
18 Supervisors, the County Librarian shall give an account of the
19 revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian of the County
20 of Yolo.

21 8. That the Clerk of the Board shall transmit certified copies
22 of this resolution to the County Auditor and the County Treasurer.

23 PASSED AND ADOPTED this 7th day of October, 1974,
24 by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, by the following
25 vote:

26 AYES: Merchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.
27 NOES: None.
28 ABSENT: Stephens.


CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OCT 21 1974

29 ATTEST:
30 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

31 BY Raula C. Coral DEPUTY

32 (SEAL)

FILED

OCT 21 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 74-137

By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

(Resolution Establishing Revolving Fund for Library
and Bookmobile)

WHEREAS, Government Code § 29320, et seq. authorizes the Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the use of any person in charge of any office of the County; and

WHEREAS, it appears to this Board of Supervisors that it is necessary to establish such a revolving fund in the sum of SEVENTY(\$70.00) DOLLARS for the purpose of making change; and

WHEREAS, the actions of County officers heretofore for the establishment, maintenance and operation of such a revolving fund are hereby ratified and conformed.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That the revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo is hereby established as specified herein.

2. That the revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo is necessary for and its use is limited to the purpose of making change.

3. That the amount of the revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo is hereby established to be SEVENTY (\$70.00) DOLLARS.

4. That the Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo so as to increase the amount held by the County Librarian to \$70.00 after the provision of this resolution providing for a bond have been complied with and the Treasurer of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to honor said warrant when presented for payment.

5. That the County Librarian is hereby ordered to file with the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors either a bond executed by

XEROX
COPY

1 herself as principal and by an admitted surety insurer, or cause
2 an endorsement to be added to her official bond or the County
3 blanket bond maintained in lieu thereof, either in an amount equal
4 to that of the revolving fund as established by this resolution.
5 The bond or endorsement shall be conditioned upon the faithful
6 administration of the fund and the willingness and ability of the
7 principal to account for and pay over the fund upon demand of the
8 Board of Supervisors at any time.

9 6. That upon the demand of the Auditor or the Board of
10 Supervisors, the County Librarian shall give an account of the
11 revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian of the
12 County of Yolo.

13 7. That the Clerk of the Board shall transmit certified copies
14 of this resolution to the County Auditor and the County Treasurer.

15 PASSED AND ADOPTED this 7th day of October, 1974,
16 by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, by the following
17 vote:

18 AYES: Merchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

19 NOES: None.

20 ABSENT: Stephens.

W. E. Duncan

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

23 ATTEST:

OCT 21 1974

24 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

25 BY Paula C. Corral DEPUTY

26 (SEAL)

FILED

OCT 14 1974

LAWRENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By *W. E. Lucas*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-138

(Resolution Amending Resolution No. 74-120
Fixing Tax Rate for the Year 1974-75)

WHEREAS, this Board fixed the tax rate for the fiscal year 1974-75 for the County of Yolo and the various political subdivisions thereof by reference to a document attached thereto and made a part thereof entitled "County of Yolo Tax Rates 1974-75" which was prepared and submitted to this Board by the Auditor Controller of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, there are several clerical errors contained in said "County of Yolo Tax Rates 1974-75" which must be corrected to correctly show the rate for the district tax as will produce the amount of district tax money requested by the North Delta Water Agency, the Pierce Joint Unified School District and the River Delta Joint Unified School District,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that Resolution No. 74-120 be, and hereby is amended nunc pro tunc to correct the clerical errors contained in the "County of Yolo Tax Rates 1974-75" to read as follows:

NORTH DELTA WATER AGENCY

Change rate of .06 to .095

PIERCE JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

General to	2.16
County School Service to	.06
	<u>2.22</u>

Bond debt service to .08

RIVER DELTA JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT


General from 3.688 to 3.776

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 14th day of October, 1974, by the following vote:

1 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.


2 NOES: None.

3 ABSENT: None.

4 
5 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
6 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

7
8 ATTEST:

9 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

10 BY 
11 DEPUTY

12 (SEAL)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

22

FILED

OCT 18 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Paula C. Connell Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-139

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County, by Agreement No. 73-61 dated May 14, 1973, entered into an agreement with the subdivider of Subdivision No. 2222 about one mile North of Woodland; and

WHEREAS, said agreement included a guarantee that the subdivider would complete certain improvements in accordance with Yolo County Standards; and

WHEREAS, a portion of said improvements have been completed and are acceptable to the County for operation and maintenance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that pursuant to Articles 7 and 8 of said agreement, the County of Yolo does hereby accept the following improvements constructed in connection with said Subdivision No. 2222.

- a. work along County Road 99
- b. All of Barnard Street

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a minimum of 15% of the Improvement Security be retained by the County of Yolo until October 14, 1975 in accordance with Articles 8 and 13 of said agreement.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on this 14th day of October, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

W E Duncan

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Paula C. Connell DEPUTY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[Signature]
Deputy County Counsel
OCT 18 1974

FILED

OCT 21 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By John E. Duncan
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74- 140

(Resolution Authorizing Destruction of Nonjudicial
Public Records, Documents, etc., by Treasurer-Tax
Collector's Office)

WHEREAS, Section 26205.1 of the Government Code of the
State of California authorized destruction of nonjudicial public
records, instruments, documents, books and papers; and

WHEREAS, the Treasurer-Tax Collector's office, having
custody of nonjudicial records, documents, instruments, books, and
papers, a list of which is attached hereto marked Exhibit "A" and
made a part hereof as though set forth hereat in full; and

WHEREAS said Treasurer-Tax Collector's office may cause
such non judicial items as listed in said Exhibit "A" to be
destroyed upon adoption of a resolution by the Yolo County Board
of Supervisors authorizing such destruction of records.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Yolo adopt this resolution authorizing the
Treasurer-Tax Collector's office to destroy such records as herein
before described pursuant to Section 26205.1 of the Government
Code of the State of California.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 21st day of October, 1974, by
the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, by the following
vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

John E. Duncan

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY John E. Duncan DEPUTY

(SEAL)

XEROX
COPY

EXHIBIT "A"

MICROFILMED ITEMS OF TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR FILMED 1/4/74

1. Deposit Permits July 1971 thru June 1972.
2. Transfers and Journal Entries July 1971 thru June 30, 1972.
3. General Warrants Issued July 1971 thru June 30, 1972.
4. Treasurer's Daily Cash Count July 1971 thru June 30, 1972.
5. Warrants Paid July 1971 thru June 30, 1972.
6. Warrants Paid (Bank of America) July 1971 thru June 30, 1972.
7. Cash Count Statement of Auditors
Condition of Yolo Co. Treasurer July 1971 thru June 30, 1972.
8. Monthly Cash Statement of Treasurer-
Tax Collector July 1971 thru June 30, 1972.
9. Monthly Reports of Receipts and
Disbursements of Treasurer July 1971 thru June 30, 1972

FILED

OCT 22 1974

RESOLUTION NO. 74- 141 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

(Resolution of Award)

By John E. Henigan
Deputy

WHEREAS the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, heretofore advertised for sealed proposals or bids for the purchase of bonds of the Woodland Joint Unified School District, of Yolo and Sutter Counties, State of California, dated the 1st day of November, 1974, in the total amount of One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,500,000) and said bids having thereafter been opened on the 21st day of October, 1974, examined all sealed proposals or bids received pursuant thereto, and found that the lowest responsible bidder was United California Bank, as follows:

Bidder		Interest Rate
UNITED CALIFORNIA BANK	1st	\$ 10,000 7.00%
BOND DEPARTMENT	2nd	25,000 7.00%
600 South Spring Street	3rd	35,000 7.00%
Los Angeles, CA. 90051	4th	35,000 7.00%
	5th	45,000 7.00%
	6th	50,000 7.00%
	7th	50,000 7.00%
	8th	75,000 5.75%
	9th	75,000 5.60%
	10th	100,000 5.60%
	11th	100,000 5.70%
	12th	100,000 5.70%
	13th	125,000 5.75%
	14th	125,000 5.80%
	15th	125,000 5.90%
	16th	125,000 6.00%
	17th	150,000 6.00%
	18th	150,000 6.00%

RESOLVED that said Board of Supervisors hereby rejects all said proposals or bids except that hereinafter mentioned, and awards said bonds to the highest responsible bidder or bidders, to wit:

UNITED CALIFORNIA BANK
Bond Department
600 South Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA. 90051

XEROX
COPY

at par value and premium of \$0.00 as specified in its proposal,

1 the same and the responsibility of said bidder or bidders being
2 deemed satisfactory by said Board.

3 RESOLVED FURTHER that said bonds shall bear interest as
4 set foeth in said bid as follows:

5	Bond Numbers	Interest Rate
6	1 through 50	7.00%
7	51 through 65	5.75%
8	66 through 100	5.60%
9	101 through 140	5.70%
10	141 through 165	5.75%
11	166 through 190	5.80%
12	191 through 215	5.90%
13	216 through 300	6.00%

14 RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board of Supervisors, as
15 issuer of said bonds on behalf of said District, hereby covenants
16 that it will make no use of the proceeds of such bonds which would
17 cause such bonds to be "arbitrage bonds" under Section 103(d) of
18 the Internal Revenue Code; and, to that end, so long as any of
19 such bonds are outstanding, the issuer with respect to the
20 proceeds of such bonds, and all officers having custody or
21 control of such proceeds, shall comply with all requirements of
22 said Section and the regulations of the Unites States Department
23 of the Treasury thereunder, to the extent that such regulations
24 are, at the time, applicable and in effect.

25 The foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the
26 Board of Supervisors of said County, at a regular meeting thereof
27 held on the 21st day of October, 1974, by the following vote:

28 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

29 NOES: None.

30 ABSENT: None.

31 -----

32 -----

1 WITNESS my hand and the seal of said Board of Supervisors
2 this 22nd day of October, 1974.
3

4 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, County Clerk
5 and ex-officio Clerk of the Board
6 of Supervisors

7 BY

Pete E. Lewis

Deputy

8 (Seal)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

FILED

OCT 28 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By John P. Henigan
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-142

(Ratification of Bond Sale)

WHEREAS, on August 13th, 1973 the Governing Board of the Woodland Joint Unified School District of Yolo and Sutter Counties, State of California, did request this Board of Supervisors to order and consolidate an election for the sale of bonds for said District in the amount of \$1,500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, on August 20th, 1973 this Board of Supervisors did order the consolidation of said election with the November 6th, 1973 special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, said election was held on November 6th, 1973; and

WHEREAS, a majority of the voters of said District, by a vote of 3,524 votes in favor of said bonds and 2,858 against said bonds, did authorize the sale of said bonds in the amount of \$1,500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, on September 24th, 1974 the Governing Board of the Woodland Joint Unified School District did request this Board of Supervisors to order the sale of said bonds in the amount of \$1,500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, on September 30th, 1974 this Board of Supervisors did order the sale of said bonds, and that notice of said sale be published for two weeks; and

WHEREAS, notice of said sale was published on October 4th, 1974 and October 11th, 1974 in the DAILY DEMOCRAT, a newspaper of general circulation in Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, on October 21st, 1974 bids were received for the sale of said bonds, and the bonds were awarded to the highest responsible bidder, United California Bank

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED, ORDERED AND DECLARED as follows:

XEROX
COPY

1 1. That the recitals hereinabove contained are true and
2 correct.

3 2. That all of the foregoing actions and proceedings
4 concerning the sale of said bonds are hereby ratified and con-
5 firmed.


6 3. That the sale of said bonds is hereby ratified and
7 confirmed.

8 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
9 County of Yolo, State of California, this 28th day of October,
10 1974 by the following vote:


11 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

12 NOES: None.

13 ABSENT: None.

14
15 
16 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
17 County of Yolo, State of California

18
19 ATTEST
20 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

21 BY 
22 DEPUTY

23 (SEAL)
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

FILED

OCT 28 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-143

(Resolution requesting technical planning assistance from the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission and pledging in-kind contributions for this service)

WHEREAS, a need exists for additional services to pre-
delinquent youth in the East Yolo Area, and

WHEREAS, the East Yolo Community Council ad hoc Committee
on Youth Services has initiated a study leading to the establish-
ment of a Children's Receiving Home in East Yolo, and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the County of
Yolo to utilize the services of the Sacramento Regional Area
Planning Commission for assistance in the completion of said study,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo,
California requests technical planning assistance from the Sacra-
mento Regional Area Planning Commission for participation in the
study leading to the establishment of an East Yolo Children's
Receiving Home, and

2. That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo hereby
agrees to furnish to the Sacramento Regional Area Planning
Commission an in-kind matching contribution of services in the
amount of \$2,750.00 for the participation in said study.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of October, 1974
by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of
California, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Pete E. Lucas
DEPUTY
(SEAL)

W. E. Duncan
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

XEROX
COPY

Bk.

128

FILED

NOV - 6 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Raul C. Corral
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-144

(Parking Restrictions - California Street,
Woodland)

WHEREAS, Section 22507 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorizes restriction of parking or standing of vehicles on certain streets or highways, or portions thereof, during all or certain hours of the day, and;

WHEREAS, Section 4-3.107 of the Yolo County Code provides for establishment of No Parking Areas, and;

WHEREAS, the Director of Public Works has made a recommendation to this Board recommending restricted parking at the location designated herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND DECLARED that No Parking from 7:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. except Saturday, Sunday, and holidays shall be permitted as provided in Section 4-3.107 of the Yolo County Code at the following location and subject to the regulations in Section 21458 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California:

On the west side of California Street from a point 350 feet South of the South line of West Lincoln Avenue to a point 200 feet Southerly thereof.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on this 28th day of October 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

W. E. Duncan
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Raul C. Corral Deputy
(Seal)

XEROX
COPY

APPROVED AS TO FORM Raul C. Corral Deputy County Counsel
OCT - 6 1974

BK

119

FILED

NOV - 6 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-145

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

(Resolution requesting Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission's approval of annual transportation claim of Local Transportation Fund)

WHEREAS, Section 99260 of the Public Utilities Code required the local agency to file an estimated claim of transportation financial needs for the fiscal year 1974/75;

AND WHEREAS, said Transportation Development Act requires the establishment of a LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND and designation of a TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY;

AND WHEREAS, the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission is designated as the TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY for the County of Yolo to receive and consider such claims for approval pursuant to rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary of the Business and Transportation Agency;

AND WHEREAS, it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo to maintain the present level of bus transit services in the East Yolo area for the fiscal year 1974/75;

NOW THEREFOR BE IT RESOLVED that the attached "Annual Project and Financial Plan" be hereby approved and that the Director of Public Works be authorized to execute the attached claim and instructed to forward said claim to the designated Transportation Planning Agency:

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of October, 1974 by the Board of Supervisors, County of Yolo, State of California by the following vote;

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Esquivias, Duncan,

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

ATTEST: Laurence P. Henigan, Clerk W. E. Duncan
CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Pete C. Lucas Deputy

Dep'ty County Counsel of Yolo County
Noted
Approved as
Form
10-6-54

ANNUAL TRANSPORTATION CLAIM

TO: Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission
1225 8th Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

FROM: Applicant: County of Yolo, Dept. of Public Works

Address: 292 West Beamer Street

City: Woodland, California

Zip: 95695

Contact Person: Lloyd H. Roberts

Phone: 666-8428

The County of Yolo hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 as amended and applicable rules and regulations that its annual transportation claim be approved in the amount of \$ 245,298- for fiscal year 1974/75, to be drawn from the local transportation fund of the County of Yolo.

When approved, please transmit this claim to the County Auditor of the County of Yolo, for payment. Approval of the claim and payment by the County Auditor to this applicant is subject to such monies being on hand and available for distribution, and to the provisions that such monies will be used only in accordance with the terms of the approved annual financial plan.

APPROVED:

Sacramento Regional Area
Planning Commission

COUNTY OF YOLO

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
APPLICANT

By _____

Title _____

Date _____ 19__

By Lloyd H. Roberts

Title Director of Public Works

Date 10/28 19 74

ANNUAL PROJECT AND FINANCIAL PLAN
(use additional forms as necessary)

Briefly describe all proposed projects and indicate proposed expenditures of your jurisdiction for the ensuing fiscal year for right-of-way acquisition and construction of local streets and roads, including facilities provided for the exclusive use by pedestrians and bicycles. Give each project a title and number in sequence.

Project Title and Brief Description	Is this a major new facility? Yes or No	Project Cost	Sources of Funding
# <u>1</u> Bikepaths	yes	104,000	SB325
# <u>2</u> CR 31 - Widen & Reconstruct Between County Road 97 & County Road 98	No	132,000	SB325
# <u>3</u> Westacre & Merkley (signals)	Yes	25,414 4,586	Other SB325
# <u> </u>			

Project Title and Brief Description	Is this a major new facility? Yes or No	Project Cost	Sources of Funding
# _____			
# _____			
# _____			
Total		240,586	SB 325

a. Applicants Total Proposed Expenditures \$ 1,088,500.00

b. ~~145-66-(a)-for-SRAPC-Transportation-Planning~~ \$ 4,712.00

b. Annual Transportation Claim shall include
b-and-c-and not exceed finding of apportion-
ment made by SRAPC. \$ 245,298.00

c. % of Claim (b) of Total (a) 22 1/2 %

d. If Claim is more than 50% of total proposed
expenditures (a) please justify: NA

Briefly describe the status of current fiscal year annual transportation claim including: a) project progress to date; and b) income and expenditures to date. In addition, provide a projection of a and b above to July 1.

<u>A) Complete</u>	
<u>B) Income -</u>	<u>292,870 *</u>
<u>Expenditures-292,870*</u>	
<u>C) Projection to 7/1/74 - Complete</u>	
<u>Income</u>	<u>292,870*</u>
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>292,870*</u>

CERTIFIED:

By Lloyd H. Roberts
 Title Director of Public Works
 Date 10/28 19 74

*FY 1973/74 Revenue & Expenditure

STATEMENT OF CONFORMANCE

The County of Yolo hereby certifies that
(APPLICANT)
the Annual Transportation Claim for fiscal year 74/75 in
the amount of \$ 245,298.00 conforms with the requirements
of Article §1, Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 as amended and applicable
rules and regulations.

CERTIFIED:

By Lloyd H. Roberts
LLOYD H. ROBERTS
Title Director of Public Works
Date October 28 19 74

64.
FILED

OCT 28 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara Rodgers*
Deputy

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. —
(EL MACERO UNIT NO. 3)

RESOLUTION NO. 74-146

EXTENDING TIME ON CONTRACT

RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

That the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works of the
County of Yolo, be and he is hereby, directed to grant an extension
of time of Seventy Nine (79) working days to

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

on its contract to construct certain improvement work within
the Assessment District No. 119 (El Macero Unit No. 3), to the
extent, with the materials and in the manner set forth in the
following Resolutions of this Board:

Resolution No. 73-47 Resolution of
Intention adopted April 30, 1973

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF
YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS 28th DAY OF October
1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

W. E. Duncan

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
County Clerk

By *P. E. Lucas*

Deputy

Approved as to Form

Dated 11/1/74

[Signature]
County Counsel of Yolo County

XEROX
COPY

56
FILED

13
ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 119
(EL MACERO UNIT NO. 3)

2074

CLARENCE R. HENKIN, Clerk
Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-147

CONFIRMING PROCEEDINGS AND ASSESSMENT

WHEREAS, the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works of the County of Yolo, State of California, has heretofore made and filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors an assessment together with a Diagram and Engineer's Certificate attached thereto, to represent the costs and expenses (including incidental expenses) for the work of improving certain streets and easements within and adjacent to Assessment District No. 119 (El Macero Unit No. 3) in said County of Yolo, the proceedings for the making of which improvements were initiated by adoption of the following Resolutions of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo:

Resolution No. 73-47 Resolution of
Intention adopted April 30, 1973

Resolution No. 73-64 Resolution Ordering
Work adopted June 4, 1973

WHEREAS, October 28, 1974 at 10:00 o'clock A.M. was the time fixed by the said Clerk of this Board for the hearing of this Board upon the Assessment, and it was also the time fixed for the hearing of any appeals by any person interested in said work or in said Assessment, and

XERO
COPY

WHEREAS, no appeals having been made, and

WHEREAS, this Board has heard evidence upon said Assessment and the acts and determinations of the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works and is satisfied with the correctness of the same.

NOW THEREFORE, RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

That these proceedings are hereby confirmed;


That said Assessment, together with the Diagram and Engineer's Certificate attached thereto, be, and the same are hereby approved and confirmed in all respects as filed.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS 28th DAY OF October, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.


NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.



Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN,
County Clerk

By 

Deputy

Approved as to Form
Dated 11/15/74

County Counsel of Yolo County

XEROX
COPY

FILED

OCT 28 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By *[Signature]*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74- 148

(Resolution Amending Resolution No. 73-129
Creating Welfare Advisory Committee)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors passed and adopted Resolution No. 70-180, creating a Family and Children's Services Advisory Committee and Day Care Subcommittee, and thereafter made certain changes in the organization thereof by Resolution No. 73-129; and

WHEREAS, it has been brought to the attention of this Board that certain changes in organization are necessary and appropriate to carry out the intent of applicable Department of Social Welfare regulations pertaining to such advisory committees;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. Creation. There is hereby created in the County of Yolo a committee to be known as the Welfare Advisory Committee, hereinafter referred to as COMMITTEE.

2. Membership. The Committee shall consist of 25 members appointed by the Board of Supervisors, which members shall be selected as follows:

(a) Each member of the Board of Supervisors shall select five members to serve on the Committee.

(b) At least four members shall be parents with children in day care.

(c) A majority shall be recipients of public assistance or public social services. Recipients must be selected in a manner that will assure that they are representatives of the total recipient population.

(d) Three members shall be representatives of local public agencies concerned with public social services.

(e) Three members shall be representatives of professional civil, or other public or private organizations.

1 (f) The remaining members shall consist of private
2 citizens interested and experienced in service programs.

3 (g) Any organization or agency which designates a
4 person to serve as its representative may also designate, in writ-
5 ing, alternate members to so serve with full authority in the
6 absence of any regular member.

7 3. Membership: Terms. Of the members initially appointed,
8 eight (8) shall serve for a one-year term; eight (8) shall serve
9 for a two-year term; and nine (9) shall serve for a three-year
10 term. The terms to be served by initial appointees shall be
11 determined by the Committee by lot at a regular meeting. There-
12 after, all appointments shall be for a term of three years. A
13 vacancy on the Committee shall exist upon the death or resigna-
14 tion of a person appointed, and such a vacancy shall be filled for
15 the unexpired term of the member by the Board of Supervisors. A
16 person serving as a member under the provisions of Sections 2(b)
17 or 2(c) hereinabove may conclude his designated term of office
18 although his status as a parent with a child in day care, or as a
19 recipient of public assistance or public social service has changed
20 or terminated.

21 4. Membership: Organization. At the first meeting herein-
22 after, the members of the Committee shall elect a Chairman, Vice-
23 Chairman, and a Recording Secretary, to hold office for a twelve-
24 month term, and annually thereafter the members shall elect mem-
25 bers to fill such offices for the next succeeding twelve-month
26 terms. The County Director of Public Welfare shall be the Execu-
27 tive Secretary of the Committee.

28 5. Meetings: Quorum. Regular meetings shall be held
29 monthly at a time and place determined by the Committee. Special
30 meetings may be held on call of the Chairman on one week's written
31 notice of such special meeting. The Chairman shall call a special
32 meeting upon receiving written request of four (4) members of the

1 Committee. A quorum to conduct a regular or special meeting shall
2 consist of five (5) members of the Committee. The County Clerk-
3 Recorder shall be notified of the date and time of each meeting of
4 the Committee.

5 6. Meetings: Minutes. A copy of the minutes of each
6 meeting of the Committee shall be filed with the County Clerk-
7 Recorder.

8 7. Duties: Welfare Services Advisory Committee.

9 The duties and responsibilities of the Welfare Advisory
10 Committee shall be to work with the County Director of Public
11 Welfare and the Board of Supervisors as an advisory body, relating
12 to both welfare policy development and program implementation.

13 8. In the event any member fails to appear for three or
14 more consecutive regular monthly meetings, the Board of Supervisors
15 may declare a vacancy exists and may fill said vacancy by appoint-
16 ment for the remainder of the term of said replaced member.


17 9. This Committee is empowered to appoint such Subcommit-
18 tees as it deems necessary.

19 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
20 County of Yolo, State of California, this 28th day of September,
21 1974, by the following vote:

22 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

23 NOES: None.


24 ABSENT: None.

25 

26 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
27 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

28 ATTEST:

29 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

30 BY 
31 DEPUTY

32 (SEAL)

Ek

FILED

NOV-5 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Pat E. Lucas Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-149

(Designating Lisbon Street from Bryte Avenue to State Highway 16 a through Street)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354, 21355 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorize the designation of any highway as a through highway requiring all vehicles to stop at the entrances thereto:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that Lisbon Street from Bryte Avenue to State Highway 16 is designated a through street; and all traffic is required to stop at County street entrances thereto; and that the Director of Public Works is directed to install stop signs at the County street entrances to Lisbon Street:

1. Stopping northbound and southbound traffic at Yolo Street.
2. Stopping northbound and southbound traffic at Solano Street.
3. Stopping northbound and southbound traffic at Water Street.
4. Stopping Southbound traffic at Short Street.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 4th day of November, 1974, by the following vote:

Ayes: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.


Wm E. Duncan
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Pat E. Lucas Deputy
(Seal)

Approved as to Form
Dated 11/5/74
Pat E. Lucas
County Counsel of Yolo County



Date	Time	Reading	FS. 1
10-30-74	2:30 PM	33634	
10-31-74	9:30 AM	35742	307
10-31-74	2:30 PM	39052	120
11-1-74	9:30 AM	37135	113
11-1-74	2:30 PM	39240	55

10-30-74	2:30 PM	56741	
10-31-74	9:30 AM	57295	696
10-31-74	2:30 PM	57857	364
11-1-74	9:30 AM	53430	621
11-1-74	2:30 PM	58760	280

10-30-74	2:30 PM	55551	
10-31-74	9:30 AM	06105	554
10-31-74	2:30 PM	06546	78
11-1-74	9:30 AM	07520	1127
11-1-74	2:30 PM	08202	516


10-30-74	2:30 PM	65927	
10-31-74	9:30 AM	65079	52
10-31-74	9:30 AM	12731	
10-31-74	3:30 PM	12892	161
11-1-74	9:30 AM	13151	254
11-1-74	2:30 PM	13378	227

Notes
514

Wood

cloudy

10-30-74



EX-111
FILED

DEC-3 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 74-150

By Richard R. Polycus
Deputy

(Resolution Amending Yolo County Master Plan)

WHEREAS, on the 28th day of January 1959, by Resolution No. 59-15, the Board of Supervisors adopted the present and now existing Yolo County Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission on August 20th, 1974 and September 4, 1974, held public hearings as required by law to consider amending the Master Plan of the entire Madison area; and

WHEREAS, said Yolo County Planning Commission recommended to this Board that the Yolo County Master Plan be amended in accordance with the document entitled "1974 Madison General Plan" filed by said Commission with the Clerk of this Board and entitled Exhibit "A", which document is annexed hereto and by reference made a part hereof; and

WHEREAS, this Board held a hearing after a proper notice of the purpose of hearing protestants to the recommendations concerning said proposed amendments to the Madison Area Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, this Board has determined that the aforesaid recommendation of the Yolo County Planning Commission is for the best interest of the residents of the County of Yolo,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That the Yolo County Master Plan is hereby amended as set forth in said Exhibit "A".

2. That the filed document hereinabove referred to depicting said changes of the Master Plan is adopted and made a part of the Yolo County Master Plan and the Clerk of this Board is hereby ordered to note such on said document.

3. That this Board finds that the foregoing recitals are

1 true and correct.

2 4. That this Board hereby certifies that an Environmental
3 Impact Report was completed in accordance with the provisions of
4 the California Environmental Quality Act and that it reviewed and
5 considered the contents thereof in making its decision in this
6 matter.


7 5. That the Clerk of this Board is directed to file a
8 Notice of Determination in the manner prescribed by law.

9 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
10 County of Yolo, State of California, this 4th day of November,
11 1974, by the following vote:

12 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

13 NOES: None.


14 ABSENT: None.

15
16 

17 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
18 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

19
20
21 ATTEST:

22 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

23 BY 
24 DEPUTY

25 (SEAL)
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

"Exhibit A"

1 9 7 4

M A D I S O N

G E N E R A L

P L A N

MADISON
General Plan
1974

Madison is an unincorporated community in western Yolo County containing a population of 153* people just one mile west of the intersection of State Route 16 and Interstate 505. Madison once enjoyed being located at the junction of east-west (Hwy 16) and north-south (Hwy 90 superceeded by I-505). The community is bounded by agricultural lands. An adjacent Southern Pacific line that once went as far as Rumsey in the Capay Valley is now being abandoned.

prepared by the Yolo County Planning Department August, 1974

approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission _____

adopted by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors _____

MADISON GENERAL PLAN

I. Peoples Element

- A. Historical Background
- B. Social Profile
- C. Cultural Facilities
- D. Economic Data
- E. Living Systems
- F. Transportation and Communications
- G. Governmental Structures

II. Housing Element

III. Circulation Element

IV. Scenic Parks and Recreation Elements

V. Open Space and Conservation Elements

VI. Land Use Element

I.

THE PEOPLE

A. Historical Background

Madison was born, like many valley towns, as a result of the railroad push through California. The quarter section of land donated to the railroad by Mr. Hurlbut was subdivided into blocks and lots for sale by the railroad. Madison had twenty-six families housed in twenty-five residences, two hotels, a post office, two general stores, two churches, a school, three blacksmith shops, a barber shop, a drug store, a tin shop, four saloons, a meat market, a livery stable, a harness shop, a wagon shop, warehouses, a flour mill and the railroad depot and express office during the boom years of the late 1870s and early 1880s.

Madison declined since then until the early 1970s when its residential potential began to be realized. Development of Community sewage and water facilities for the community have enhanced the residential capability of the town.

B. Social Profile

Statistical population data used in this section is based on the 1969 Special Census of Yolo County. Many new homes have been and are being constructed since that time. Only two dwellings have been demolished in the same period. New data will not be available until 1975.

1. Population

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Under 18	58	31	27
Under 21	66	37	29
Over 65	14	10	4
Age not reported			
Total Population	153	79	74
Median Age	28.5	24.5	32.5

<u>AGE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>
0-4	10	5	5
5-9	16	10	6
10-14	20	11	9
15-19	16	9	7
20-24	11	5	6
25-29	5	3	2
30-34	6	2	4
35-39	9	3	6
40-44	7	4	3
45-49	20	9	11
50-54	2	1	1
55-59	7	2	5
60-64	10	5	5
65-69	5	4	1
70-74	1	1	
75-79	3	2	1
80-84	1	1	
85+	4	2	2

OCCUPATION OF PRINCIPAL WAGE EARNER

Professional, technical	
Managers, officials	3
Clerical workers	1
Sales workers	1
Craftsmen, foremen	3
Operatives	1
Service workers	2
Laborers	6
Retired	11
Unemployed, not in labor force	7
No response	10

In 1969 only one of the 105 individuals in the 16+ age group was enrolled in school. Median age in the community was around 28 years.

The 45 responding households were reported to include 30 white, 11 white with Spanish surname, 2 black and 2 other non-white families.

A major influence on the community is the nearby migrant farm labor camp owned by the state and operated by the Yolo County Housing Authority. No statistics are available for that transient population.

2. Health Services

a. County Health Department

The Yolo County Health Department operates at 10 Cottonwood Street in Woodland. The program offered by this office is preventive in nature. The following services are offered in order to prevent illness:

1. Tuberculosis skin testing.
2. Immunizations: An immunization program is offered for all pre-school children.
3. Well-baby clinics: Well-baby clinics are held monthly by the County Health Department. The services offered include a general checkup and an immunization program for pre-school children.
4. Crippled Children's Services: Arrangements for these services may be provided through the County for those with financial need.
5. General Health Information: Information on preventative medicine is available from the office.
6. Referral Programs: For those patients who require additional treatment, the department will refer them to either Yolo County General Hospital or a private physician if the patient can afford his/her services. The Department, however, does not keep a certain list of doctors who are willing to accept referrals from the Department. Instead, it will assist the individual in finding the needed doctor from the telephone book or medical directory.

b. Mental Health

Contained within the Department of Health is the Mental Health Services Division. Residents must use the facilities at West Beamer Street in Woodland. Mental Health Services offers rehabilitation programs as well as professional counselors who may specialize in certain areas of mental health:

1. Alcohol Abuse:

Mental Health Services offers counseling for individuals, families and groups. The counselors also work closely with Alcoholics Anonymous, the East Yolo Alcoholism Information Center and provide counseling to those individuals referred to Mental Health.

2. Drug Abuse:

The Methadone Maintenance Program refers individuals to the drug counseling program. One full-time counselor is assigned to this service. Individuals and families are scheduled for counseling as well as group sessions which are held at the Mental Health Center in Broderick and Yolo High in Southport. The Center is presently starting a counseling group for adolescents on probation. Referrals for drug counseling are received from Welfare, Probation and the Courts.

3. After-care Program: Mental Health Services also provides support and medication for those marginal patients who have been released from State Hospitals.

c. Hospital - Ambulance Service

Yolo County currently operates and staffs Yolo County General Hospital which is located in Woodland. This hospital serves County residents by providing daily medical services as well as emergency treatment. Ambulance service is provided by a private ambulance service from Woodland.

d. Private Physicians

A clinic operated by a local physician has been closed. All services must now be sought in Woodland or Sacramento.

3. Welfare

a. County Welfare Department

The Yolo County Welfare Department has a office located in Woodland. Services provided at this office include: 1) Public financial assistance for individuals or families meeting the requirements set by the State government as well as County financial assistance (General Assistance) for those who are unable to receive state aid but qualify for county assistance; 2) Inspection of boarding homes for the aged and children; 3) Distribution of food stamp vouchers; and 4) medical assistance for individuals on State aid through the issuance of medical cards which may be used by the client at any private medical office where the physician wishes to accept it. For those persons on County Aid, they will find medical assistance at the Yolo County General Hospital in Woodland.

Social Services offered by the Welfare Department include:

- 1) Protective services for the beaten child or harassed adults;
- 2) Institution of homemakers program to allow persons to enter the homes of those individuals who are incapacitated to do regular household chores. The program also provides for training some of these disabled individuals to do basic tasks for themselves;
- 3) Coordination with the Health Department to provide family planning counseling;
- 4) Placing of children and adolescents in foster homes, some of whom are referred to Welfare by the Courts and the Probation Department;
- 5) Counseling for present recipients as well as those individuals who were on assistance within the last year.

The office also operates on information and referral service for those individuals who do not qualify for either State or County financial assistance. These individuals are referred to such organizations as Salvation Army, Veteran Services most of which are located outside the County.

4. Courts and Probation Program

All court hearings for juveniles are held at the Court House in Woodland. Usually, two or three court hearings are required for each juvenile resulting in several trips for the parents and the

youngster to Woodland. Only traffic citations and adult misdemeanors are heard at the Justice Court in Esparto. No transportation is provided by the County to assist the individuals in attending Superior Court in Woodland.

The Probation Department provides counseling on three levels: 1) individual; 2) group and 3) family. One probation officer is working with the mental health department in conducting a special therapy group with juveniles to provide alternative means for serving their time in juvenile hall. Private money has also been available to take juveniles on field trips approximately every two weeks.

The Juvenile Hall is also located in Woodland which again presents a handicap for those parents wishing to visit their child in the center. For those youngsters serving time on weekends at the Hall, the Youth Services Bureau does provide transportation.

C. Cultural Facilities

Schools:

Madison is in the Esparto Joint Unified School District with headquarters in Esparto. Elementary levels are taught at the Esparto Elementary School. Junior and Senior High School levels are taught in Esparto.

Colleges and Universities in the area include the University of California at Davis, California, State University at Sacramento, and the Yuba and Los Rios Junior College Districts providing community colleges at Yuba City and Sacramento respectively.

Churches:

There is one church in Madison.

Library Service:

A branch of the Yolo County Library is located in nearby Esparto. This branch provides access to the entire County system which also provides bookmobile service. The Mountain Valley Library System is available through the branch library.

This regional system allows for book interchange among the many counties and cities which are a part of the system as well as Sacramento State College, University of California at Davis and all community colleges within the area except American River College.

Organizations:

As a farming center, Madison's Community Hall is a focal point of social activity in the community.

Recreation:

Playground facilities are located at the old elementary school.

D. Economic Data

Statistical Data from the 1969 Census:

<u>Labor Force Participation</u>	<u>Military</u>	<u>Employed</u>	<u>Unemployed</u>	<u>Student-16+</u>	<u>Not in Force</u>
Male		28	7	1	43
Female		7	2		65
Total		35	9	1	108

Household Income (Annual)

Less than \$3,000	19
\$3,000 - 5,000	8
\$5,000 - 7,500	7
\$7,500 - 10,000	3
\$10,000 - 12,000	2
\$12,000 - 15,000	1
\$15,000 - 20,000	
\$20,000 - 40,000	
Over \$40,000	
No response	5

LOCATION OF EMPLOYMENT

Principal wage earner		Secondary wage earner
9	Woodland area	1
	Davis area	
1	Winters area	1
4	Esparto area	
	East Yolo area	
11	Other parts of Yolo County	4
1	Sacramento County	
1	Other areas	
17	Retired, unemployed, not in labor force	37
1	No response	2

E. Living Systems

Housing:

The 1969 Census reported:

<u>Housing Type</u>	<u>Total Units</u>	<u>Vacant Units</u>	<u>Vacancy Rate %</u>	<u>Occupied Units</u>	<u>Family Size</u>	<u>Population</u>
Single Unit	52	7	13.5	45	3.40	153
2-4 Units/Bldg.						
5- Units/Bldg.						
Mobile Homes						
Motel - Hotel						
Group Quarters						
Total	52	7	13.5	45	3.40	153

Condition of Unit

Tenancy in Unit

Sound	25	Less than 6 months	5
Deteriorating	13	6 months - 1 year	2
Delapidated	4	1 to 2 years	6
Inadequate Construction	2	2 to 3 years	1
Under Reapir		3 to 4 years	
No Response	1	4 to 5 years	1
		5 to 10 years	13
		10 to 15 years	2
		15 or more years	13
		No Response	2

<u>Age of Unit</u>		<u>Ownership or Rental of Unit</u>	
Less than 1 year	1	Own	30
1 to 5 years	1	Rent - less than \$55 per month	6
5 to 10 years	5	\$56 to \$80 per month	7
10 to 15 years	2	\$81 to \$115 per month	1
15 to 20 years	5	\$116 to \$140 per month	
20 to 30 years	8	\$141 to \$175 per month	
30 to 50 years	8	\$176 to \$185 per month	
50 or more years	14	\$186 to \$250 per month	
No Response	1	more than \$250 per month	
		No response	1

<u>Bedrooms and Bathrooms per Unit</u>		
0-1 Bedrooms	0 Bathrooms	2
0-1 Bedrooms	1 Bathrooms	9
2 Bedrooms	1 Bathrooms	22
3 Bedrooms	1 Bathrooms	8
2 Bedrooms	2 Bathrooms	
3 Bedrooms	2 Bathrooms	1
4 Bedrooms	2 Bathrooms	
3+ Bedrooms	3+ Bathrooms	
Other Responses		
No Response		3

Police Service:

The Yolo County Sheriff's Department provides police service to the community including a resident deputy sheriff. The Department's primary function is investigatory, but patrol is also provided.

Traffic enforcement is provided by the California Highway Patrol from the Woodland office.

Fire Service:

The Madison Fire Department is supported by a volunteer system that provides excellent service to the town and surrounding area. Policy for the department is established by a 5 man board appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The equipment provided by the department is also backed by mutual aid pacts with neighboring fire departments.

Utilities:

Madison has water and sewage facilities provided by a community services district. The district has been operating successfully for

several years and remedies a serious health hazard in the community.

Telephone facilities are provided by Pacific Telephone Company.

Electric power is supplied by Pacific Gas and Electric Company, whose local business office is in Woodland.

Environmental Concerns:

Air:

Air pollution control in Yolo County is monitored and controlled through the Yolo-Solano Air Pollution Control District. The bi-county district includes all of Yolo County and the northern half of Solano County. The primary concern of the district is agricultural emissions of pollutants rather than those commonly associated with large concentrations of population.

The Air Pollution Control Board is comprised of all the Yolo County Board Members, as well as the Solano County Board of Supervisors. Staff members within the Air Pollution Control District include the Air Pollution Control Inspector, and Air Pollution Control Technician. All except the Air Pollution Control Officer are involved with the issuance of operating permits and construction permits, burn permits, and ambient air testing.

The Air Pollution Control Officer presides over a hearing board, for proposals which may effect air quality within the district. In addition to the hearing board, the Air Pollution Control Officer represents the district on a Technical Advisory Coordination Board. Industry representatives also contribute to the Federal Advisory Coordination Board decisions. Under State regulations, the district is responsible for continual monitoring of oxide levels, nitrons oxide levels, ozone and carbon monoxide.

Principal air quality denegration in the Madison Area is from agricultural burning. The regulation providing for "burn" and "no-burn" days has helped the pollutant problems.

Water:

The State of California, through the Water Quality Control Board's Central Valley Commission headquartered in Sacramento, controls waste discharge requirements, and agricultural returns waters throughout the County. The State body works in conjunction with the County municipalities and sanitation districts to plan further waste water management programs.

Lending assistance to the state program, is the responsibility of the Federal Environmental Protection Agency which has the power of appeals over the state agency. In December of 1972, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act was amended so that the federal regulation of all surface streams or manageable waterways was possible. Lending assistance to this federal mandate, the State Water Quality Control Board has the responsibility of issuing permits for construction of projects or operation of facilities that may impact the water quality on any navigable stream.

The Department of Public Health within the State of California is the administering agent which is responsible for assessing the quality of water available to the public.

Noise:

One of the most vital environmental issues today is the increasing noise pollution which is present in most communities. Noise causes the interruption of social activities such as education, music, business, etc. It inhibits both indoor and outdoor conversation and psychological damage which is detrimental to the individual and to society. It is definitely part of our living systems. Madison's primary source of noise is from farm equipment, trucking and aerial applicators of farm sprays (Crop Dusters).

F. Transportation and Communications

Madison lies immediately south of State Route 16 and is adjacent to County Road 89, a major thoroughfare in the County system which was once State Route 90. Traffic volumes on Route 16 have been measured to

reflect annual average daily traffic of 2,600 vehicles west of town and 3,000 vehicles east of town. Those volumes increase to the peak monthly volume of 3,350 vehicles west and 3,000 vehicles east of town.

Situated in the midst of a highly productive agricultural area, much of Madison's traffic is agricultural hauling. A specialized trucking firm is located in Madison to haul part of the annual tomato crop from the area.

Railroad service to Madison diminished to the point where it was discontinued.

Yolo County provides a bus service between the community and the shopping centers, doctors offices and other facilities in Woodland. This service allows limited access to Woodland especially oriented to senior citizens, but open to all.

G. Governmental Structures

Governmental structures, when properly attuned to the needs, desires, and capabilities of a community, offer all citizens within that Community an opportunity to fulfill their roles as individuals while contributing to the community well being in general.

In Madison the interplay of Federal, State, Regional, and County governments as well as the school board and special districts helps satisfy demand for more and varied community facilities and services. With the increased demands placed upon governmental budgets, intelligent planning of public facilities and services is mandatory. This planning, in turn, must be predicated on a knowledge of what government facilities and services are presently available, and how best to utilize them for future benefits to the community.

Federal

The federal government, through tax concessions, loans and guarantees, or the establishment of standards, can dramatically effect the growth of a community. Federal policies essentially follow the rule of seldom initiating projects, but rather establishing

a number of standards and criteria, through research and evaluation which are carried out by other levels of government such as the state, regional or county.

State

The State acts as a regulatory body establishing standards and criteria, as does the Federal Government. The State, however, is more limited in its geographical scope than the federal government on specific proposals. The establishment of standards and criteria for county and city administered programs is also a role of the State.

Regional

On a regional level, the area is impacted by the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission. SRAPC has been designated by the federal government to review local government applications for federal aid, as well as being involved in numerous studies and programs on a regional level including transportation, housing, air quality, open space, manpower and solid waste. In addition, it serves as an information gathering body which sets regional policies.

County

Yolo County provides all county services to the community including all the departments. Building inspection, parks, planning and police protection are all provided by the county in absence of a local jurisdiction.

Community Service District

The board of the Madison Community Services District is appointed by the Board of Supervisors. All needed engineering, bookkeeping and legal services are provided by private contract or by the county.

MADISON

Population 153

(All data is based on 1969 Special Census)

(This section was completed in 1972)

Community Analysis

Madison recently finished constructing a community sewage and water system. Streets are in fair condition, with some patch work needed on Tutt and Hurlbut Streets (See map). There are no curbs, gutters or sidewalks, and a drainage problem is evident during moderate to heavy rains. The grounds of an abandoned school provide excellent park and playground facilities.

There is considerable vacant land within Madison. Residential expansion could develop southward into areas currently under A-1 Zoning.

The Special Census counted 153 people living in 45 households. This has changed with the addition of five Self-Help homes occupied by as many as six persons per household. An additional 8 Self-Help units are currently under construction. The mean household income is about \$4,250, and per capita income, is about \$1,250. This is considerably lower than the Federal average of \$10,641 households and \$2,990 per capita income for the County. Twenty-seven (60%) of the households earn less than \$5,000 per year. At least eight of these have a household population of four persons or more. Four households have six or more.

Current Housing Demand

There are eleven households whose extremely low income prevents them from utilizing the Self-Help program as others have done. These households occupy some of the worst housing remaining in Madison. There is a potential demand for eleven leased or conventional Housing Authority units, and thirteen unit subsidized under other subsidy programs.

Table 1 shows the unit size and approximate annual income of the households whose unmet need for adequate living space and unit condition is creating a potential demand for housing.

Table 1
Current Housing Demand

<u>Unit Size</u>	<u>Household Income (In 000)</u>	<u>A*</u>		<u>B*</u>		<u>C*</u>	<u>Total</u>
		7.5-10+	3-5	5-10	Under 3		
1 Bedroom		0	4(2)	2(0)	2(0)		8(2)
2 Bedrooms		1(1)	4(0)	2(1)	6(1)		13(3)
3 Bedrooms		0	1(1)	0	3(0)		4(1)
4+ Bedrooms		0	0	0	0		
Total		1(1)	9(3)	4(1)	11(1)		25(6)

*A - FHA or Conventional Loan Units

*B - Subsidized Units (Section 235, 236, etc.)

*C - Housing Authority Leased and Conventional

Source: Yolo County Planning Department, 1969 Special Census Crosstabulation.

Note: The demand is both in terms of new and improved units.

Housing Problems

Deterioration

Approximately thirteen occupied units require rehabilitation. They are occupied by low and moderate-income households who cannot afford necessary maintenance costs.

Delapidation

Approximately ten units are beyond salvage. Six are occupied by households with annual incomes under \$5,000, four are vacant.

Environmental Problems

Poor storm drainage is the most critical public works problem in the community. Streets are damaged and homes are susceptible to damage by water which will not drain to areas outside the community. Long lived pools of water are breeding grounds for mosquitos, a possible health hazard.

Housing Obstacles

Community Financial Capability

Approximately 72% of the households in Madison earn less than \$7,500 per year. Many have seasonal fluctuations in their incomes. Community and housing improvement is therefore a financial hardship for Madison residents.

Land Availability

The addition of sewage and water systems has made Madison extremely inviting to participants in the Self-Help housing program. Five units have been constructed since 1970, and another eight units will be finished sometime during the summer of 1972. If this construction rate continues, available vacant land will soon be consumed.

Surrounded on two sides by minor highways and on a third side by A-P Zoning, the only directions available for expansion are vertical and southward.

Community Objectives

1. Replace or improve 19 housing units.
2. Clean and maintain existing drainage system.
3. Construct curbs, gutters and sidewalks on Hurlbut, Quincy and Main Streets, staged development.

Recommendations

Overcoming Obstacles

Financial Capability. Whenever possible, residents of the community should donate labor as a means for reducing costs of community improvements.

Land Availability. Additional acreage within the community should be rezoned to multi-unit densities. Acreage south of Hurlbut should be held in A-1 Zone (See Map).


Overcoming Housing Problems

Deterioration. A County Administered Neighborhood Development Program, or Federally Assisted Code Enforcement Program (FACE) should be instituted. Both would require the County to certify a Workable Program with the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Delapidation. The Housing Authority and/or the Economic Opportunity Commission should contact residents in delapidated units, and determine resident eligibility for conventional or leased housing. Leased units (Turnkey II) might be constructed in Madison to meet the needs of these households.

Overcoming Environmental Problems

The community should investigate the possibility of constructing a storm drainage system with Federal Aid. This might be accomplished in conjunction with the FACE program mentioned above.



MADISON

LEGEND

-  Area of Future Growth
-  Proposed Area for Multi-Unit Structures

III. Circulation Element

State Route 16 and County Road 89 will continue to be the major thoroughfares around the community.

IV. Parks and Recreation Elements

The Madison Community Services District maintains the old elementary school grounds as a park for active and passive recreation.

V. Open Space and Conservation Elements

Attention is directed to the County's General Plan Elements.

VI. Land Use Element

Existing land use in the community is delineated on the attached land use maps. This element proposes to continue and expand the existing residential development in the community. Additional industrial area is suggested to accommodate existing and prior land usage.

Existing Land Use

The existing commercial development is at the intersection of State Route 16 and County Road 89 and on Main Street near the intersection of Railroad Street. Road 89 is flanked by a bar and a cafe, each with gas pumps. A grocery store provides convenience shopping on Main Street. The Post Office and the Town Hall are located a little farther down Main Street. Vacant commercial buildings remain after a local appliance store moved into Woodland. The old 100F hall was razed in the last few years.

The tomato grading station, the scales at the old warehouse and the tomato truck terminal are all busy during the tomato season and provide the principal industry in town second to agriculture.

Sixty-five residential units, including two under construction and one duplex, exist in the community. The self-help housing program has been very successful in this community and can account for most of the increase in housing for the community.

Only one church exists in town. The old elementary school building is suffering from non-use.


Proposed Land Use

The plan calls for commercial orientation to Railroad Street rather than Main to reflect existing development. Highway commercial is designated for the intersection of State Route 16 and County Road 89.

Industrial development is indicated for those areas now involved in or with potential for industrial use, including the truck terminal area that was once the site of a flour mill and later a gravel shipping facility. Lack of railroad will diminish the need for industrial area in the community, but the towns central location to rich farm areas could stimulate additional need for more agricultural support industries.


Residential areas are expanded somewhat to allow additional low density growth.


The elementary school grounds are designated for continued use as a community park. This well maintained area is a definite asset since the County has no provisions for local parks.



1' = 300'

MADISON
ZONING MAP
AUGUST 1974




1" = 300'
MADISON
ZONING MAP
AUGUST 1974

1959 General Plan

MADISON, Map No. 9.

1. Land Use Element:

a. Residential: Low density.

There is adequate room for expansion within the existing street pattern of the community.

b. Commercial:

A small central commercial district and highway service commercial area will suffice.

(Total area: 6 acres.)

c. Industrial

The existing area will fill the needs for industry related to the intensifying agricultural region around Madison.

(Total Industrial Area: 6 acres)

2. Streets and Highways Element:

The new freeway will pass Madison almost a mile east of the existing State Route 90. State Highway 16 at the north side of the community will increase in importance for trucks carrying agricultural products and for automobiles travelling to Clear Lake and new reservoirs.

The street pattern of the community is properly segregated from the through highways. If development warrants, Archer Street should be extended to Tutt Street.

3. Parks and Recreation Element:

An improved playground and park in the former schoolhouse block and a planted strip along the slough are needed.

4. Schools and Public Buildings Element;

Elementary school facilities at Esparto will remain adequate for the expected population of the area. The grouping of community buildings along the south side of Main Street should continue.

Woodward, California



ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Description of Project

General Plan review for unincorporated community in Yolo County pursuant to the Government Code of the State of California. The project area is described above.

Environmental Setting

The existing conditions in and around the community are described in the Plan.

Environmental Impact

The impact of the proposed general plan will primarily be felt in the area of changes. Some adverse effects will occur to the agricultural areas adjacent to future residential zones due to curtailment of farming operations caused by adding people to the scene. Farming is damaged by reduction of burning and aerial applications adjacent to residential usage, by vandalism from the towns, by weed spread from untended lots and by assessment practices that increase taxes on land thought to be developable in the future. Conversely the future impact on residents of the town by being surrounded by agricultural activities will assuredly be significant due to the pollutive mechanical devices involved in modern farming.

Continued development of the community will add to the pressure on water supply and waste disposal systems. The community services district has constructed facilities in anticipation of development, but that additional load will be felt by future residents. The school system would also be effected by increased populations.

All of the land involved in the plan has been altered, either by farming or subdivision development, to destroy naturally or historically significant forms.

Mitigation Measures

The plan proposes to locate people involved in the area in compact, serviceable communities thereby attempting to avoid scattering individual residences throughout the county. The community has demonstrated a capability to provide for its present and future citizens by providing a healthy place to live.

Alternatives

An alternate to the General Plan review would be no review. That alternative seems to avoid responsibility and ignore the needs of the people. The over all impacts of growth would not be avoided since the community plan now projects future development at a greater rate than is proposed here.

Many alternative plans could be developed. The one proposed here results from staff consultation with interested citizens and other agencies. Different concepts may be developed during the hearings on the issues.

Long-term vs Short-term

Planning is to provide for long term productivity by suggesting direction to short term uses. This plan carries that concept.

Irreversible Changes

The plan is not cast in concrete. Building in areas subsequently zoned in accord with the plan may be considered irreversible, but the plan itself proposes to reverse suggestions in earlier planning efforts and would be subject to the same pressures.

Growth Inducing Impact

Should the community grow? The plan proposes to accommodate the growth that might occur. If the plan is successful growth might be stimulated. Otherwise it will be other actions that stimulate growth in the community such as the self-help housing program, agricultural developments and so forth.

FILED

DEC-3 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara A. Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-151

(Resolution Amending Yolo County Master Plan)

WHEREAS, on the 28th day of January 1959, by Resolution No. 59-15, the Board of Supervisors adopted the present and now existing Yolo County Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission on August 20th, 1974 and September 4, 1974, held public hearings as required by law to consider amending the Master Plan of the entire Knights Landing area; and

WHEREAS, said Yolo County Planning Commission recommended to this Board that the Yolo County Master Plan be amended in accordance with the document entitled "Knights Landing General Plan" filed by said Commission with the Clerk of this Board and entitled Exhibit "A", which document is annexed hereto and by reference made a part hereof; and

WHEREAS, this Board held a hearing after a proper notice of the purpose of hearing protestants to the recommendations concerning said proposed amendments to the Knights Landing Area Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, this Board has determined that the aforesaid recommendation of the Yolo County Planning Commission is for the best interest of the residents of the County of Yolo,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That the Yolo County Master Plan is hereby amended as set forth in said Exhibit "A".

2. That the filed document hereinabove referred to depicting said changes of the Master Plan is adopted and made a part of the Yolo County Master Plan and the Clerk of this Board is hereby ordered to notice such on said document.

3. That this Board finds that the foregoing recitals are

1 true and correct.

2 4. That this Board hereby certifies that an Environmental
3 Impact Report was completed in accordance with the provisions of
4 the California Environmental Quality Act and that it reviewed and
5 considered the contents thereof in making its decision in this
6 matter.


7 5. That the Clerk of this Board is directed to file a
8 Notice of Determination in the manner prescribed by law.

9 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
10 County of Yolo, State of California, this 4th day of November,
11 1974, by the following vote:

12 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.


13 NOES: None.

14 ABSENT: None.

15
16 
17 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
18 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
19

20 ATTEST:

21 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

22 BY 
23 
24 DEPUTY

25 (SEAL)
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

KNIGHTS LANDING

GENERAL PLAN

1974

Knights Landing is an unincorporated community in the northeast section of the County of Yolo containing a population of 619. Bounded on the north by the Sacramento River, on the west by Sycamore Slough and on the south by the Knights Landing Ridge Cut, the community does extend over the levee of the old Southern Pacific line, now abandoned, to a line about 280 feet east of that old railroad track.

prepared by the

Yolo County Planning Department

approved by the

Yolo County Planning Commission

_____ date

adopted by the

Yolo County Board of Supervisors

_____ date

KNIGHTS LANDING GENERAL PLAN

I. Peoples Element

- A. Historical Background
- B. Social Profile
- C. Cultural Facilities
- D. Economic Data
- E. Living Systems
- F. Transportation and Communications
- G. Governmental Structures

II. Housing Element

. III. Circulation Element

. IV. Scenic Parks and Recreation Elements

V. Open Space and Conservation Elements

VI. Land Use Element

I
THE PEOPLE

A. Historical Background

William Knight built his home on an Indian Mound ("yoldoi" or "Yoloy") on the Sacramento River at Sycamore Slough in 1843. A town was laid out on the adjacent high land in 1849 and called Baltimore. Several lots in the town were sold, but the subdivision failed when partners disagreed. A flood in 1849 demonstrated the locality's importance as a steamboat landing and point of communication and the town of Knights Landing was commenced in 1853. Mr. Knight had originated a ferry service and that service was continued for many years.

Knights Landing grew over the years. In 1855 an attempt was made to make the town the county seat, but the attempt was unsuccessful. That same year a mail line was established from Benicia and a Postmaster appointed for the town. A mill started in the town in 1856 produced the premium winning flour in the 1860 State Fair, and brought the highest price in the market. A school had opened in the community in 1858 and the Knight's Landing News began in August of 1859 advertising the half dozen stores in the town at that time. In 1861 the original Knights Grant was rejected by the U.S. Supreme Court, throwing land ownership into doubt until a patent was issued in 1869.

The map of Knights Landing recorded in 1869 continues to be the basis for description of most town property. The Dixon Addition and River Farm's Addition, Second Addition and Third Addition to Knights Landing have contributed more lots to the basic townsite.

B. Social Profile

Statistical population data used in this section will be based on the 1969 Special Census of Yolo County. Six new homes have been constructed and several units demolished in Knights Landing since 1969 and the population is relatively stable.

1. Population:

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Sex not Reported</u>
Under 18	218	115	103	
Under 21	235	125	110	
Over 65	82	47	35	
Age not reported	1			
Total Population	619	332	285	1
Median Age	31.6	34.2	29.3	

<u>Age</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Sex not Reported</u>
0-4	66	32	34	
5-9	64	31	33	
10-14	64	40	24	
15-19	35	19	16	
20-24	31	16	15	
25-29	41	17	24	
30-34	25	13	12	
35-39	33	14	19	
40-44	31	18	13	
45-49	29	14	15	
50-54	30	17	13	
55-59	49	31	17	1
60-64	38	23	15	
65-69	33	18	15	
70-74	25	16	9	
75-79	10	6	4	
80-84	6	4	2	
85+	8	3	5	

Occupation of Principal Wage Earner

Professional, technical	3
Managers, officials	23
Clerical workers	4
Sales workers	4
Craftsmen, foremen	19
Operatives	16
Service workers	11
Laborers	22
Retired	72
Unemployed, not in labor force	28
No response	19

In 1969 only 6 people of the 421 individuals in the age group of 16 years and older were found to be enrolled in school. Median age is higher than the County median as is the case in many of the older communities.

The 220 responding households at that time included 22 families with spanish surnames, but no other minorities.

2. Health Services

a. County Health Department

The Yolo County Health Department operates at 10 Cottonwood Street in Woodland. The program offered by this office is preventive in nature. The following services are offered in order to prevent illness:

1. Tuberculoses skin testing.

2. Immunizations: An immunization program is offered for all pre-school children.

3. Well-baby clinics: Well-baby clinics are held monthly by the County Health Department. The services offered include a general checkup and an immunization program for pre-school children.

4. Crippled Children's Services: Arrangements for these services may be provided through the County for those with financial need.
5. General Health Information: Information on preventative medicine is available from the office.
6. Referral Programs: For those patients who require additional treatment, the department will refer them to either Yolo County General Hospital or a private physician if the patient can afford his/her services. The Department, however, does not keep a certain list of doctors who are willing to accept referrals from the Department. Instead, it will assist the individual in finding the needed doctor from the telephone book or medical directory.

b. Mental Health

Contained within the Department of Health is the Mental Health Services Division. Residents must use the facilities at West Beamer Street in Woodland. Mental Health Services offers rehabilitation programs as well as professional counselors who may specialize in certain areas of mental health:

1. Alcohol Abuse:

Mental Health Services offers counseling for individuals, families and groups. The counselors also work closely with Alcoholics Anonymous, the East Yolo Alcoholism Information Center and provide counseling to those individuals referred to Mental Health.

2. Drug Abuse:

The Methadone Maintenance Program refers individuals to the drug counseling program. One full-time counselor is assigned to this service. Individuals and families are scheduled for counseling as well as group sessions which are held at the Mental Health Center in Broderick and Yolo High in Southport. The center is presently starting a counseling group for adolescents on probation. Referrals for drug counseling are received from Welfare, Probation and the Courts.

- 3. After-care Program: Mental Health Services also provides support and medication for those marginal patients who have been released from State Hospitals.

c. Hospital - Ambulance Service

Yolo County currently operates and staffs Yolo County General Hospital which is located in Woodland. This hospital serves County residents by providing daily medical services as well as emergency treatment. Ambulance service is provided by a private ambulance service from Woodland.

d. Private Physicians

A clinic operated by a local physician has been closed. All services must now be sought in Woodland or Sacramento.

3. Welfare

a. County Welfare Department

The Yolo County Welfare Department has a branch office located at 350 C Street in Broderick. Services provided at this office include: 1) Public financial assistance for individuals or families meeting the requirements set by the State government as well as County financial assistance (General Assistance) for those who are unable to receive state aid but qualify for county assistance; 2) Inspection of boarding homes for the aged and children; 3) Distribution of food stamp vouchers; and 4) medical assistance for individuals on State aid through the issuance of medical cards which may be used by the client at any private medical office where the physician wishes to accept it. For those persons on County Aid, they will find medical assistance at the Yolo County General Hospital in Woodland.

Social Services offered by the Welfare Department include:

- 1) Protective services for the beaten child or harassed adults;
- 2) Institution of homemakers program to allow persons to enter the homes of those individuals who are incapacitated to do regular household chores. The program also provides for training some of these disabled individuals to do basic tasks for themselves;

- 3) Coordination with the Health Department to provide family planning counseling;
- 4) Placing of children and adolescents in foster homes, some of whom are referred to Welfare by the Courts and the Probation Department;
- 5) Counseling for present recipients as well as those individuals who were on assistance within the last year.

The office also operates on information and referral service for those individuals who do not qualify for either State or County financial assistance. These individuals are referred to such organizations as Salvation Army, Veteran Services most of which are located outside the County.

4. Courts and Probation Program

All court hearings for juveniles are held at the Court House in Woodland. Usually, two or three court hearings are required for each juvenile resulting in several trips for the parents and the youngster to Woodland. Only traffic citations and adult misdemeanors are heard at the Justice Court in Knights Landing. No transportation is provided by the County to assist the individuals in attending Superior or Juvenile Courts in Woodland.

The Probation Department provides counseling on three levels: 1) individual; 2) group and 3) family. One probation officer is working with the mental health department in conducting a special therapy group with juveniles to provide alternative means for serving their time in juvenile hall. Private money has also been available to take juveniles on field trips approximately every two weeks.

The Juvenile Hall is also located in Woodland which again presents a handicap for those parents wishing to visit their child in the center. For those youngsters serving time on weekends at the Hall, the Youth Services Bureau does provide transportation.

C. Cultural Facilities

Schools:

Knights Landing is in the Woodland Joint Unified School District with headquarters in Woodland. Elementary levels are taught at the Grafton School. Junior and Senior High School levels are taught in Woodland.

Colleges and Universities in the area include the University of California at Davis, California, State University at Sacramento, and the Yuba and Los Rios Junior College Districts providing community colleges at Yuba City and Sacramento respectively.

Churches:

Three churches exist in Knights Landing.

Library Service:

A branch of the Yolo County Library is located at 413 3rd Street. This branch provides access to the entire County system which also provides bookmobile service. The Mountain Valley Library System is available through the branch library.

This regional system allows for book interchange among the many counties and cities which are a part of the system as well as Sacramento State College, University of California at Davis and all community colleges within the area except American River College.

Organizations:

Knights Landing is home to a vital sportsman's organization, the Knights Landing Sportsman's Club. As a farming center, Knights Landing's Community Hall is a focal point of social activity in the community. The Boy Scout cabin provides an important meeting place for the community.

Recreation:

Playground facilities are located on the elementary school. The Sacramento River provides great fishing and boating opportunities with the County operated boat ramp and picnic area located on Sycamore Slough and a private boat dock located northeast of the town.

D. Economic Data

Statistical Data presented here is from the 1969 Special Census.

<u>Labor Force Participation</u>	<u>Military</u>	<u>Employed</u>	<u>Unemployed</u>	<u>Student-16+</u>	<u>Not in Force</u>
Male	1	124	23	3	182
Female	0	28	1	3	253
Total	1	152	24	6	435

Household Income (annual)

Less than \$3,000	87
\$3,000-5,000	30
\$5,000-7,500	42
\$7,500-10,000	22
\$10,000-12,000	7
\$12,000-15,000	9
\$15,000-20,000	6
\$20,000-40,000	1
Over 40,000	
No response	17

Location of Employment

principal wage earner		secondary wage earner
30	Woodland area	7
1	Davis area	1
	Winters area	
	Esparto area	
	East Yolo area	1
39	Other parts of Yolo County	9
	Sacramento County	
50	Other areas	10
99	Retired, unemployed, not in labor force	191
2	No response	2

E. Living Systems

Housing:

The Special Census of 1969 reported the following data:

<u>Housing Type</u>	<u>Total Units</u>	<u>Vacant Units</u>	<u>Vacancy Rate %</u>	<u>Occupied Units</u>	<u>Family Size</u>	<u>Population</u>
Single Unit	216	28	12.9	188	2.69	506
2-4 Units/Bldg.	31	7	22.5	24	3.50	84
5- Units/Bldg.						
Mobile Homes	9			9	2.44	22
Motel-Hotel						
Group Quarters						7
Total	256	35	13.6	221	2.77	619

Condition of Unit

Tenancy in Unit

Sound	132	Less than 6 months	32
Deteriorating	51	6 months - 1 year	22
Delapidated	35	1 to 2 years	19
Inadequate Construction	2	2 to 3 years	18
Under Repair		3 to 4 years	8
No Response	1	4 to 5 years	13
		5 to 10 years	26
		10 to 15 years	27
		15 or more years	48
		No Response	8

Age of Unit

Ownership or Rental of Unit

Less than 1 year	2	Own	101
1 to 5 years	3	Rent - less than \$55 per month	77
5 to 10 years	21	\$56 to \$80 per month	27
10 to 15 years	17	\$81 to \$115 per month	7
15 to 20 years	19	\$116 to \$140 per month	1
20 to 30 years	26	\$141 to \$175 per month	
30 to 50 years	49	\$176 to \$185 per month	
50 or more years	72	\$186 to \$250 per month	
No Response	12	more than \$250 per month	
		No Response	8

Bedrooms and Bathrooms per Unit

0-1 Bedrooms	0 Bathrooms	9
0-1 Bedrooms	1 Bathrooms	33
2 Bedrooms	1 Bathrooms	81
3 Bedrooms	1 Bathrooms	32
2 Bedrooms	2 Bathrooms	8
3 Bedrooms	2 Bathrooms	18
4 Bedrooms	2 Bathrooms	3
3+ Bedrooms	3+ Bathrooms	2
Other Responses		32
No Response		3

That data has been modified significantly by the razing of 31 single men's shacks and several small family units. Only 6 new dwellings have been constructed since 1969. Most people displaced by razing of substandard units moved away from town.

The Yolo County Housing Authority maintains 10 units in town. These units are generally well cared for by the district and are typical of the Authority's housing in other communities.

Several new dwellings in the community have been constructed under the self-help program financed by the Farmers Home Administration under the United States Department of Agriculture.

Police Service:

The Yolo County Sheriff's Department provides police service to the community including a resident deputy sheriff. The Department's primary function is investigatory, but patrol is also provided.

Traffic enforcement is provided by the California Highway Patrol from the Woodland office.

Fire Service:

The Knights Landing Fire Department supported by a volunteer system that provides excellent service to the

town and surrounding area. Policy for the department is established by a 5 man board appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The community is covered by fire hydrants located to the satisfaction of the Fire Protection Bureau. The equipment provided by the department is also backed by mutual aid pacts with neighboring fire departments.

Utilities:

Knights Landing has water and sewage facilities provided by a community services district. Three wells are used. The district has been operating successfully for several years and remedies a serious health hazard in the community due to a high water table and concentrated development.

Telephone facilities are provided by Continental Telephone of California, and Independent Telephone Company with interconnection to the Bell System and other independent companies. Continental serves Knights Landing and the farmland of the extreme northeast of Yolo County as well as parts of Sutter County.

Electric power is supplied by Pacific Gas and Electric Company, whose local business office is in Woodland.

Flood Control:

Reclamation District No. 730 and Levee Maintenance District No. 6 maintain levees around the community to avoid flooding. The Knights Landing Ridge Cut is a major floodway relieving the regular overflows from the Colusa drainage area.

Environmental Concerns:

Air:

Air pollution control in Yolo County is monitored and controlled through the Yolo-Solano Air Pollution Control District. The bi-county district includes all of Yolo County and the northern half of Solano County. The primary concern of the district is agricultural emissions of pollutants rather than those commonly associated with large concentrations of population.

The Air Pollution Control Board is comprised of all the Yolo County

Board Members, as well as the Solano County Board of Supervisors. Staff members within the Air Pollution Control District include the Air Pollution Control Officer, Air Pollution Control Engineer, Air Pollution Control Inspector, and Air Pollution Control Technician. All except the Air Pollution Control Officer are involved with the issuance of operating permits and construction permits, burn permits, and ambient air testing.

The Air Pollution Control Officer presides over a hearing board, for proposals which may effect air quality within the district. In addition to the hearing board, the Air Pollution Control Officer represents the district on a Technical Advisory Coordination Board. Industry representatives also contribute to the Federal Advisory Coordination Board decisions. Under State regulations, the district is responsible for continual monitoring of oxide levels, nitrons oxide levels, ozone and carbon monoxide.

Principal air quality denegration in the Knights Landing Area is from agricultural burning. The regulation providing for "burn" and "no-burn" days has helped the pollutant problems. The regular burning of the adjacent dump should be eliminated with the new County dump program and relieve a nuisance from the community.

Water:

The State of California, through the Water Quality Control Board's Central Valley Commission headquartered in Sacramento, controls waste discharge requirements, and agricultural returns waters throughout the County. The State body works in conjunction with the County munisipalities and sanitation districts to plan further waste water management programs.

Lending assistance to the state program, is the responsibility of the Federal Environmental Protection Agency which has the power of appeals over the state agency. In December of 1972, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act was amended so that the federal regulation of all surface streams or manageable waterways was possible. Lending assistance to this federal mandate, the State Water Quality Control

Board has the responsibility of issuing permits for construction of projects or operation of facilities that may impact the water quality on any navigable stream.

The Department of Public Health within the State of California is the administering agent which is responsible for assessing the quality of water available to the public.

Noise:

One of the most vital environmental issues today is the increasing noise pollution which is present in most communities. Noise causes the interruption of social activities such as education, music, business, etc. It inhibits both indoor and outdoor conversation and solitude. Noise has been shown to cause both physiological and psychological damage which is detrimental to the individual and to society. It is definitely part of our living systems. Knights Landing's primary source of noise is from farm equipment and aerial applicators of farm sprays (Crop Dusters).

F. Transportation and Communications

Knights Landing has one major traffic carrier: Locust Street/ State Route 113. The state route runs through Knights Landing between the cities of Woodland and Yuba City. Traffic volume on the route, measured at the river averaged 5,300 vehicles per day in 1973. State Route 45/4th Street provides local, rather than through, traffic volumes of about 980 vehicles per day.

Traffic between Knights Landing and Woodland is facilitated by the high-speed County Road 102. Truck traffic, especially agricultural hauling, is a major contributor to volumes. An active local trucking business has diminished to the point where its facilities now serve a minor role for a Woodland based trucking firm.

River traffic to Knights Landing has apparently ended, although lasting well into this decade. The local gasoline distribution business has facilities for barge supply.

Railroad service to Knights Landing diminished to the point where it was discontinued. The tracks are now being removed on the section between Knights Landing and Woodland. The railroad bridge to Robbins had long been inoperable and was recently demolished.

Yolo County provides a weekly bus service between the community and the shopping centers, doctors offices and other facilities in Woodland. This service allows limited access to Woodland especially oriented to senior citizens, but open to all.

G. Governmental Structures

Governmental structures, when properly attuned to the needs, desires, and capabilities of a community, offer all citizens within that Community an opportunity to fulfill their roles as individuals while contributing to the community well being in general.

In Knights Landing the interplay of Federal, State, Regional, and County governments as well as the school board and special districts helps satisfy demand for more and varied community facilities and services. With the increased demands placed upon governmental budgets, intelligent planning of public facilities and services is mandatory. This planning, in turn, must be predicated on a knowledge of what government facilities and services are presently available, and how best to utilize them for future benefits to the community.

Federal

The federal government, through tax concessions, loans and guarantees, or the establishment of standards, can dramatically effect the growth of a community. Federal policies essentially follow the rule of seldom initiating projects, but rather establishing a number of standards and criteria, through research and evaluation which are carried out by other levels of government such as the state, regional or county.

State

The State acts as a regulatory body establishing standards and criteria, as does the Federal Government. The State, however, is more limited in its geographical scope than the federal government on specific proposals. The establishment of standards and criteria for county and city administered programs is also a role of the State.

Regional

On a regional level, Knights Landing is impacted by the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission. SRAPC has been designated by the federal government to review local government applications for federal aid, as well as being involved in numerous studies and programs on a regional level including transportation, housing, air quality, open space, manpower and solid waste. In addition, it serves as an information gathering body which sets regional policies.

County

Yolo County provides all county services to the community including all the departments. Building inspection, parks, planning and police protection are all provided by the county in absence of a local jurisdiction.

School Board

The education of children in Knights Landing is guided by the Board of the vast Woodland Joint Unified District.

Judicial District

The Knights Landing Justice Court, presided over by Judge Archer, principally handles adult misdemeanors and drunk driving cases in the area covered by the judicial district.

Community Service District

The board of the Knights Landing Community Services District is appointed by the Board of Supervisors. All needed engineering, book-keeping and legal services are provided by private contract or by the county.

Cemetery District

Residents of Knights Landing have the opportunity to be buried in the local cemetery. The district has been operating for many years with little change.

II.
Housing Element
Knights Landing

(all data is based on 1969 Special Census)*

Community Analysis

The new sewage and water systems are completed, and residents are beginning to hook up. Streets, curbs, and gutters are in fair to good condition. The only park site is an underdeveloped boat ramp facility just west of the community. The school grounds provide the only playground facility for the 194 children under fifteen years of age. The old commercial area is deteriorated and does not appear to be located properly to serve the community.

Considerable vacant land is available for housing development. Most of the vacant acreage is located outside, but adjacent to, the Knights Landing Service District (See Map).

Approximately 32 delapidated housing units have recently been vacated, condemned and are in the process of being torn down. The resultant loss of low-income households has raised the average household income for the community from \$5,166 to \$5,797 and per capita income from \$1,844 to \$1,964. Per capita income is still considerably lower than the county and regional averages.

There are approximately 572 persons living in 189 units. Rents vary from less than \$55 to \$140 per month, but 72 (82%) of the renters pay less than \$80 per month. Almost half (49%) of the owner-occupied units are valued at less than \$14,999.

*Note: The data in this report reflects the removal of approximately 32 units from the housing stock. The majority of the 47 occupants moved to areas outside of Knights Landing. The actual population counted during the Special Census was 619.

Current Housing Demand

Household need for adequate living space and unit condition is creating a demand for 63 new or improved units. The greatest demand is for one and two bedroom units. Thirty-seven households with incomes under \$5,000 require some form of assistance to meet their housing needs.

Table 1 shows the income and unit size data for households with unmet housing needs. Data in parenthesis indicates the portion of the units which are in standard condition, but overcrowded.

Table 1
Current Housing Demand

	<u>A*</u>	<u>B*</u>		<u>C*</u>	<u>Total</u>
Household Income (In 000)	7.5-10+	3-5	5-10	Under 3	
<u>Unit Size</u>					
1 Bedroom	2 (0)	4 (2)	8 (2)	11 (0)	25 (4)
2 Bedrooms	1 (1)	7 (1)	8 (3)	12 (0)	28 (5)
3 Bedrooms	0	0	7 (2)	3 (0)	10 (2)
4+ Bedrooms	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3 (1)	11 (3)	23 (7)	26 (0)	63 (11)

*A - FHA or Conventional Loan Units

*B - Subsidized Units (Section 235, 236, etc.)

*C - Housing Authority Leased and Conventional

Source: Yolo County Planning Department, 1969 Special Census Crosstabulation.

Note: The demand is both in terms of new and improved units.

Housing Problems

Housing Condition (as of June, 1972)

After condemning and razing 31 single mens shacks there still remains 57 occupied substandard units in Knights Landing. The major problem now is deterioration - 47 units require improvement and can be recovered. There are still 10 units which probably cannot be returned to standard condition, 5 are 1-bedroom units, 5 are 2-bedroom units.

Overcrowding

Eleven standard housing units were overcrowded at the time of the Census. Fourteen substandard units were also overcrowded. Hardest hit are seven overcrowded households who live in delapidated or inadequately constructed units.

Environmental Problems

Parks and Playgrounds

The local school provides the only space which can be utilized for playground activities, there is no community park.

Commercial Area

Commercial structures in the older section of town have been allowed to deteriorate. Many of the structures are vacant, the result of a decline in community size.

Housing Obstacles

Relocation

Condemnation procedures, a tool for removal of extremely poor housing, cannot occur without providing adequate shelter for the displaced household. At present, there are no standard units available for housing permanently displaced low-income households at a price they can afford.

Many renter and owner-occupants of substandard housing consider adequate housing a secondary need to that of food, clothing medicine, etc. As a result, they seek a lower level of shelter condition in exchange for greater satisfaction of these more direct personal needs.

Objectives

1. Construct or lease an additional eight Housing Authority Units to be used for temporary relocation housing.
2. Participate in a rural transportation system.
3. Increase park and playground acreage.

Recommendations

Overcoming Obstacles

Relocation. The County Housing Authority should lease a minimum of eight dwellings to be used as temporary housing for households displaced due to renovation or demolition of occupied units.

Need Priorities. Locally, the only solution available is to encourage rentors to participate in the leased housing programs administered by the Housing Authority. Attempted solutions should not precipitate a raise in rents.

Overcoming Housing Problems

Housing Condition. The Housing Authority should attempt to lease 10 to 15 units. The community should participate in a Federally Assisted Code Enforcement Program (FACE). This would require the County to prepare a Certified Workable Program, as well as administer the FACE program.

Renovation of the 47 deteriorating units could partially be accomplished through FmHA 502 and 504 loans, and FHA 220 and 220(h) loans. Self-Help housing might be another approach.


Overcrowding

Additions to existing units is the only local means of solving the overcrowding problem.

Overcoming Environmental Problems

Parks and Playgrounds. The development and maintenance of one park/picnic area adjacent to the school, and two or three small neighborhood parks near centers of population should be included in the Knights Landing Service district.

Commercial Area. There is little that can be done to restore the commercial area as a commercial area because the demand for commercial services does not warrant it. Owners should be encouraged to seek Federal Aid to convert structurally sound buildings to other uses. A day-care center, medical center, and elderly housing might be practicable conversions.



LEGEND

- Special Census Boundary
- 000 Special Census Number
-  Vacant Land
-  School

III. Circulation Element

The limited expected growth of Knights Landing reduces the need for a major traffic system through the town. Some thought has been given to re-routing Route 113 outside the community. That relocation could have significant impact, both beneficial and detrimental, to the community. Locust Street can handle the relatively low volumes now on Route 113, but the highway provides a hazard to intra city traffic and endangers school children from the west side of town.

It is proposed to continue to designate Locust Street/Route 113 as a major thoroughfare through the community. No improvement of the street by the county is anticipated.

IV. Scenic, Parks and Recreation Elements

The County maintains the boat ramp and fishing access area adjacent to town across Sycamore Slough. The County does not provide local parks, which, if desired, could be developed by local special service district procedures. A private recreational vehicle park in the community appears to be thriving.

County Road 116, starting in Knights Landing is provisionally designated as County Scenic Route. State Route 45 is somewhat scenic, but poorly developed.

V. Open Space and Conservation Elements

The community's bucolic character is amplified by the wide, tree lined streets. Surrounding agricultural land is in agricultural preserves. The drainage facilities of Sycamore Slough and the Knights Landing Ridge Cut assure open and green space providing all cover is not eliminated by levee maintenance procedures.

Efforts should be made to preserve and enhance the Indian mound north of the intersection of Oak Grove Avenue and 4th Street. Attention is directed to the Conservation Element of Yolo County's General Plan.

VI. Land Use Element

Existing land use in the community is delineated on the attached land use maps. This element proposes to continue and increase residential development in the community. It is suggested that existing vacant areas under commercial and industrial designations be changed to provide for residential uses with special attention given to the retirement/recreational potential of the community. No extensions of the existing town limits is proposed.

(Land Use proposed would be explained with respect to Plan map.)

Existing Land Use

The existing commercial development of Knights Landing centers on Locust Street. Two grocery stores provide convenience shopping to the residents and surrounding farm areas. A couple of sporting goods stores cater to the fishing and boating enthusiasts coming through town to the river. A hardware store provides local users with supplies. One drive-in food facility continues to exist in town, while another has switched to wholesale manufacture of sandwiches for distribution throughout the region. A bar and cafe has had varied success on the north end of town next to a self-service laundry. A new bank seems to demonstrate the financial viability of the area. Service station facilities are limited; one such having been abandoned. A comparatively large quantity of commercial floor space is vacant or seldom used, especially in the old center of town.

Industrial uses in town, other than the bean cleaning and storage facility, are generally oriented to agricultural trucking. A major brand gasoline and oil distributor exists next to the river where supplies used to be delivered by barge. Several truck storage areas are scattered around town. A welding shop appears to be principally engaged in truck and farm equipment repair.

Approximately 200 permanent residential units and 14 mobile homes used as residences were counted in the 1974 land use survey. (See also housing section). The pride of many of the owners is reflected in the beautiful maintenance of most houses, old and new, and their yards.

Three church buildings were found in town, and all appeared to be in service. The Sportsman's Club, the fire station, the Boy Scout cabin/community hall are all maintained and apparently used extensively. The branch county library, located in an old commercial

building, reflects the picturesque quality of the community. A county maintenance yard is well designed to shield any bad effects from surrounding residential properties and the telephone company building has little visual impact on the town.

A recreational vehicle camp is attracting much use as providing space for fishermen and boaters using the river directly across the levee or from the launching ramp across Sycamore Slough which get intensive usage most of the year. Grafton School provides play equipment and a large fenced playground for activities as varied as kite flying and Little League baseball.


Proposed Land Use

The plan calls for centralization of commercial activities along Locust Street (State Route 113) at the north end of town to reflect, more realistically, the commercial orientation of the community. A neighborhood commercial area is designated for the southern end of town to take care of future neighborhood needs if additional residential development occurs.

An industrial designation is applied to the one large industry in town, the bean plant, and extends down to include the sewage disposal ponds of the Knights Landing Community Service District. Lack of railroad facilities and proximity to the industrial area of the City of Woodland with its available labor force have tended to retard industrial growth in town.

Residential areas are proposed to be expanded in the plan from previous proposals. A 20-acre parcel formerly designated for industrial development is designated for low density residential development. Additional medium density development is called for to replace unneeded commercial designations in the old downtown area. A better balance between low density and medium density development is attempted.

Park and recreation designations are proposed for riverfront and potential park areas. These areas may include commercial developments oriented to the recreational use of the river. Development of the south end of the school site as a community park is recommended.




GENERAL PLAN
LEGEND:

- AG Agricultural
RL Residential—
Low Density
RM Residential—
Medium Density
NC Neighborhood
Commercial
C Commercial
PR Parks and
Recreation
I Industrial

LEGEND:

- ☐
- House
-
- ☐
- Trailer



1958 General Plan

KNIGHTS LANDING, Map No. 11.

1. Land Use Element:

a. Residential:

Low density:

Area: 50 acres, Families: 250, Population: 875
Elementary school Children: 250

Medium density:

Area: 15 acres, Families: 150, Population: 450
Elementary School Children: 100

Total Population: 1,325, Families: 400, Elem. Sch. Child., 350.

b. Commercial:

The central commercial area is to be preserved and strengthened as a retail, office, and service area with heavier commercial uses at its northern end. The district covers ten acres.

Four acres at the southern end of Knights Landing on U.S. Highway 40 will serve the highway traveler.

c. Industrial:

The area south of Road P116 and east of Locust Street contains fifty acres suitable for industrial use. Along the Sacramento River and east of the railroad lies an agricultural area with potential for the development of industrial uses desiring river transportation.

d. Public:

The public school and neighborhood park will cover approximately 12 acres. Regional recreational facilities along the river both north and south of the city will cover 30 acres.

2. Streets and Highways Element:

The major road improvement affecting Knights Landing will be the rerouting of U.S. Highway 40 alternate. This will become a freeway that crosses Knights Landing Ridge Cut to the southeast and the Sacramento River to the northeast of the community. County Road 13 to Zamora will have a connection to this freeway by means of the existing bridge (improved or paralleled at some future date) and a road extension just north of the ridge cut. County Road P116 will be extended to connect with U.S. Highway Alternate 40.

Within the community street system, the school and future community park site should be isolated from through traffic. Provision should be made to preserve access to the area west of Oak Grove Avenue at 3rd Street, 6th Street, 9th Street and Dixon Street. Also, provision should be made for completion of the circulation pattern in the neighborhood area east of the railroad and between Front and 4th Street.

3. Parks and Recreation Element:


West of the Colusa Basin Drainage Canal the State Conservation Board has built a boat launching facility which can become the nucleus for an area park of approximately ten acres. Two other private boat launching sites are in existence and all could tie into the trail, park system along the Sacramento River.

A community park will also be needed and should be adjacent to the school site

4. Schools and Public Buildings Element:

One elementary school will be sufficient for Knights Landing in the foreseeable future. The present school is well located. It will require enlargement as the population grows.

YOLO COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
P. O. Box 916
Woodland, California



ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Description of Project

General Plan review for unincorporated community in Yolo County pursuant to the Government Code of the State of California. The project area is described above.

Environmental Setting

The existing conditions in and around the community are described in the Plan.

Environmental Impact

The impact of the proposed general plan will primarily be felt in the area of changes. Some adverse effects will occur to the agricultural areas adjacent to future residential zones due to curtailment of farming operations caused by adding people to the scene. Farming is damaged by reduction of burning and aerial applications adjacent to residential usage, by vandalism from the towns, by weed spread from untended lots and by assessment practices that increase taxes on land thought to be developable in the future. Conversely the future impact on residents of the town by being surrounded by agricultural activities will assuredly be significant due to the pollutive mechanical devices involved in modern farming.

Continued development of the community will add to the pressure on water supply and waste disposal systems. The community services district has constructed facilities in anticipation of development, but that additional load will be felt by future residents. The school system would also be effected by increased populations.

All of the land involved in the plan has been altered, either by farming or subdivision development, to destroy naturally or historically significant forms.

Mitigation Measures

The plan proposes to locate people involved in the area in compact, serviceable communities thereby attempting to avoid scattering individual residences throughout the county. The community has demonstrated a capability to provide for its present and future citizens by providing a healthy place to live.

Alternatives

An alternate to the General Plan review would be no review. That alternative seems to avoid responsibility and ignore the needs of the people. The over all impacts of growth would not be avoided since the community plan now projects future development at a greater rate than is proposed here.

Many alternative plans could be developed. The one proposed here results from staff consultation with interested citizens and other agencies. Different concepts may be developed during the hearings on the issues.

Long-term vs Short-term

Planning is to provide for long term productivity by suggesting direction to short term uses. This plan carries that concept.

Irreversible Changes

The plan is not cast in concrete. Building in areas subsequently zoned in accord with the plan may be considered irreversible, but the plan itself proposes to reverse suggestions in earlier planning efforts and would be subject to the same pressures.

Growth Inducing Impact

Should the community grow? The plan proposes to accommodate the growth that might occur. If the plan is successful growth might be stimulated. Otherwise it will be other actions that stimulate growth in the community such as the self-help housing program, agricultural developments and so forth.

FILED

NOV 12 1974
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By *[Signature]* Deputy

(Resolution of Intention to Lease Real Property
and Invitation to Submit Sealed Proposals Therefor)
(Gov. Code § 25526)

XERO
COPY

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo

2 State of California, deems it advisable to lease the real property
3 described in the proposed lease attached hereto, designated
4 Exhibit "A", and incorporated herein by reference thereto; and

5 WHEREAS, that said real property described therein is
6 situated in this County, and commonly known as the Solid Waste
7 Disposal Site; and

8 WHEREAS, the proposed form of lease is also on file in
9 the office of the County Clerk of this County,

10 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that sealed proposals
11 will be received at the office of the Director of Public Works of
12 the County of Yolo located at 292 West Beamer Street in Woodland,
13 California until 2 o'clock p.m., on December 12, 1974 and that a
14 time is hereby fixed for a public meeting of this Board of Super-
15 visors to be held at its regular place of meeting at which sealed
16 proposals to lease said premises will be considered, namely at
17 10 o'clock p.m., on December 16, 1974.

18 Said sealed proposals or bids shall specify the amount
19 of cash rent offered for the full term of said lease, and may not
20 be on the basis of share of crops raised. Proposal forms may be
21 obtained at the Department of Public Works, 292 West Beamer Street,
22 Woodland, California.

23 Notice of the adoption of this resolution and of the
24 time and place of holding the meeting shall be given by posting
25 copies of this resolution signed by the Chairman of the Board in
26 three public places in the County, not less than fifteen (15) days
27 before the date of the meeting, and by publication in THE
28 DAILY DEMOCRAT, a newspaper of general circulation, printed
29 and published in the County of Yolo, once a week for three succes-
30 sive weeks.


31 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
32 County of Yolo, State of California, this 12th day of November,
1974, by the following vote:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.



CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA


ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY  DEPUTY

(SEAL)

APPROVED TO FORM
Date - 11-12-74



County Counsel of Yolo
County.

Exhibit "A"

LEASE

THIS LEASE made and entered into this _____ day of December 1974, by and between the COUNTY OF YOLO, a political subdivision of the State of California, hereinafter referred to as LANDLORD, and _____ hereinafter referred to as TENANT,

W I T N E S S E T H:

1. LEASE.

LANDLORD hereby leases to TENANT and TENANT hereby hires from LANDLORD, on the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, the following described real property:

Parcel 1.

The Northwest one-quarter of Section 30, Township 9 North, Range 3 East, M.D.B. & M., containing 160.25 acres.

Parcel 2.

The Northeast one-quarter of Section 30, Township 9 North, Range 3 East, M.D.B. & M., containing 160.90 acres.

Parcel 3.

The Northwest one-quarter of Section 29, Township 9 North, Range 3 East, M.D.B. & M., containing 158.59 acres.

2. TERM.

The term of this lease shall be three (3) years commencing on January 1, 1975, and terminating December 31, 1977. This lease shall be extended until April 15, 1978 if necessary to accommodate over-winter sugar beet harvest. At the end of this term, TENANT shall retain rights of possession of land in growing crops until such crops are harvested.

3. POSSESSION DATES.

TENANT may enter into possession of the property described herein on the following dates pertaining to each of the three

1 parcels thereof:

2 A. Parcel 1 - immediate possession upon award of lease,
3 subject to the right of the existing holdover tenant
4 to appropriate all necessary water from the well
5 upon said parcel for the benefit of his crops located
6 thereon and on the other parcels of the leased
7 property.

8 B. Parcel 2 - Possession will be after harvest of the
9 existing sugar beet crop by present tenant, approxi-
10 mately April 1, 1975.

11 C. Parcel 3 - Possession will be after harvest of the
12 existing wheat crop by present tenant, approximately
13 June 15, 1975.

14 TENANT is aware that holdover lessee is still in possession
15 of subject property and will remain in possession until
16 existing crops are harvested, and accepts this lease subject
17 to said holdover rights.

18 4. RENT.

19 TENANT shall pay to LANDLORD a total rent for the full term
20 hereof of \$ _____, payable as follows:

21 1/6th upon execution of this instrument

22 1/6th 9 months after execution

23 1/6th 15 months after execution

24 1/6th 21 months after execution

25 1/6th 27 months after execution

26 1/6th 33 months after execution.

27 5. DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY.

28 LANDLORD makes no warranty of soil suitability for growing
29 any crops TENANT desires to grow under the terms of this
30 lease.

31 6. ENTRY BY OWNER.

32 TENANT shall permit LANDLORD, and LANDLORD's agents and

1 assigns, at all reasonable times, to enter the leased
2 premises and to use the roads established on the premises
3 now or in the future, for the purposes of inspection, com-
4 pliance with the terms of this lease, exercise of all rights
5 under this lease, posting notices, to survey the land, and
6 all other lawful purposes.

7 7. RECORDS.

8 TENANT shall make and keep pertinent records of all opera-
9 tions and conduct under this lease and shall make them
10 available to LANDLORD and LANDLORD's agents and assigns at
11 all reasonable times for inspection.

12 8. WATER.

13 LANDLORD expressly disclaims any warranty whatsoever of
14 availability, quantity, or quality of water necessary to
15 irrigate crops, and further disclaims any warranty whatso-
16 ever as to the capacity or condition of the existing well
17 and well machinery located upon the premises leased herein.

18 Any water available for the irrigation of crops to
19 be grown under the terms of this lease shall be available
20 to TENANT during the term of this lease only from wells,
21 pumps and irrigation system presently existing on the
22 premises. TENANT shall perform all necessary routine mainte-
23 nance upon said irrigation system and well, including repair
24 and maintenance of small parts of said system (which are
25 defined herein as having a cost or value of \$50 or less).
26 Except for the maintenance services so described to be
27 performed by TENANT, LANDLORD shall maintain the existing
28 pump, well and irrigation system in good repair during the term
29 of this lease. TENANT shall remain responsible to LANDLORD
30 for any injury or damage to said well, pump and irrigation
31 system resulting from negligence of the TENANT, his employees,
32 agents, or assigns. All power charges, including electrical

1 energy and standby charges for the operation of the pumps
2 shall be borne by TENANT.

3 Water from the source mentioned above shall be used
4 only on the premises and in the performance of TENANT's
5 obligation under the lease. TENANT shall not export this
6 water to other lands before previously obtaining LANDLORD's
7 written consent. LANDLORD assumes no responsibility to
8 TENANT for any water shortage from the facility mentioned
9 above and assumes no responsibility for and does not warrant,
10 the quality or quantity of the water supplied to the premises.

11 In the event the existing crops mentioned in Para-
12 graph 3 above are required to be irrigated, water will be
13 made available to the present tenant for this purpose.

14 9. USE.

15 Premises are leased to TENANT for the planting, growing and
16 harvesting of crops. TENANT shall not use or permit to
17 be used any part of the premises for any purpose other than
18 the purposes for which the premises are leased except upon
19 written consent of LANDLORD. TENANT agrees to farm said
20 land using good husbandry practices and make diligent
21 effort to prevent the spread of all noxious weeds and
22 rodents and other vertebrate pests on the leased premises
23 during the term of the lease and to take reasonable measures
24 to protect the premises from infestations of insects,
25 spiders, mites, snails and other such pests. TENANT agrees
26 to make diligent effort to prevent infestations of organisms
27 that may produce disease in plants or animals and that
28 may come onto or develop on the premises
29
30
31
32

1 during the term of this lease and that might damage crops
2 grown on the premises after the term. On default of the
3 TENANT to do so, LANDLORD reserves the right, after having
4 given ten (10) days notice to take necessary remedial
5 measures at TENANT's expense for which TENANT agrees to
6 reimburse LANDLORD on demand.

7 10. WASTE.

8 TENANT shall not commit or permit others to commit on the
9 premises waste or nuisance or any other act that could dis-
10 turb the quiet enjoyment of LANDLORD or any other tenant of
11 LANDLORD on reserved or adjacent property.

12 11. EXCULPATORY CIAUSE.

13 TENANT covenants to defend, and hold LANDLORD free and
14 harmless from any and all claims, causes of action, or
15 liability for damages arising from any act or cause causing
16 injury to persons or property of any kind owned by anyone,
17 including TENANT, while in, upon or in any way connected
18 with the leased premises during the term or any extension
19 of this lease or any occupancy under this lease.

20 12. SUBLEASE OR ASSIGNMENTS.

21 TENANT shall not assign or sublease any part or portion of
22 the leased premises without the prior written consent of
23 LANDLORD.

24 13. REENTRY BY LANDLORD.

25 LANDLORD shall have the power and privilege to re-enter and
26 terminate this lease at any time during the term of this
27 lease, upon thirty (30) days' notice to TENANT, and as to
28 any part or portion of the premises leased, as it may require
29 for use and expansion of use of the solid waste disposal
30 site. Upon such re-entry and termination of this lease,
31 TENANT shall be compensated only for loss of or damage to
32 existing crops located upon the premises so retaken. In the

1 event the parties hereto disagree or dispute the value of
2 said crop or the amount of such damages, then and in that
3 event they shall apply to the Director of the Agricultural
4 Extension Service for opinion; that said opinion of said
5 person shall be binding upon the parties herein.

6 14. ENTRANCE WAYS.

7 TENANT may, subject to the approval of the County Engineer,
8 make such entrance ways to said real property as TENANT may
9 deem necessary and convenient to its farming operation.

10 15. ADVANCES BY LANDLORD.

11 In the event of TENANT's breach of any covenant of this
12 lease, LANDLORD may, at any time, without notice, cure such
13 breach for the account, and at the expense of TENANT. If
14 TENANT at any time, by reason of such breach, is compelled to
15 pay or elects to pay, any sum of money or to do any act that
16 will require the payment of any sum of money, or is compelled
17 to incur any expense, the sum or sums so paid by LANDLORD
18 with all interest, costs and damages shall be deemed to be
19 additional rent under this lease and shall be due from TENANT
20 to LANDLORD on the first day of the month following the in-
21 curring of such expenses.

22 15. CONDITIONAL LIMITATIONS.

23 Each term and each provision of this lease performable by
24 TENANT shall be construed to be both a covenant and a condi-
25 tion.

26 16. INSURANCE.

27 During the term of this lease, TENANT shall, at its own
28 expense, maintain in full force, a policy or policies of
29 public liability insurance insuring LANDLORD and TENANT
30 against liability for injury for persons and property, and
31 for death of persons arising from any accident, injury or
32 damage occurring in, on, or about the premises, or any

1 portion of them arising from any act, omission, or negligence
2 of TENANT or its contractors, licensees, agents, servants,
3 or employees. The liability under such insurance shall be
4 not less than \$100,000 for any one person injured, or killed,
5 not less than \$300,000 for any one accident, and not less
6 than \$50,000 for property damage.

7 17. ABANDONMENT.

8 In the event TENANT abandons the premises or ceases to
9 diligently farm same, LANDLORD may re-enter and terminate
10 this lease as to any portion thereof, or the whole thereof,
11 upon giving TENANT thirty (30) days' written notice.

12 18. NOTICES.

13 All notices to be given to LANDLORD by TENANT shall be given
14 in writing filed with the Department of Public Works, 292
15 West Beamer Street, Woodland, California, or by depositing
16 the same in the United States mail, postage prepaid, and
17 addressed to the Department of Public Works, 292 West Beamer
18 Street, Woodland, California 95695. Notices to TENANT shall
19 be given in writing personally or by depositing the same in
20 the United States mail, postage prepaid, and addressed to
21 TENANT at the following address: _____
22 _____

23 19. WAIVER.

24 One or more waivers by LANDLORD of any covenant or condition
25 shall not be construed as a waiver of a subsequent breach of
26 the same or any other covenant or condition. LANDLORD's
27 consent to or approval of any act by TENANT requiring LAND-
28 LORD's consent or approval shall not be deemed to waive or
29 render unnecessary LANDLORD's consent to or approval of any
30 subsequent similar act by TENANT.

31 20. CONSTRUCTION.

32 The marginal headings or title to the paragraphs of this

1 lease are not a part of this lease and shall have no effect
2 upon the construction or interpretation of any part of this
3 lease.

4 21. BINDING ON SUCCESSORS.

5 The terms and provisions of this lease shall be binding upon
6 and inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors, administra-
7 tors, successors, and assigns of LANDLORD and TENANT.

8 22. TERMINATION UPON BREACH OF COVENANT.

9 A. LANDLORD at his option may terminate this lease on
10 TENANT's breach of any term, condition, or covenant
11 hereof, on giving thirty (30) days' written notice of
12 such termination to TENANT.

13 B. TENANT may at his option terminate this lease on LAND-
14 LORD's breach of any term, condition, or covenant hereof,
15 on giving thirty (30) days' written notice of such
16 termination to LANDLORD.

17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have
18 executed this lease on the day and year hereinabove written.

19 LANDLORD -

20 COUNTY OF YOLO, a political subdivi-
21 sion of the State of California

22 BY

23 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

24
25 TENANT -
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

FILED

NOV 12 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-153

(Resolution re: Continuing Care [Aftercare]
Program Funds Allocation)

WHEREAS, the matter of expansion of County health services through use of grant funds from the State of California, for the program nominated "Continuing Care (Aftercare) Services" has been brought to the attention of this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Board desires to implement said program with said grant funds; and

WHEREAS, this Board has been advised that in order to do so, the County must commit itself to the expenditure of 10% of said anticipated grant as a matching sum, and that said County share will not exceed the sum of \$4,598;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND that in order to implement said program in this County, and obtain said grant from the State of California, this Board does hereby reserve for the aforesaid purpose the sum of \$4,598, and does further authorize the Program Chief of Mental Health Services of the County of Yolo to prepare and submit to the State of California a proposal for said grant for said program.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors, State of California, this 12th day of November, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Pete E. Lucas
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Pete E. Lucas
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

FILED

NOV 18 1974

LAURENCE P. MENIGAN, Clerk
By PETE E. LUCAS
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-154

(Resolution Revesting in the Board of Supervisors
Powers of Fire Protection Districts)

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo
is the governing body of the following fire protection districts:

- (1) CAPAY VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT of Yolo County
- (2) DUNNIGAN COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
- (3) EAST DAVIS COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT in the County
of Yolo
- (4) ESPARTO FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT of Yolo County
- (5) ELKHORN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
- (6) KNIGHTS LANDING FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT of Yolo County
- (7) WEST PLAINFIELD COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT in Yolo
County
- (8) WESTGATE COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
- (9) WILLOW OAK COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT in Yolo County
- (10) WINTERS COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT in Yolo County
- (11) SPRINGLAKE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT in Yolo County
- (12) NO MAN'S LAND FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT of Yolo County, and

WHEREAS, the Fire Prevention Code, 1965 Edition, has been
adopted by the Board of Supervisors for use within the County of
Yolo; and

WHEREAS, the 1973 Edition of the Uniform Fire Code sub-
stantially updates the earlier uniform codes and editions thereof,
which were previously adopted by Ordinance No. 388 as amended by
Ordinance No. 405 and No. 575; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors is desirous of facili-
tating the adoption of the Uniform Fire Code, 1973 Editions, in
the mentioned districts; and

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the Board of Supervisors that
adoption of said Code and revisions can most easily be effected by
a revesting in this Board of a portion of the powers of the above
named districts which was previously delegated to the local boards

1 of fire commissioners by Resolutions No. 62-177, No. 63-17, and
2 No. 65-159; and

3 WHEREAS, such revesting is only temporary and solely for
4 the purpose of facilitating adoption of the said Code and revision
5 in the above named districts,

6 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the Board of
7 Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, as follows:

8 1. That the power to adopt a fire prevention code, being a
9 part of the powers delegated to the Boards of Fire Commissioners
10 of the above named districts by Resolutions No. 62-177, 63-17 and
11 65-159 be revoked and that this Board of Supervisors be revested
12 with the said power of the above named districts and boards of
13 fire commissioners thereof to adopt a fire prevention code.

14 2. That the public hearing on the enactment in the above
15 named fire districts of the Uniform Fire Code, 1973 Edition, be
16 held at 2:00 o'clock p.m. on the 16th day of December,
17 1974, in the Supervisors' Chambers, Courthouse, Woodland, Cali-
18 fornia, and that all persons interested may appear and be heard.

19 3. That the Clerk of said Board of Supervisors cause this
20 Resolution to be published once a week for two weeks as required
21 by § 50022.3 of the Government Code, in THE DAILY DEMOCRAT

22
23 which is a newspaper of general circulation printed and
24 published in said County or Yolo.

25 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
26 County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of November,
27 1974, by the following vote:

28 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

29 NOES: None.

30 ABSENT: None.

31
32 Wm. E. Duncan.
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 ATTEST:

2 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

3

4 BY Pete E. Lucas
DEPUTY

5 (SEAL)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

FILED (6)

NOV 28 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 74-155

By *[Signature]* Deputy

(Resolution Establishing Revolving Fund
for the Yolo County Commission on Aging)

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has the discretion,
under the provisions of Government Code § 29321, to establish a
revolving fund for the use of any officer of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Chairman of the Yolo County Commission on
Aging has represented to the Board that said Commission has the
need for the establishment of a revolving cash fund, not to exceed
the sum of TEN (\$10) DOLLARS, for the purpose of paying for postage
and sundry items;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the
Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct.

2. For the purposes as stated above, a revolving fund is
hereby established for the Chairman of the Yolo County Commission
on Aging in the sum of TEN (\$10) DOLLARS under the provisions of
§§ 29321 - 29330 of the Government Code of the State of California.

3. The Chairman of the Yolo County Commission on Aging
is hereby ordered to file with the Clerk of this Board of Super-
visors either a bond executed by himself as principal and by an
admitted surety insurer, or cause an endorsement to be added to
his official bond or the County blanket bond maintained in lieu
thereof, either in an amount equal to that of the revolving fund
as established by this resolution. The bond or endorsement shall
be conditioned upon the faithful administration of the fund and
the willingness and ability of the principal to account for an
pay over the fund upon demand of the Board of Supervisors at any
time.

4. Upon the filing of the required bond or issuance of
the required endorsement, the Auditor is hereby authorized and
directed to pay his warrant in favor of the Chairman of the

1 Yolo County Commission on Aging to establish the revolving fund in
2 the amount herein authorized, and the Treasurer is hereby autho-
3 rized and directed to pay the warrant.


4 5. The Clerk of this Board of Supervisors is hereby
5 authorized and directed to transmit certified copies of this
6 resolution to the County Auditor and the County Treasurer.

7 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
8 County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of November,
9 1974, by the following vote:

10 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

11 NOES: None.


12 ABSENT: None.

13
14 

15 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
16 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

17
18
19 ATTEST:

20 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

21
22 BY  DEPUTY

23 (SEAL)
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

FILED

NOV 18 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By PETE E. LUCAS
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74- 156

(Resolution Increasing Revolving Fund for the
Yolo General Hospital)

WHEREAS, Government Code §§ 29320, et seq. authorize the Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the use of a person in charge of a department of the County; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 63-81 such revolving fund was established in the amount of Twenty-five (\$25) Dollars; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 63-93 said revolving fund was increased to Fifty (\$50) Dollars; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has found that the Administrator of the Yolo General Hospital should be authorized to make expenditures from said fund for services or materials for the use at the Yolo General Hospital which are a legal charge against the County, and that said fund should be increased to One Hundred (\$100) Dollars to make sufficient money available for this purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Auditor of Yolo County is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of the Office of the Administrator of Yolo General Hospital for the amount of Fifty (\$50) Dollars and charge said amount to the revolving fund created by Resolution No. 63-81.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the Treasurer of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to honor the hereinabove warrant issued to the Office of the Administrator of the Yolo General Hospital.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and is hereby authorized and directed to transmit to the County Auditor and County Treasurer certified copies of this resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of November.

1 1974, by the following vote:

2 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

3 NOES: None.

4 ABSENT: None.

5

6

WEL. E. DUNCAN

7

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

8

9

10

11

12 ATTEST:

13 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

14 BY PETE E. LUCAS

15 DEPUTY

16 (SEAL)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

RESOLUTION NO. 74-57

(Resolution Increasing Revolving Fund for the
Office of the Department of Public Welfare)

AMENDED BY Res. # 78-66

WHEREAS, Government Code §§ 29320, et seq. authorize the Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the use of a person in charge of a department of the County; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 68-127 such revolving fund was established in the amount of Twenty-five (\$25) Dollars; and

WHEREAS, it appears that due to the growth of the department, the general rise in prices, and the frequency with which the fund has been used, it is necessary to increase said revolving fund to the amount of Fifty (\$50) Dollars for the purposes herein after set forth;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That it is necessary to increase such revolving fund for the following purposes:

a. purchasing bus tokens for those persons in the East Yolo area who need to keep medical, dental or other appointments and who have no funds;

b. to pay "postage due" items when they are delivered to the office; and

c. purchasing sundry items of such small quantity that it would preclude use of a conventional purchase order.

2. That said revolving fund be increased from Twenty-five (\$25) Dollars to the amount of Fifty (\$50) Dollars;

3. That the use of the revolving fund is limited to disbursements for the purposes set forth above;

4. That the Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of the Director of the Department of Public Welfare for the amount of

1 Twenty-five (\$25) Dollars and charge said amount to the revolving
2 fund created by Resolution No. 68-127.

3 5. That the Treasurer of the County of Yolo is hereby
4 authorized and directed to honor the hereinabove warrant issued
5 to the Office of the Director of the Department of Public Welfare.

6 6. That upon demand of the Auditor or the Board of Super-
7 visors, the Director of the Department of Public Welfare shall
8 give an account of the revolving fund for the Office of the De-
9 partment of Public Welfare of the County of Yolo.

10 7. That the Clerk of the Board shall transmit certified
11 copies of this resolution to the County Auditor and to the County
12 Treasurer.

13 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
14 County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of November,
15 1974, by the following vote:

16 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

17 NOES: None.

18 ABSENT: None.

19

20

21

22 ATTEST:

23 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

24

25 BY PETE E. LUCAS
DEPUTY

26

(SEAL)

27

28

29

30

31

32

Wm. E. DUNCAN

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

NOV 25 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara A. Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-158

(Resolution Authorizing the Department
of General Services of the State of Cali-
fornia to Purchase Certain Items)

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County
of Yolo does hereby authorize the Office of Procurement, Depart-
ment of General Services of the State of California to purchase
supplies and equipment for and on behalf of the County of Yolo
pursuant to § 14814, Government Code, and that R. Jack Harrington,
Director of General Services and Purchasing, is hereby authorized
and directed to sign and deliver all necessary requests and other
documents in connection therewith for and on behalf of the County
of Yolo.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 25th day of November,
1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

W. E. Duncan

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution is the
original resolution duly and regularly adopted by the Board of
Supervisors of the County of Yolo at a meeting thereof held on
the 25th day of November, 1974, and that the same now
appears of record in my office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed
my official seal this 25th day of November, 1974.

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, COUNTY CLERK
County of Yolo, State of California

BY Barbara A. Rodgers
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

84

FILED

NOV 25 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-159

(Parking Restrictions - Harbor Boulevard, West Sacramento)

WHEREAS, Section 22507 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorizes restriction of parking or standing of vehicles on certain streets or highways, or portions thereof, during all or certain hours of the day, and;

WHEREAS, Article 1 of Chapter 3 of Title 4, Section 4-3.107 subsection (b) of the Yolo County Code provides for establishment of No Parking areas, and;

WHEREAS, the Director of Public Works has made a recommendation to this Board recommending restricted parking at the location designated herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that No Parking shall be permitted as provided in subsection (b) of said Section 4-3.107 at the following location and subject to the regulations in Section 21458 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California:

On the West side of Harbor Boulevard between Duluth Street and Rice Avenue.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 25th day of November, 1974, by the following vote:

Ayes: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.
Noes: None.
Absent: None.

W. E. Duncan
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Barbara Rodgers Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

XEROX
COPY

To:

Auditor
County Counsel
County Clerk-Elections

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Yolo County, California

Date: November 25, 1974
Entry No. 28

File:
COUNTY CLERK-ELECTIONS-
Consolidation of River Delta
Unified School Dist. & Los
Rios Community College Dt. Govern
ing Board Election with Regular
Governing Board Election
SCHOOL DISTRICTS-River Delta.
SCHOOL DISTRICTS-JUNIOR COLLEGE-
Los Rios.

SEE:
RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 15

RESOLUTION NO. 74-160, CONSOLIDATING THE RIVER DELTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND THE LOS RIOS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER ELECTION WITH THE REGULAR GOVERNING BOARD ELECTION TO BE HELD IN YOLO COUNTY ON MARCH 4, 1975, WAS PASSED AND ADOPTED. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS AUTHORIZED TO SIGN THE RESOLUTION.

Upon motion of Supervisor Edmonds , seconded by Supervisor Espigares and duly carried, the above Resolution was passed and adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

ORIGINAL

FILED

DEC-2 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

Cy. Board on Electronic Data Processing

RESOLUTION NO. 74-161

(Resolution Endorsing Model Modular County
EDP System)

WHEREAS, THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL BOARD ON ELECTRONIC DATA
PROCESSING is currently involved in a cooperative State and
Counties effort to produce a Model Modular County EDP System;
and

WHEREAS, this system will improve the administration of
benefit payments and certain Department of Health programs at
the County level; and

WHEREAS, this program is a permissive one which is not
mandatory for implementation at the local level; and

WHEREAS, Departments in Yolo County who are actively
engaged in developing this system voiced their support of the
program,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of
Supervisors, County of Yolo, State of California, hereby endorses
continuation of the Model Modular County EDP System until it has
been completed and implemented, and further encourages the
Governor-Elect to continue such program should it not be completed
during the current administration.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board is
directed to send a copy of this resolution to the Intergovernmental
Board on Electronic Data Processing, 623 - 10th Street, Sacramento,
California 95814.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 2nd day of December
1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Espigares, Duncan.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: Edmonds.

W. E. Duncan
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

XEROX
COPY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK
BY Barbara Rodgers
DEPUTY
(SEAL)

Book

FILED

FEB 25 1975

RESOLUTION NO. 74- 162

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By BARBARA A. RODGERS

Deputy

(Resolution Establishing and/or Enlarging an Agricultural Preserve, Finding Land to be Included in Contracts Not to Lie Within One Mile of City, and Authorizing Execution of Land Use Contracts)

WHEREAS, it is the policy of the County of Yolo to maintain its agricultural economy and prevent unnecessary and premature conversion of land in agricultural use by utilizing the California Land Conservation Act of 1965; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors finds it can best achieve the County's policy by establishing agricultural preserves and by signing and becoming a party to Land Use Contracts as authorized by said Act; and

WHEREAS, an application has been filed with the Planning Department of the County of Yolo requesting to establish or enlarge the Agricultural Preserves set forth in Attachment 1 attached hereto which is incorporated by reference herein so as to include the territory described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto which is incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, said application contains the matter required by law and the procedural rules established by this Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Department has filed with this Board of Supervisors its report upon the application as to the conformance with the Yolo County General Plan and as to the satisfaction of the conditions for establishment or enlargement of an agricultural preserve; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has held a public hearing upon the application after giving notice in the manner required by the procedural rules established by this Board; and

WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the hearing, the Planning Commission by resolution made its recommendation upon the

1 application; and

2 WHEREAS, the Planning Commission resolution has been
3 filed with the Clerk of this Board and the Clerk of this Board
4 has given notice in the manner required by law, and the procedural
5 rules adopted by this Board; and

6 WHEREAS, at the time and place set forth in the said
7 notice a public hearing was held by this Board of Supervisors;
8 and

9 WHEREAS, the owners of real property set forth in said
10 Exhibit "A" have offered to enter into Land Use Contracts of
11 even date with this Resolution and making reference hereto, as
12 authorized by said Conservation Act, which Contracts impose en-
13 forceable restrictions on the therein described real property
14 which is located in said Agricultural Preserve and known as the
15 Assessor's Parcels as set forth in said Exhibit "A"; and

16 WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has heard and considered
17 discussion on the restrictions, terms and conditions of the Con-
18 tracts; and

19 WHEREAS, in the judgment of this Board of Supervisors, it
20 is in the interest of the County of Yolo to do so;

21 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the
22 Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

- 23 1. Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct.
- 24 2. The action taken by this resolution is in conformance
25 with the Yolo County General Plan.
- 26 3. The agricultural preserve which includes the territory
27 described in said Exhibit "A" consists of no less than
28 160 acres.
- 29 4. The territory described in said Exhibit "A"
 - 30 a. is designated for agricultural use in the Yolo
31 County General Plan;
 - 32 b. does not include any parcel less than twenty (20)

- 1 acres in area,
- 2 c. is predominantly Class I, II, or III soils, or
- 3 satisfies the purpose of the agricultural
- 4 preserve in the following ways:
- 5 1) tends to maintain the agricultural economy,
- 6 and
- 7 2) tends to prevent premature or unnecessary
- 8 conversion from agriculture, and
- 9 3) tends to assist in the preservation of
- 10 prime lands, and
- 11 4) to preserve lands with public value as
- 12 open space.

13 5. Said Agricultural Preserves are hereby declared

14 established or enlarged to include the territory

15 described in said Exhibit "A", for the purpose of

16 defining the boundaries of those areas within which

17 the County of Yolo is willing to enter into land use

18 contracts pursuant to the Land Conservation Act of

19 1965.

20 6. A map of such agricultural preserves is attached

21 hereto marked Exhibit "B" which is incorporated by

22 reference.

23 7. The terms and conditions upon which the County of

24 Yolo is willing to enter into land use contracts are

25 as follows:

26 FIRST: DECLARATION. This Land Use Contract is made

27 and entered into pursuant to the California Land

28 Conservation Act of 1965 as amended (Chapter 7 of

29 Part 1 of Division 1 of Title 5 of the Government

30 Code of California commencing with § 51200), herein-

31 after referred to as "the Act", including Article 3

32 of said Act entitled "Contracts" and is subject to

1 all of the provisions thereof pertaining to con-
2 tracts. It is the intent of the parties that the
3 restrictions imposed upon the subject property by
4 this Contract shall constitute those which may be
5 imposed upon property by Contract under the afore-
6 mentioned Act. The execution and recordation of
7 this Contract shall in no way restrict COUNTY or
8 OWNER from utilizing or benefiting from future con-
9 servation or agricultural legislation enacted by
10 the California Legislature. Should COUNTY agree
11 to any provision in any other Contract under the
12 Land Conservation Act which provision is not in-
13 cluded herein and OWNER requests the inclusion of
14 such provision in this Contract such provision shall
15 be deemed added to this Contract upon written re-
16 quest of OWNER to COUNTY and any other provision
17 hereof inconsistent with said provision shall be
18 deemed to be of no force and effect to the extent
19 of such inconsistency.

20 SECOND: RESTRICTIONS. During the term of this Con-
21 tract and any and all renewals thereof, the subject
22 property shall not be used for any purpose other
23 than agricultural use and the uses determined by
24 COUNTY pursuant to Government Code §§ 51231 or 51238
25 or by the Land Conservation Act of 1965 as amended
26 to be compatible with the agricultural use of land
27 within this preserve and subject to contract. No
28 structures shall be erected upon subject property
29 except such structures as may be directly related
30 to authorized uses of the land. The parties, through
31 appropriate action by COUNTY'S Board of Supervisors
32 in accordance with the Act and its rules adopted

1 thereunder, and written consent of OWNER, may from
2 time to time during the term of this Contract and
3 renewals thereof add to and/or delete from said
4 permissible uses of the subject property. If any
5 use otherwise permissible hereunder is not permis-
6 sible under any planning or zoning restriction now
7 or hereafter applicable to any of the property
8 then it shall likewise be deemed not legally avail-
9 able to OWNER by the provisions of this Contract,
10 and if any use is permissible under any such planning
11 or zoning provision but is not determined as set
12 forth above to be compatible with the agricultural
13 use of land within this preserve and subject to
14 contract, then it shall not be deemed legally avail-
15 able to OWNER hereunder.

16 THIRD: EMINENT DOMAIN. When any action in eminent
17 domain for the condemnation of the fee title of an
18 entire parcel of land subject to a contract is
19 filed or when such land is acquired in lieu of
20 eminent domain for a public improvement by a public
21 agency or a person or whenever there is any such
22 action or acquisition by the Federal government or
23 any person, instrumentality or agency acting under
24 authority or power of the Federal government, such
25 contract shall be deemed null and void as to the
26 land actually being condemned or so acquired as of
27 the date the action is filed, and for the purposes
28 of establishing value of such land the contract shall
29 be deemed never to have existed.

30 Whenever such an action to condemn or acquire
31 less than all of a parcel of land subject to a con-
32 tract is commenced, the contract shall be deemed

1 null and void as to the land actually condemned or
2 acquired and shall be disregarded in the valuation
3 process only as to the land actually being taken,
4 unless the remaining land subject to contract will
5 be adversely affected by the condemnation, in which
6 case the value of that damage shall be computed
7 without regard to the contract.

8 The contract shall be null and void for all
9 land actually taken or acquired; provided, however,
10 that should any such action be dismissed, or should
11 any interest be conveyed for non-public use, the
12 contract shall be reinstated as of the date the
13 action is dismissed or the conveyance is recorded.
14 FOURTH: TERM AND RENEWAL. The initial term of the
15 Contract shall be for ten (10) years, commencing as
16 of the first day of March next succeeding the date
17 of approval of the Contract by the Board of Super-
18 visors of the County of Yolo, and shall terminate
19 on the last day of February of the tenth (10th) year
20 thereafter. The first day of March shall be deemed
21 to be the "anniversary date" of the Contract, and on
22 the anniversary date of the Contract a year shall be
23 automatically added to the term unless notice of
24 non-renewal is given as herein provided. If either
25 OWNER or COUNTY desires in any year not to renew
26 the Contract, that party shall serve written notice
27 of non-renewal of the Contract upon the other party
28 in advance of the anniversary date. Unless such
29 written notice is served by OWNER at least ninety
30 (90) days prior to the anniversary date or by COUNTY
31 at least sixty (60) days prior to renewal date, the
32 Contract shall be considered renewed as provided

1 above. At any time prior to the anniversary date
2 either party may in writing withdraw its notice of
3 non-renewal. Upon request by the OWNER, the Board
4 of Supervisors may authorize OWNER to serve notice of
5 non-renewal on a portion of the land under contract.

6 FIFTH: NO PUBLIC FUNDS. The OWNER understands that
7 he is not entitled to any public funds by reason of
8 the execution of the Contract or any renewal thereof
9 although the use of the subject property is limited
10 as aforesaid.

11 SIXTH: TERMINATION. Subject to the procedural
12 conditions contained herein, OWNER may elect to termi-
13 nate the Contract without penalty when one of the
14 following circumstances is found to exist:

15 (a) The laws of California cease to implement Article
16 XXVIII of the State Constitution in a manner
17 providing benefits and restrictions substantially
18 similar to those embodied in Article 1.5 (com-
19 mencing with § 421) of Chapter 3 of Part 2 of
20 Division 1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code. To
21 be substantially similar, it is hereby agreed that
22 said implementing measures must include provisions
23 for a land valuation system basing value of the
24 land on those uses legally available to OWNER as
25 the basis for assessment, and must not require
26 that OWNER permit entry of the public on subject
27 property.

28 (b) Future conservation or agricultural legislation
29 achieving a substantially similar public benefit
30 is enacted by the California Legislature and is
31 applied to subject property.

32 (c) The real property tax is abolished as a source

1 of revenue.

2 The following procedures shall be followed to elect
3 the termination of the Contract:

- 4 (a) Written notice of the election to terminate the
5 Contract shall be given the Board of Supervisors
6 of Yolo County by OWNER.
- 7 (b) The Board of Supervisors shall have thirty (30)
8 calendar days to review the proposal and the
9 information submitted in support thereof.
- 10 (c) If the Board does not act within said thirty (30)
11 days to set a hearing within an additional thirty
12 (30) days, it shall effect the termination by
13 recording a notice of termination with the County
14 Recorder and filing a copy thereof with the State
15 Department of Agriculture. If the Board so sets
16 a hearing, it shall give notice to OWNER and
17 shall consider the election to terminate in the
18 same manner as it would a request for cancella-
19 tion as provided in Section SEVENTH of the Con-
20 tract.

21 SEVENTH: CANCELLATION. The Contract may not be
22 cancelled in whole or part except pursuant to a re-
23 quest by OWNER as provided herein.

- 24 (a) The Board of Supervisors of COUNTY may approve
25 the cancellation of the Contract only if it finds
26 that cancellation is not inconsistent with the
27 purposes of the California Land Conservation Act
28 and is in the public interest. The existence of
29 an opportunity for another use of the land in-
30 volved shall not be sufficient reason for the
31 cancellation of the Contract. A potential
32 alternative use of the land may be considered

1 only if there is no proximate land not subject
2 to a Land Use Contract pursuant to the Williamson
3 Act suitable for the use to which it is proposed
4 the subject property be put. The uneconomic
5 character of an existing agricultural use shall
6 likewise not be sufficient reason for cancella-
7 tion of the Contract. The uneconomic character
8 of an existing use may be considered only if
9 there is no other reasonable or comparable agricul-
10 tural use to which the land may be put.

11 (b) Prior to any action by the Board of Supervisors
12 giving tentative approval to the cancellation of
13 any contract, the County Assessor shall determine
14 the full cash value of the land as though it were
15 free of the contractual restriction. The
16 Assessor shall multiply such value by the most
17 recent County ratio announced pursuant to § 401
18 of the Revenue & Taxation Code and shall certify
19 the product to the Board of Supervisors as the
20 cancellation valuation for the purpose of deter-
21 mining the cancellation fee.

22 (c) Prior to giving tentative approval to the cancel-
23 lation of the Contract, the Board of Supervisors
24 shall determine and certify to the County Auditor
25 the amount of the cancellation fee which OWNER
26 must pay to the County Treasurer as deferred
27 taxes upon cancellation. That fee shall be an
28 amount equal to 50% of the cancellation valuation
29 of the property; provided, however, if after the
30 date the contract was initially entered into, the
31 publicly announced county ratio of assessed value
32 to full cash value is changed, the percentage

1 payment in this subdivision shall be changed so no
2 greater percentage of full cash value will be paid
3 than would have been paid had there been no change
4 in ratio.

5 (d) If the Board of Supervisors finds that it is in
6 the public interest to do so, it may waive any such
7 payment or any portion thereof, or may make such
8 payment or a portion thereof contingent upon the
9 future use of the land and its economic return to
10 OWNER for a period of time not to exceed the unex-
11 pired term of the Contract had it not been can-
12 celled provided:

13 1) The cancellation is caused by an involuntary
14 transfer or change in the use which may be made
15 of the land, and the land is not immediately
16 suitable, nor will be immediately used, for a
17 purpose which produces a greater economic re-
18 turn to OWNER; and

19 2) The Board of Supervisors has determined it is
20 in the best interest of the program to conserve
21 agricultural land use that such payment be
22 either deferred or not required.

23 (e) When the deferred taxes required by this section
24 are collected, the County Treasurer shall distribute
25 the taxes in accordance with the provisions of
26 Government Code § 51283(d).

27 (f) Upon tentative approval of the cancellation peti-
28 tion, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall
29 record in the Office of the County Recorder a
30 certificate which shall set forth the name of the
31 owner of such land at the time the Contract was
32 cancelled with the amount of the cancellation fee
certified by the Board of Supervisors as being

1 due pursuant to this section, the contingency of
2 any waiver or deferment of payments, and a legal
3 description of the property. From the date of
4 recording of such certificate the Contract shall
5 be finally cancelled and, to the extent the can-
6 cellation fee has not yet been paid, a lien shall
7 be created and attached against the real property
8 described therein and any other real property
9 owned by the person named therein as the owner
10 and located within the County of Yolo. Such lien
11 shall have the force, effect and priority of a
12 judgment lien. Nothing in this section shall pre-
13 clude the Board of Supervisors from requiring
14 payment in full of the cancellation fee prior to
15 the cancellation becoming effective.

16 (g) In no case shall the cancellation of a Contract
17 be final until the notice of cancellation is
18 actually recorded as provided herein. Notwith-
19 standing any provisions of the Revenue & Taxation
20 Code, any payments required by this section shall
21 not create nor impose a lien or charge on the
22 land as to which a contract is cancelled except
23 as herein provided.

24 (h) Upon the payment of the cancellation fee or any
25 portion thereof, the Clerk of the Board of Super-
26 visors shall record with the County Recorder a
27 written certificate of the release in whole or
28 in part of the lien.

29 (i) No tentative approval of cancellation may be
30 given until after the Board of Supervisors has
31 caused notice to be given of and has held a public
32 hearing on the matter. Notice of hearing

1 shall be mailed to each and every owner of
2 property under contract within this agricultural
3 preserve and shall be published pursuant to
4 § 6061 of the Government Code. The owner of any
5 property located in the County of Yolo may pro-
6 test such cancellation; however, such protest
7 shall be advisory only and shall not be binding
8 upon the Board of Supervisors.

9 EIGHTH: DIVISION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY. In the event
10 the subject property of the Contract is divided, a
11 Contract identical to the Contract then covering the
12 original parcel shall be executed by OWNER on each
13 parcel created by the division at the time of the
14 division. Any agency making an order of division or
15 COUNTY which has jurisdiction shall require, as a
16 condition of approval of the division, the execution
17 of the Contracts provided for in this paragraph.

18 NINTH: EXCLUSION OF LAND FROM PRESERVE. Removal of
19 any land under the Contract from an agricultural
20 preserve either by change of boundaries of the pre-
21 serve or disestablishment of the preserve shall be
22 the equivalent of a notice of non-renewal by COUNTY.

23 TENTH: SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST. The Contract shall
24 run with the land described in it and shall be bind-
25 ing upon the heirs, successors and assigns of the
26 OWNER and COUNTY.

- 27 8. The uses determined by COUNTY to be agricultural
28 uses and uses compatible with the agricultural use
29 of the land within the preserve and subject to con-
30 tract are as follows:

31 A. Agricultural Uses:

- 32 1. Agriculture, including any customary

1 agricultural buildings and structures, and
2 such uses as, but not limited to, livestock
3 ranges, animal husbandry, field crops, fallow,
4 withdrawal from production pursuant to
5 Federal agricultural programs such as but
6 not limited to that authorized by the Crop
7 and Adjustment Act, tree crops, nurseries
8 and greenhouses together with all necessary
9 equipment and facilities for support and
10 maintenance of the operation.

11 2. Animal feed yards when more than 1000 feet
12 from any existing dwelling.

13 3. Hog farms.

14 B. Compatible Uses:

- 15 1. Ranch and farm dwellings appurtenant to a
16 principal agricultural use when located on
17 a parcel containing at least twenty (20)
18 acres.
- 19 2. Drilling and operation of oil and gas wells.
- 20 3. Electrical distribution substations.
- 21 4. Public parks and recreation areas.
- 22 5. Living quarters of persons employed on the
23 premises and relatives of the property owner
24 or lessee; but not including labor camps.
- 25 6. Mobile homes may be used as living quarters
26 when they are located on land used for agri-
27 cultural purposes and are appurtenant to
28 such agricultural use, provided that there
29 may not be more than one mobile home for each
30 ten (10) acres of total site area.
- 31 7. Temporary shelters for herdsmen including
32 mobile homes, wagons, tents and the like.

8. Guest houses, not rented or otherwise conducted as a business.
9. Home occupations.
10. Offices incidental and necessary to the conduct of a principal permitted use.
11. Private garages, private parking areas, and private stables.
12. Roadside stands for the sale of agricultural products primarily grown in the local area.
13. Name plates and signs appurtenant to any permitted use, including, but not limited to, temporary real estate signs advertising the sale, rental, or lease of the premises upon which the sign is located.
14. Temporary landing strips appurtenant to a principal permitted use.
15. Other accessory uses and buildings customarily appurtenant to a permitted use; including, but not limited to, almond hulling, fruit, grain and bean storage and drying when such products are primarily produced on the premises.
16. Any customary agricultural building, structure or dwelling appurtenant to an agricultural use no longer necessary for the operation of the principal use may be rented or leased for similar use.
17. Public and private hunting clubs with no permanent buildings.
18. The erection, construction, alteration or maintenance of gas, electric, water or communication utility facilities.

- 1 19. Public and private hunting clubs with perma-
2 nent buildings.
3 20. Agricultural labor camps.
4 21. Dwelling yard area when necessary to trans-
5 form an irregularly shaped parcel occupied
6 by a non-farm dwelling into an approximately
7 rectangular shaped parcel and where there is
8 no decrease in adaptability to agriculture
9 for both the land used as dwelling yard
10 area and the remaining land in the same
11 agricultural preserve.
12 22. The extraction of gravel incidental to creek
13 bank protection and erosion prevention con-
14 sisting of channelization where found by the
15 Planning Commission or the Board of Super-
16 visors to constitute an accessory use under
17 the zoning regulations of the County of Yolo
18 or where pursuant to a use permit granted
19 under said zoning regulations.
20 9. Said Land Use Contracts constitute an enforceable
21 restriction within the meaning of and for the purposes of Article
22 XXVIII of the State Constitution and Article 1.5 (commencing with
23 § 421) of Chapter 3 of Part 2 of Division 1 of the Revenue and
24 Taxation Code.
25 10. No portion of the land included in said applications
26 and said Contracts lies within one mile of any incorporated city
27 in this County.
28 11. The County shall become a party to said Land Use Con-
29 tracts and the Chairman of this Board is hereby authorized to
30 execute said Contracts.
31 12. The Clerk of this Board of Supervisors is directed to
32 file certified copies of this resolution with the County Recorder

1 and State Director of Agriculture and to record said Land Use
2 Contracts with the County Recorder.

3 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
4 County of Yolo, State of California, this 2nd day of
5 December, 1974, by the following vote:

6 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

7 NOES: None.

8 ABSENT: None.

9

10

Wm. E. DUNCAN

11

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12

2/18/75

13

14

15

16

17 ATTEST:

18 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

19

20 BY BARBARA A. RODGERS
DEPUTY

21

(SEAL)

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

OCT 30 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Bartholomew Rodgers*
DeputyBOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Yolo County, CaliforniaPUBLIC HEARINGS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that December 2, 1974, at 10:30 A.M., has been set as the date and time to hold Public Hearings to consider Change of Zonings from A-1 and/or A-E Zone to A-P Zones and the establishment of the same in Agricultural Preserves on 35 Applications as follows:

- 1 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 91.1 acres of land located on the north side of County Road 18B, opposite the intersection with County Road 96A, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 25-290-13 and 17, an Addition to Preserve No. 15, as requested by Erwin Storz. PCZF No. 2337.
- 2 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 55.04 acres of land located on the northeast corner of County Roads 18B and 95B, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 25-290-04, an Addition to Preserve No. 15, as requested by Lydia Nuss. PCZF No. 2338.
- 3 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 50.1 acres of land located on the north side of County Road 53, $\frac{1}{2}$ mile southwest of State Highway 16, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 18-461-02, an Addition to Preserve No. 8, as requested by Robert S. and Roberta J. Karamanougian. PCZF No. 2345.
- 4 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 73.1 acres of land, 26.6 acres of which is located on the south side of County Road 31, $\frac{1}{3}$ mile west of Interstate 505 and 46.5 acres of which is located on the west side of Interstate 505, $\frac{1}{3}$ mile south of County Road 31, and designated as Assessor's Parcel Nos. 38-040-02, 06 and 07, an Addition to Preserve No. 12, as requested by Robert Leslie Button. PCZF No. 2347.
- 5 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 51.7 acres of land located on the south side of County Road 158 between County Road 145 (Waukeena Road) and 140 (South River Road), and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 43-080-04, an Addition to Preserve No. 95, as requested by Hanna Olsen. PCZF No. 2348.
- 6 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 20 acres of land located on the south side of State Highway 16, $\frac{1}{2}$ mile west of County Road 97, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 25-210-16, an Addition to Preserve No. 13, as requested by Robert R. and Wilma J. Gillette. PCZF No. 2350.
- 7 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 375 acres of land located on the west side of County Road 139 (South River Road), one and $\frac{1}{2}$ miles north of County Road 38B (Babel Slough Road), and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 44-022-04 and 05, an Addition to Preserve No. 56, as requested by Rice Mill Products Co., a Joint Venture consisting of: Rice Growers Association of California, a corporation, by R.W. Freeland, Executive Vice President and Farmers Rice Cooperative, a corporation, by J.

Falconer, Vice President and General Manager. PCZF No. 2351.

- 8 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 20 acres of land located on County Road 40A, northeast of State Highway 16, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 18-152-08, an Addition to Preserve No. 35, as requested by Merle W. and Hazel C. Hampton. PCZF No. 2354.
- 9 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 40 acres of land located 4 miles south of the Yolo-Colusa County Line and 4 miles east of State Highway 16, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 18-130-14, an Addition to Preserve No. 41, as requested by William A. and Marie L. Petty. PCZF No. 2355.
- 10 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 685 acres of land located on the south side of County Road 160 (Sutter Road), between the S.N.R.R. tracks and the Sacramento River, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 43-030-01 and 06, an Addition to Preserve No. 73, as requested by Ensher, Alexander & Barsoom, Inc., a corporation, by Elliott Alexander, Vice President and General Manager and H.A. Barsoom, Secretary. PCZF No. 2356.
- 11 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 32.9 acres of land located $\frac{1}{2}$ mile east of County Road 97 and on the south side of the extension of County Road 25, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 40-050-17, an Addition to Preserve No. 34, as requested by Joanna Marsh. PCZF No. 2357.
- 12 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 100.6 acres of land located on the north side of the extension of County Road 16 and on the west side of County Road 98, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 55-240-13 and 14, an Addition to Preserve No. 3, as requested by Joanna Marsh. PCZF No. 2358.
- 13 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 36.4 acres of land located on the northwest corner of County Roads 49 and 50, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 18-461-10, an Addition to Preserve No. 76, as requested by Eugene and Helen Wilson. PCZF No. 2359.
- 14 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 22.8 acres of land located on the north side of State Highway 16, $\frac{1}{8}$ mile east of County Road 82B, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 48-130-06, an Addition to Preserve No. 23, as requested by Byford and Marjorie Scott. PCZF No. 2360.
- 15 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 82.7 acres of land located on the east side of State Highway 16, on both sides of County Road 46, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 18-252-02, 03, 04 and 09, an Addition to Preserve No. 35, as requested by Donald B. Richardson, Jr. PCZF No. 2361.

- 16 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 20 acres of land located at the end of County Road 79, east of State Highway 16, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 48-060-07, an Addition to Preserve No. 23, as requested by Leartis and Ila Mae Hicks. PCZF No. 2362.
- 17 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 25 acres of land located on the south side of County Road 22, 3/4 mile west of County Road 85B, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 49-180-09, an Addition to Preserve No. 2, as requested by Ralph A. Hiatt, Jr. and Lillian A. Hiatt. PCZF No. 2363.
- 18 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 160 acres of land, 80 acres of which are located 2 1/2 miles east of State Highway 16 and 2 1/2 miles south of the Yolo-Colusa County line, and 80 acres of which are located 2 1/2 miles east of State Highway 16, 3 miles south of the Yolo-Colusa County line, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 18-110-13 and 14, an Addition to Preserve No. 35, as requested by Mabel Lillian Tompkins, aka Mabel L. Tompkins, Edward Donald Tompkins and Willis Stanley Tompkins. PCZF No. 2364.
- 19 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 20 acres of land located on the east side of County Road 40A, 1/3 mile northeast of State Highway 16, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 18-152-09, an Addition to Preserve No. 35, as requested by Violet Mae Walsworth. PCZF No. 2365.
- 20 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 30 acres of land located on the southwest corner of County Roads 29 and 105, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 42-130-06, 07 and 08, an Addition to Preserve No. 46, as requested by Hans and Salome Abplanalp. PCZF No. 2366.
- 21 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 54 acres of land located on the east side of proposed State Highway 113 approximately 800 feet south of County Road 25A, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 41-020-72 and a portion of 41-020-19, an Addition to Preserve No. 33, as requested by William B. and Edna L. Schoeningh. PCZF No. 2367.
- 22 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 80 acres of land located on the west side of County Road 99, 1/2 mile north of County Road 29, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 41-100-03, an Addition to Preserve No. 9, as requested by Plainfield Enterprises, Inc., Charles W. and Lucia S. Brockhoff, President and Secretary. PCZF No. 2368.
- 23 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 38.3 acres of land located on the east side of County Road 97, north of the extension of County Road 25, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 40-050-15, an Addition to Preserve No. 34, as requested by Alan D. MacMillan, aka Allan D. MacMillan and Karen L. MacMillan. PCZF No. 2369.

- 24 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 342.3 acres of land located on the north side of County Road 151 (Willow Point Road), one mile east of Highway 84 (Jefferson Boulevard), and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 44-120-08 and 10, an Addition to Preserve No. 89, as requested by Marion Hanrahan, Thomas A. Conover, Jane Elizabeth Bruni and Michael Mary Maunsell. PCZF No. 2370.
- 25 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 120 acres of land located 3/4 mile south of the Yolo-Colusa County Line and 2 1/2 miles east of County Road 41, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 18-100-16, and 17, an Addition to Preserve No. 41, as requested by Jasper J. Stallone and Josephine E. Stallone. PCZF No. 2371.
- 26 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 163.9 acres of land located on the east side of Interstate 505, approximately 3/4 mile south of the junction of Interstate 5 and Interstate 505, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 54-020-07, an Addition to Preserve No. 53, as requested by Hester Mixon, Hazel Hollingsworth, Georgene H. Rietow, Phyllis H. Meek, Louise H. Zane, Robert L. Hollingsworth, Ann Sandstrom, James C. Hollingsworth, Helen Schuler, Schurley E. Schluer Jr., and Martha Womack. PCZF No. 2373.
- 27 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 67.2 acres of land located on the east side of County Road 96, 1/2 mile north of County Road 20, and designated as a portion of Assessor's Parcel No. 25-350-11, an Addition to Preserve No. 13, as requested by Francis O. Rodgers, aka Frank O. Rodgers, Lucille E. Rodgers, Francis J. Rodgers and Carol Ann Rodgers. PCZF No. 2374.
- 28 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 26.2 acres of land located on the south side of County Road 28, 1/2 mile east of County Road 89, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 50-160-10, an Addition to Preserve No. 12, as requested by Aubrey Elmo and Doris Ann Singleton. PCZF No. 2375.
- 29 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 337.3 acres of land located on County Road 117, approximately 2 miles north of State Highway 16, and designated as Assessor's Parcel 57-120-09, an Addition to Preserve No. 90, as requested by Layton Knaggs. PCZF No. 2376.
- 30 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 80 acres of land, 40 acres of which are located 1/2 mile east of County Road 98 and 1 mile north of County Road 13, and 40 acres of which are located 1/2 mile east of County Road 98 and 1/2 mile north of County Road 13, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 56-130-02 and 09, an Addition to Preserve No. 3, as requested by Donald R. and Barbara Newman. PCZF No. 2377.

- 31 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 47.1 acres of land located on the south side of County Road 16, $\frac{1}{2}$ mile west of State Highway 113, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 27-200-05, an Addition to Preserve No. 3, as requested by Conrad L. and Georgette L. Staib. PCZF No. 2378.
- 32 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 296 acres of land located on the southeast corner of State Highway 113 and County Road 15, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 56-250-04 and 08; 56-240-01 and 12, an Addition to Preserve No. 51, as requested by Ann Estelle Pecht, Ann Maureen King, Sandra Jane High, and Ann Estelle Pecht and Paul Pecht, as Trustees for Sandra Jane Pecht and Thomas Paul Pecht, respectively. PCZF No. 2380.
- 33 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 360 acres of land, 200 acres of which are located $\frac{3}{4}$ mile east of County Road 57 and 6 miles south of the Yolo-Colusa County Line, 120 acres of which are located $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles east of County Road 57 and 6 miles south of the Yolo-Colusa County Line, and 40 acres of which are located $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles from County Road 57 and $5\frac{1}{2}$ miles south of the Yolo-Colusa County Line, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 18-130-13; 18-410-05 and 11, an Addition to Preserve No. 41, as requested by Alvin A. Logan, Jr., Henry Booker Logan, Estella Earl, Addie May Molson, Nellie E. Lewis, Aaron C. Logan, Roderick A. Logan, Carver D. Logan, and Grace L. Logan. PCZF No. 2381.
- 34 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 66.59 acres located on the west side of County Road 85 approximately $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles north of State Highway 16, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 48-140-11, an Addition to Preserve No. 41, as requested by Forrest D. Monroe, James W. Monroe, John R. Monroe, Mary L. Day, Aytla Monroe and Tom Monroe. PCZF No. 2251.
(RE Zone File #2251: This land was included in an application last year, and is under ag. preserve contract at this time. However, the parcel number was not advertised by either the Planning Department or our office.)
- 35 - Change of Zoning from an A-1 (Agricultural General) Zone to an A-P (Agricultural Preserve) Zone and the establishment of an agricultural preserve for 80 acres of land located 1 mile south of the Yolo-Colusa County Line and 2- $\frac{3}{4}$ miles east of County Road 41, and designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 18-100-22, an Addition to Preserve No. 41, as requested by Jasper J. and Josephine E. Stallone. PCZF No. 2372.

All interested parties are invited to attend.

Dated: October 17, 1974.

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, COUNTY CLERK,
Ex-Officio Clerk of the Board of
Supervisors

BY

Barbara A. Rodgers
Deputy

EXHIBIT "A"

ADDITION TO PRESERVE NO. 15:

Property Owner: Erwin Storz

Assessor's Parcels: 25-290-13 and 25-290-17

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Parcel 1:

Lots 9 and 10 of Hoppin's Subdivision of a portion of the Grant to J. M. Harbin and others, as amended by the Bank of Yolo, as shown by the map or plat thereof on file and of record in the office of the County Recorder of Yolo County, in Book 1 of Maps at page 51.

Parcel 2:

All of Lots 3 and 8, and the East 246.7 feet of Lots 2 and 7 as shown on the Map of Hoppin's Subdivision of a portion of the Grant to J. M. Harbin et al., as amended by the Bank of Yolo, filed in the office of the County Recorder of Yolo County, on November 14, 1905, in Book 3 of Maps and Surveys, at page 42.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM the following:

- (a) A portion of Lot 8 of the Hoppin Subdivision of Part of the Harbin Grant, as amended by the Bank of Yolo, as delineated on that certain map filed for record in Book 3 of Maps and Surveys, at page 42, in the office of the County Recorder of Yolo County, California, and particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the South boundary line of said Lot 8 which bears South 89° 59' 30" West 80.90 feet from the Southeast corner of said Lot 8; thence South 89° 59' 30" West along the South boundary line of Lot 8 a distance of 410.78 feet; thence North 0° 00' 30" West 207.78 feet; thence North 89° 59' 30" East 410.78 feet; thence South 0° 00' 30" East 207.78 feet to the point of beginning.

- (b) BEGINNING at the Southeast corner of said Lot 8 and running thence along the South line of said Lot 8, South 89° 59' 30" West 80.90 feet to the Southeast corner of the parcel of land conveyed to Robert A. Abbott, also known as Robert Arthur Abbott, in Deed recorded August 8, 1961, in Book 645 Page 87 in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California; thence along the East line of said land, North 00° 00' 30" West 207.78 feet to the Northeast corner of said land; thence North 89° 59' 30" East 80.90 feet to the East line of said Lot 8; thence along said East line South 0° 00' 30" East, 207.78 feet to the point of beginning.

EXHIBIT "A" (CONT'D)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE # 15:

Property Owner: Lydia Nuss, as her separate property

Assessor's Parcel: 25-290-04

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Lots 1 and 6 and West 453 feet of Lots 2 and 7, Moppin Subdivision of a portion of the Grant to J. M. Harbin et al., as amended by Bank of Yolo, according to the official plat thereof, filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on October 19, 1905, in Book 1 of Maps, at page 51.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #8:

Property Owners: Robert S. Karamanougian and Roberta J. Karamanougian,
his wife

Assessor's Parcel: 18-461-02

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Blocks 6, 7 and 8, Guinda Colony Tract, filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on July 18, 1892, in Book 1 of Maps, at page 47.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM 1/2 of all oil and mineral rights for the term of their natural life as reserved in Deed executed by Esther B. Sharpe and Clara L. Sharpe to Carl E. Spearin and Lucille Spearin, dated December 27, 1946, recorded January 10, 1947, in Book 255 of Official Records, at page 271.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #12:

Property Owner: Robert Leslie Button

Assessor's Parcels: 38-040-07, 38-040-06 and 38-040-02

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

PARCEL 1:

The West 1/3rd of the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4), and the East 1/3rd of the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 10, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, M.D.B.M.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #12 (Cont'd):

EXCEPTING THEREFROM a Life Estate in 50% interest in and to an undivided 1/5th interest of all oil, gas and minerals found or produced on or under the above described parcel of land as reserved by Clotilde F. Doll, a widow, in a Deed to Robert Leslie Button, dated March 4, 1970, recorded April 1, 1970, in Book 940 of Official Records, at page 74.

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM a Life Estate in 50% interest in an to an undivided 1/5th interest of all oil, gas and minerals found or produced on or under the above described parcel of land, as reserved by Maude Lurene Dubbin in Deed to Robert Leslie Button, a married man, dated September 12, 1973, recorded September 28, 1973, Recorder's File No. 14503.

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM a Life Estate of 50% interest in and to an undivided 2/5ths interest of all oil, gas and minerals found or produced on or under the above described parcel of land as reserved by Mary Elizabeth Doll in Deed to Robert Leslie Button, a married man, dated September 12, 1973, recorded September 28, 1973, Recorder's File No. 14503.

PARCEL 2:

The East Two-Thirds (2/3rds) of the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 10, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, M.D.B.&M.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM that portion thereof, conveyed to the State of California by Deed dated December 12, 1957, recorded February 14, 1958, in Book 534 of Official Records, at page 390.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM a Life Estate in 50% interest of all oil, gas and minerals found or produced on or under the above described parcel of land as reserved by Mary Elizabeth Doll in Deed to Robert Leslie Button, a married man, dated September 12, 1973, recorded September 28, 1973, Recorder's File No. 14504.

PARCEL 3:

The West Two-Thirds (2/3rds) of the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 10, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, M.D.B.&M.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM a Life Estate in 50% interest of all oil, gas and minerals, found or produced on or under the above described parcel of land as reserved by Maud L. Dubbin in a Deed to Robert Leslie Button, a married man, dated September 12, 1973, recorded September 28, 1973, Recorder's File No. 14505.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #95:

Property Owner: Hanna Olsen

Assessor's Parcel: 43-080-04

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Tract 8 according to the map or plat of a tract of land entitled "Plat of Tract of Land owned by the Estate of C. V. Talmadge lying in Township 6 North, Range 4 East, M. D. B. & M.", filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, in Book 4 of Maps and Surveys, at page 27.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #13:

Property Owners: Robert J. Gillette and Wilma J. Gillette,
husband and wife, as Joint Tenants

Assessor's Parcel: 25-210-16-1

All that real property situate in the State of California, County of Yolo,
described as follows:

The West half (W $\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast
Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Thirty-Five (35), Township Ten (10) North,
Range One (1) East, MDB&M.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #56:

Property Owners: Rice Mill Products Co., a Joint Venture consisting
of Rice Growers Association of California, a corpora-
tion, and Farmer's Rice Cooperative, a corporation

Assessor's Parcels: 44-022-04 and 44-022-05

All that real property situate in the County of Yolo. State of
California, described as follows:

PARCEL #1:

All that certain real property lying and being in Reclamation District
No. 765 in Yolo County, State of California, being a portion of
Sections 31 and 32, Township 8 North, Range 4 East, M.D.B.&M., and
known designated and described as:

The "North Ranch", the "Middle Ranch" and the "South Ranch" upon that
certain map or plat entitled "Mary Glide Goethe's lands in Reclamation
District No. 765", duly recorded in the office of the County Recorder
of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 16th day of December
1930, in Book 4 of Maps and Surveys, page 89, Yolo County Records, save
and except that portion of the above described property which was con-
veyed by Mary Glide Goethe to the Sacramento Northern Railway, a corpora-
tion, by deed dated the 24th day of January 1929 which portion is more
particularly described as follows:

A strip of land eighty (80) feet wide, lying forty (40) feet on each
side of the center line of the Sacramento Northern Railway Survey over
and across a portion of Swamp Land Surveys Nos. 294 and 295, in Reclamation
District No. 765, said center line being more particularly described
as follows, to-wit:

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #56 (Cont'd):

COMMENCING at a point in the above mentioned center line, said point being designated as Engineer's Station 11+66.98 P.O.C. in the boundary line between Swamp Land Surveys Nos. 815 and No. 294, and being distant S. 75 deg. 24' E. along said boundary line 2513.76 feet, more or less, from the Northwest corner of Swamp Land Survey No. 294; thence from said point of commencement and from a tangent that bears S. 11 deg. 35-3/4" W. along a curve to the left having a radius of 2864.83 feet, through a central angle of 5 deg. 02-1/4', a distance of 251.81 feet; thence S. 6 deg. 33 1/2' W. a distance of 288.47 feet; thence along a tangent curve to the left having a radius of 2864.83 feet through a central angle of 9 deg. 50', a distance of 491.67 feet; thence S. 3 deg. 16 1/2' E. a distance of 1330.12 feet; thence along a tangent curve to the right having a radius of 2864.83 feet; through a central angle of 3 deg. 04', a distance of 153.33 feet; thence S. 0 deg. 12 1/2' E. a distance of 1878.34 feet to a point in the boundary line between the land of Mary Glide Goethe and the land of Eula Glide Elliott, said point being designated as Engineer's Station 55+60.72 and bearing N. 89 deg. 39' E. along said boundary line a distance of 1469.29 feet from a concrete monument, and also bearing S. 89 deg. 39' W. along said boundary line a distance of 1085.92 feet from a similar monument.

AND FURTHER EXCEPTING all that property lying Northerly of the above described eighty foot strip.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM, however, an undivided one-half interest in mineral rights as reserved in the Deed recorded December 3, 1968 in Book 897 of Official Records, page 454, executed by Crocker-Citizens National Bank, as executor of the estate of Charles M. Goethe, deceased, to Ernest Fahn.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #35:

Property Owners: Merle W. Hampton and Hazel C. Hampton,
his wife as Joint Tenants

Assessor's Parcel: 18-152-08

All of Block B of the Rumsey Tract, as shown by the Map of the Smith and Rumsey Tracts, filed for record in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Yolo, July 13, 1892 in Book 1 of Maps at Page 38.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #41:

Property Owners: William A. Petty and Marie L. Petty, his wife,
in Joint Tenancy

Assessor's Parcel: 18-130-14

All that real property situate in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

The Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 25, all in Township 12 North, Range 3 West, M.D.B. & M.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #73:

Property Owners: Ensher, Alexander & Barsoom Inc., a California corporation

Assessor's Parcels: 43-030-01 and 43-030-06

PARCEL NO. 1:

Beginning at the Southwest corner of Swamp Land Survey No. 367, Yolo County Surveys, thence North 0° 41' 10" East 933.73 feet; thence South 56° 15' 10" East 2529.16 feet to the Westerly bank of Sutter Slough; thence along the Westerly bank of Sutter Slough to the Southeast corner of said Survey No. 367; thence North 33° 00' West 2047.89 feet to the point of beginning.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM that certain tract of land conveyed to Carl Larsen and Frances Larsen, his wife, by deed dated January 16, 1937, and recorded January 21, 1937, in Book 97 of Official Records, at page 250, and described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point on the Westerly boundary line of Swamp Land Survey No. 367, Yolo County Surveys, where the same is intersected by the division line common to the property of Carl A. Larsen on the North and the property now under contract of sale to Ensher, Alexander & Barsoom, Inc., on the South, and from which point a concrete monument marked "SW367" located at the Southwest corner of Swamp Land Survey No. 367, Yolo County Surveys, bears South 0° 41' 10" West 933.73 feet; and running thence from said point of beginning, along said division line South 56° 15' 10" East, 2529.16 feet to the Westerly bank of Sutter Slough; thence along the Westerly bank of Sutter Slough, South 1° 07' 50" East 225.72 feet; and South 23° 26' West 94.99 feet; thence leaving said Westerly bank of Sutter Slough North 52° 46' 50" West 2601.40 feet, to a 2 inch iron pipe on the West boundary line of Swamp Land Survey No. 367, Yolo County Surveys; thence along said West boundary line of Swamp Land Survey No. 367, North 0° 41' 10" East 144.38 feet to the point of beginning.

PARCEL NO. 2:

All that real property situated in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Lot No. Two (2) as the said Lot is delineated and so designated on that certain map entitled "Holland Land Co. Subdivision No. 8, Yolo County, California," which map was recorded in the office of the County Recorder of Yolo County on January 19th, 1921, in Map Book No. 3, at page 38.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM the following described tracts:

All that part of said Lot No. 2 which lies between a line parallel to and distant 30 feet Southeasterly from the center line of Sacramento Northern Railway Survey, as hereinafter described, and the West line of said Lot No. 2; which is also the center line of the Main Drainage Canal of Reclamation District No. 999; the center line of said Sacramento Northern Railway Survey being described as follows:

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #73 (Cont'd):

COMMENCING at a point in said center line designated as Engineer's Station 713+14.71, said point being in the North line of Lot No. 2, which is also the center line of East Lateral No. 1, and bearing North 45° 15'-3/4" East, along said center line of East Lateral No. 1, a distance of 203.26 feet from an angle point in said center line; thence from said point of commencement, South 8° 57'-1/2" West a distance of 2728.61 feet to a point designated as Engineer's Station 740 plus 43.32 B.C.; thence along a tangent curve to the right, having a radius of 5729.61 feet, through a central angle of 4° 12'-1/2" a distance of 420.83 feet, thence on a tangent to curve, South 13° 10' West a distance of 1484.11 feet to a point designated as Engineer's Station 759+48.26 B.C.; thence along a tangent curve to the left, having a radius of 5729.61 feet, through a central angle of 2° 39'-3/4" a distance of 299.58 feet, thence on a tangent to curve South 10° 10'-1/4" West a distance of 1311.20 feet more or less to a point designated as Engineer's Station 775+59.04, said point being in the South line of Lot No. 2, which is also the boundary line between Yolo County and Solano County, and bearing South 89° 15' 49" East along said boundary line, a distance of 97.35 feet, more or less, from the Southwest corner of said Lot No. 2.

EXCEPTING ALSO a parcel of land beginning at the Northeast corner of said Lot No. 2 as said lot is delineated and so designated on that certain map entitled "Holland Land Co. Subdivision No. 8, Yolo County, California", which said map was filed for record in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Yolo on January 19, 1921, in Map Book No. 3, at page 38; thence continuing along the Easterly boundary of said Lot 2, said line being also the East line of Morse Road as shown on said Map South 0° 41' 10" West 3597.4 feet to a point on the Easterly extension of the center line of Sutter Road; thence along the center line of Sutter Road due West 5778.02 feet to the Easterly line of the right of way for the Sacramento Northern Railroad; thence along the said right of way North 9° 06'-3/4" East 1709.82 feet to the center line of East Lateral No. 1 of the drainage system of Reclamation District No. 999; thence along said Lateral center line with the following courses and distances; North 45° 25' East 1506.28 feet, North 71° 30' East 631.64 feet; North 61° 23' East 396.44 feet North 72° 54' East 272.04 feet, North 83° 21' East 3292.60 feet to the point of beginning, being a portion of said Lot No. 2 of Subdivision No. 8, Yolo County, California.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #34:

Property Owner: Joanna Marsh

Assessor's Parcel: 40-050-17

All that real property in the County of Ylo, State of California, described as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron stake marking the Southeast corner of Lot 6 of the Brown-ing Subdivision of the Northwest Quarter of Section 12, Township 9 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M.; thence Westerly along the South boundary line of said Lot 6 a distance of 1326.1 feet to a point midway between the Southeast and South-west corner of Lot 6; thence in a Northerly direction a distance of 1081.7 feet to a point, said point being a distance of 1327.1 feet from the East boundary line of Lot 5 of said Subdivision; thence Easterly along an old fence line a distance of 1327.1 feet to a point, said point being in the Easterly boundary line of said Lot 5 and one hundred and sixty feet South of the Northeast corner thereof; thence Southerly along the East boundary lines of Lots 5 and 6, a distance of 1083.14 feet to the point of beginning.

EXHIBIT "A" (CONT'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #3:

Property Owner: Joanna Marsh

Assessor's Parcels: 19-800-14 and 19-800-13

The following described real property was assessed or acquired in the above name(s) and has not thereafter been transferred: All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

PARCEL 1:

The South 8.55 acres of Tract 1, and all of Tracts 2 and 3, of the Properties of Joe Giguere Estate in the County of Yolo, State of California, as said Tracts appear of record on the map of the Joe Giguere Estate lands on file in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County in Maps and Surveys No. 4, at page 50, said parcel of land being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the range line between T. 11 N., R. 1 E., and T. 11 N., R. 2 E., M.D.B.&M., said point of beginning being also in the east line of Section 36, T. 11 N., R. 1 E., and being also S. 1° 49' East 1405.05 feet distant from the quarter section corner in the east line of the above mentioned Section 36; thence leaving said range line and said east line of said Section 36 S. 88° 00' West 2648.14 feet to the point of intersection with the quarter section line running North and South through the center of said Section 36; thence South 1° 44' East 1243.95 feet to the quarter section corner in the South line of said Section 36; thence N. 88° 00' East, along the south line of said Section 36, 2650 feet to the Southeast corner of said Section 36; thence N. 1° 49' West, along the east line of said Section 36, 1243.95 feet to the point of beginning, containing 75 acres of land, more or less.

PARCEL 2:

The North 25.00 acres of Tract 1 of the properties of Joe Giguere Estate in the County of Yolo, State of California, as said Tract 1 appear of record on the map of Joe Giguere Estate Lands on file in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County in maps and surveys No. 4 at page 50, said 25 acre parcel of land being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the range line between T. 11 N., R. 1 E., and T. 11 N., R. 2 E., M.D.B.&M., said point of beginning being also in the East line of Section 36, T. 11 N., R. 1 E., M.D.B.&M., and also being South 1° 49' East, 1169.40 feet distant from the quarter section corner in the East line of above mentioned Section 36 and extending thence from said designated point of beginning South 1° 49' East down and along said East line of said Section 36; and down said range line, a distance of 235.65 feet; thence leaving said range line and said East line of said Section 36; and extending South 88° 00' West, 2648.14 feet to the point of intersection with the quarter section line running North and South through the center of said Section 36, said point of intersection being North 1° 44' West, 1243.95 feet distant from the quarter section corner in the South line of said Section 36; thence North 1° 44' West, up and along said quarter section line through said Section 36, a distance of 431.55 feet to a point; thence leaving said quarter section line and extending North 88° 00' East, 2373.47 feet to a point; thence South 1° 49' East, 195.90 feet to a point; thence North 88° 00' East, 274.00 feet to the point of beginning and including 25.00 acres of land, more or less.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #76:

Property Owners: Eugene Wilson and Helen Wislon, his wife,
as Joint Tenants

Assessor's Parcel: 18-461-10

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Lots 15 and 25 of Guinda Colony Tract, according to the official plat thereof, filed in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on July 18, 1892, in Book 1 of Maps, at page 47.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #23:

Property Owners: Byford Scott and Marjorie Scott, his wife,
in joint tenancy

Assessor's Parcel: 48-130-06

All that real property situate in the State of California, County of Yolo, described as follows:

A portion of Blocks "C" and "D" of Arnold and Gillig's Subdivision of a part of Rancho Canada de Capay, as shown on the map thereof, filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, on May 17, 1859, described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Tracks which is East 3528 feet, South 557.2 feet and North 79° 55' East 1069.3 feet from a stone marking the intersection of the West boundary line of Block "B" of Arnold and Gillig's Subdivision, with the base line of said subdivision, and running thence North 79° 55' East along the center line of said tracks 1421.2 feet to the East boundary line of the lands formerly owned by B. F. Duncan; thence South along the said East boundary line 1606.6 feet; thence South 79° 55' West, parallel to the center line of said tracks, 1424.9 feet; thence Northerly 1606.6 feet to the point of beginning.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM that portion thereof lying Southerly of the Southerly line of State Highway No. 16.

ALSO EXCEPTING AND RESERVING unto the grantors an undivided 1/2 interest for the remainder of their lives, minerals, mineral deposits, oil, gas and other hydrocarbon substances of every kind and character in and under the above described land, as reserved in the deed dated February 15, 1967 and recorded February 20, 1967 in Book 848 of Official Records, at page 485, executed by Michael G. Christler, et ux, to Lawrence W. Begley, et ux.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #35:

Property Owner: Donald B. Richardson, Jr.

Assessor's Parcels: 18-252-09-1, 18-252-02-1, 18-252-03-1, and 18-252-04-1

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

A portion of J. W. and F. P. Hambleton's Subdivision of a part of Blocks 6 and 7 of William Gordon's Subdivision of a part of the Rancho Canada de Capay, filed November 20th, 1893, Map Book 1, page 13, Yolo County Records, described as follows:

The Southerly 11 acres of Lot 4; said 11 acres being bounded on the North by a line drawn parallel with the Northwestern line of said Lot 4, and Lots 6, 8, the most Southeastern 344 feet, right angle measurements, of Lot 7, and the Easterly 10.30 acres of Lot 9.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #23:

Property Owners: Leartis Hicks and Ila Mae Hicks, his wife,
as Joint Tenants

Assessor's Parcel: 48-060-07

All that real property situate in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Lot 31, Cadenasso and Colony, according to the official plat thereof, filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on July 13, 1892, in Book 1 of Maps, at page 29.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #2:

Property Owners: Ralph A. Hiatt, Jr. and Lillian A. Hiatt,
his wife, as Joint Tenants

Assessor's Parcel: 49-180-09

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Lot 14, Geo. Hoppin Subdivision of Lamb Valley Fruit Lands, according to the official plat thereof, filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on January 4, 1909, in Book 2 of Maps at page 11.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #35:

Property Owners: Mabel Lillian Tompkins, also known as Mabel L. Tompkins, an undivided 1/2 interest; and Edward Donald Tompkins and Willis Stanley Tompkins, in Trust

Assessor's Parcels: 18-110-14 and 18-110-13

Parcel 2:

The West half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 21, Township 12 North, Range 3 West, M.D.B.&M.

Parcel 3:

The Northwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter; and the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 16, Township 12 North, Range 3 West, M.D.B.&M.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #35:

Property Owner: Violet Mae Walsworth, a single woman, as her sole and separate property

Assessor's Parcel: 18-152-09

All that real property situate in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

BLOCK C OF SMITH & RUMSEY TRACT, as shown on the Map thereof, filed for record in the office of the Yolo County Recorder on July 13, 1892 in Book 1 of Maps, at page 38.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #46:

Property Owners: Hans Abplanalp and Salome Abplanalp, his wife, in joint tenancy

Assessor's Parcels: 42-130-06, 42-130-07, 42-130-08

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

A portion of the East 30 acres of the North one-half of Section 31, Township 9 North, Range 3 East, M.D.B.&M., described as follows:

PARCEL 1:

BEGINNING at the Northeast corner of that certain parcel of land conveyed to Hans Abplanalp, et ux., by deed recorded in Book 759 of Official Records, at page 477, Yolo County Records, thence North 0° 06' 47" West along the East line of said North half of said Section 218.00 feet; thence North 89° 11' 49" West 469.00 feet to the West line of the Justin Snyder property; thence South 0° 06' 49" East along said property line 207.08 feet to the North line of said Abplanalp parcel; thence South 87° 53' East along said North line 469.00 feet to the point of beginning, containing 2.30 acres of land, more or less.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #46 (Cont'd):

PARCEL 2:

BEGINNING at the Southeast corner of said Abplanalp property; thence South $0^{\circ} 06' 49''$ East along said East line of said North one-half of said Section 218.00 feet; thence North $89^{\circ} 11' 49''$ West 469.00 feet to the West line of the Justin Snyder property; thence North $0^{\circ} 06' 49''$ West along said property line 228.92 feet to the South line of said Abplanalp property; thence South $87^{\circ} 53'$ East along said South line 469.00 feet to the point of beginning, containing 2.40 acres of land, more or less.

PARCEL 3:

A portion of the North half of Section 31, Township 9 North, Range 3 East, M.D.B.&M., described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point on the East line of said North Half of said Section 31, that is distant North $0^{\circ} 06' 49''$ West, 1462.62 feet from the East Quarter corner of said Section 31; thence North $87^{\circ} 53'$ West, 665.00 feet; thence North $0^{\circ} 06' 49''$ West, 360.00 feet; thence South $87^{\circ} 53'$ East, 665.00 feet to said East line of said North Half of section 31; thence South $0^{\circ} 06' 49''$ East along said East line of said North Half of Section 31, 360.00 feet to the point of beginning.

PARCEL 4:

All that portion of the most Easterly 30 acres of the North 1/2 of Section 31, T. 9 N., R. 3 E., M.D.B.&M., lying Easterly of a line described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Southerly line of the Northeast 1/4 of said Section 31, said point being distant S. $89^{\circ} 06' W.$ 468.86 feet from the East 1/4 corner of said Section 31; thence N. $0^{\circ} 06' 49'' W.$ 1487.31 feet; thence, N. $87^{\circ} 53' W.$ 195.84 feet; thence, N. $0^{\circ} 06' 49'' W.$ 360.00 feet; thence S. $87^{\circ} 53' E.$ 195.84 feet; thence, N. $0^{\circ} 06' 49'' W.$ 794.63 feet to a point on the Northerly line of the Northeast 1/4 of Section 31 and the termination of this description.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM the following three parcels of land:

A portion of the North 1/2 of Section 31, T. 9 N., R. 3 E., M.D.B.&M., described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the East line of said North 1/2 of said Section 21; that is distant N. $0^{\circ} 06' 49''$ West 1462.62 feet from the East 1/4 corner of said Section 31; thence N. $87^{\circ} 53' W.$ 665.00 feet; thence, N. $0^{\circ} 06' 49'' W.$ 360.00 feet; thence, S. $87^{\circ} 53' E.$ 665.00 feet to said East line of said North 1/2 of Section 31; thence, S. $0^{\circ} 06' 49''$ East along said East line of said North 1/2 of Section 31, 360.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at the Northeast corner of that certain parcel of land conveyed to Hans Abplanalp, et ux by Deed recorded in Book 759 of Official Records, at page 477, Yolo County Records; thence, N. $0^{\circ} 06' 47'' W.$ along the East line of said North 1/2 of said Section 218.00 feet; thence, N. $89^{\circ} 11' 49''$ West 469.00 feet to the West line of the Justin Snyder property; thence, S. $0^{\circ} 06' 49''$ East along said property line 207.08 feet to the North line of said Abplanalp parcel; thence, S. $87^{\circ} 53'$ East along said North line 469.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Beginning at the Southeast corner of said Abplanalp property; thence, S. $0^{\circ} 06' 49''$ East along said East line of said North 1/2 of said Section 218.00 feet; thence, North $89^{\circ} 11' 49''$ West 469.00 feet to the West line of the Justin Snyder property; thence, N. $0^{\circ} 06' 49''$ West along said property line 228.92 feet to the South line of said Abplanalp property; thence, South $87^{\circ} 53'$ East along said South line 469.00 feet to the point of beginning.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #33:

Property Owners: William B. Schoeningh and Edna L. Schoeningh,
husband and wife as community property

Assessor's Parcels: 41-020-27 and portion 41-020-19

All that real property situate in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

A portion of the Southwest Quarter of Section 9, Township 9 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B. & M., Yolo County, California as shown on that map filed in Book 10 of Maps & Surveys Page 27, Yolo County Records and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the State of California property line that is distant the following (2) courses from the West Quarter Corner of said Section 9 as same appears on said Map (1) South 89° 37' 12" East 2578.10 feet and (2) South 00° 52' 09" West 628.62 feet. Thence from said point of beginning and extending along the said State of California property line South 00° 52' 09" West 2016.33 feet to a point on the South line of said Southwest Quarter of Section 9; thence leaving said State of California property line and extending along said South Section line North 89° 37' 03" West 1500.24 feet more or less to a point on the State of California property line; thence leaving said South Section line and extending along said State of California property line the following (4) courses, (1) North 15° 40' 50" East 65.16 feet, (2) North 18° 24' 00" East 1191.33 feet, (3) North 23° 16' 08" East 603.10 feet and (4) Northeasterly along a curve to the right having a radius of 930.00 feet, through a central angle of 19° 58' 53", an arc distance of 324.33 feet; thence leaving said State of California property line South 89° 07' 51" East 722.00 feet to the point of beginning.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM an undivided one-fourth of all oil, gas, steam, hydrocarbon substances and minerals contained within the property hereinabove referred, as reserved in the Deed from Crocker National Bank, a National Banking Association, as trustee to William B. Schoeningh, et ux, recorded June 24, 1974 as Instrument No.

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM an undivided one-fourth of all oil, gas, steam, hydrocarbon substances and minerals contained within the property hereinabove referred, as reserved in the Deed from Laverne A. Oeste to William B. Schoeningh, et ux, recorded June 24, 1974 as Instrument No.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #9:

Property Owners: Plainfield Enterprises, Inc., a California corporation

Assessor's Parcel: 41-100-03

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

The South one-half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 30, Township 9 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B.&M.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE NO. 34:

Property Owners: Allan D. MacMillan and Karen L. MacMillan,
as Joint Tenants

Assessor's Parcel: 40-050-15

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

The South 27.93 acres of Lot 4 and the North 9.92 acres of Lot 5 as shown on the map of Browning's Subdivision of the Southwest Quarter of Section 1, and part of the Northwest Quarter of Section 12; Township 9 North, Range 1 East, N. D. E. & M., filed in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on December 26, 1908, in Book 2 of Maps, at page 10.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #89:

Property Owners: Marion Hanrahan as to an undivided 1/4 interest,
Marion Hanrahan as to an undivided 1/2 interest in
a life estate, Jane Elizabeth Bruni as to an undivided
1/8 interest, and Michael Mary Maunsell and Thomas
A. Conover each as to an undivided 1/16 interest

Assessor's Parcels: 44-120-08 and 44-120-10

BEGINNING at a 3 inch by 6-1/2 foot iron pipe which marks the Southwest corner of S. L. S. No. 1041 of Yolo County S.L.S. and which corner is common to Sections 19, 20, 29 and 30 of Township 7 North, Range 4 East, M.D.B.&M., and running thence East 5940 feet to a 3 inch by 6-1/2 foot iron pipe at the Southeast corner of said S.L.S. No. 1041; thence North 0°02' West, 840.7 feet to a 3 inch by 6-1/2 foot iron pipe on the South bank of the Dredger Cut of Reclamation District No. 307 and on the East boundary of said S.L.S. No. 1041; thence following along the South bank of said Dredger Cut North 51°17' West, 137.4 feet; thence North 82°44' West, 335 feet; thence North 72°00' West, 325 feet; thence North 64°37' West, 300 feet; thence North 57°31' West, 500 feet; thence North 53°30' West, 2129.9 feet; thence North 57°48' West, 925 feet; thence North 59°48' West, 1400 feet; and thence North 60°58' West, 1000 feet to a 3 inch by 6-1/2 foot iron pipe on the South bank of said Dredger Cut and on the West boundary line of said S.L.S. No. 1041; thence leaving the said bank of the Dredger Cut South 1°03' East, 4416.6 feet to the place of beginning; being a portion of S.L.S. No. 1041 of Yolo County, containing 351.7 acres of land and being all of said S.L.S. No. 1041, lying South of the Southerly boundary line of Reclamation District No. 307, and being land in Sections 20 and 21 of Township 7 North, Range 4 East, M.D.B.&M.

SAVING AND EXCEPTING THEREFROM THE FOLLOWING PARCELS OF LAND:

PARCEL 1:

A strip of land 120 feet wide lying 80 feet on the westerly side of and 40 feet on the Easterly side of the located line of the Sacramento Northern Railway over and across a portion of Swamp Land Survey No. 1041, in Section 20, Township 7 North, Range 4 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, lying and being in the County of Yolo, State of California, containing 4.22 acres more or less, granted by deed dated May 25, 1928 recorded June 1, 1928, in Book 117 of Deeds, at page 146, executed by Thomas J. Hanrahan and wife, to Sacramento Northern Railway, a corporation

EXHIBIT "A" (CONT'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #89 (Cont'd):

PARCEL 11:

A tract of land containing 3.43 acres, more or less, in S.L.S. Nos. 1041 and 1158, granted by deed dated November 17, 1934, recorded December 6, 1934, in Book 70 of Official Records, at page 280, executed by Thomas J. Hanrahan and wife, to Amalgamated Sugar Company, a corporation.

PARCEL 111:

A parcel of land consisting of a 1 acre in the Southerly portion of S.L.S. No. 1158, granted by deed dated September 28, 1939, recorded October 18, 1939, in Book 133 of Official Records, at page 98, executed by Thomas J. Hanrahan and wife, to T.M. Hanrahan and wife.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #41:

Property Owners: J. J. Stallone, M. D.

Assessor's Parcels: 18-100-17 and 18-100-16

- ☐ PARCEL 2--Assessor's Parcel No. 18-100-17 Code Area 63-034
The West one half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 9, Township 12 North, Range 3 West, M.D.B.&M.
- ☐ PARCEL 3--Assessor's Parcel No. 18-100-16 Code Area 63-034
The Southwest one-quarter of the Southeast one-quarter of Section 4, Township 12 North, Range 3 West, M.D.B.&M.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #41:

Property Owners: Jasper J. Stallone and Josephine E. Stallone,
his wife

Assessor's Parcel: 18-100-22

- ☒ PARCEL 1--Assessor's Parcel No. 18-100-22 Code Area 63-034
The East half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 9, Township 12 North, Range 3 West, M.D.B.&M.
Reserving therefrom all mineral rights, together with the right of entry.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #53:

Property Owners: Hester Mixon, an undivided one-third thereof; Hazel Hollingsworth, an undivided one-third thereof, for and during the term of her natural life, and upon her death the remainder over to Georgene H. Rietow, Phyllis H. Meek, Louis H. Zane, Robert L. Hollingsworth, Ann Sandstrom and James C. Hollingsworth; Helen Schluer, an undivided one-third thereof, for and during the term of her natural life and upon her death, the remainder over to Schurley E. Schluer Jr., and Martha Womack

Assessor's Parcel: 19-290-30

All that real property situate in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

PARCEL 1: The North half (1/2); North half (1/2) of the South Half; and the Southwest quarter (1/4) of the Southwest Quarter (1/4) of Section Two (2), Township Eleven (11) North, Range One (1), West, M.D.B.&M., Yolo County California,

EXCEPTING THEREFROM all that property lying westerly of the easterly line of that property granted to the State of California for highway purposes by deed recorded May 23, 1938 in Book 115 of Official Records, page 317.

PARCEL 2: Beginning at the intersection of property line fences marking the Northeast corner of the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 2, Township 11 North, Range 1 West, M.D.B.&M., and running thence North 89° 28' West, along the North line of said Sixteenth Section 269.7 feet to the Easterly right of way line of the Department of Public Works 1938 Survey from Madison to Dunnigan, Road III-Yol-98-B; thence along said right of way line South 02° 05' East 663.2 feet North 87° 55' East 10.0 feet and South 02° 05' East 46.8 feet to the center of Oak Creek; thence down the center of Oak Creek South 72° 14' East 248.5 feet to the East line of aforementioned Sixteenth Section; thence North 0° 12' West 782.5 feet to the point of beginning.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #13:

Property Owners: Francis O. Rodgers, also known as Frank O. Rodgers, Lucille E. Rodgers, Francis J. Rodgers and Carol Ann Rodgers, as tenants in common

Assessor's Parcel: Portion of 25-350-11

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

A portion of Lot 22 and 23 of Clantons Willow Oak Park as shown in Book 35 of Deeds, at page 396 in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the South west corner of said Lot 23 thence N. 0° 17' 38" E. 550 feet to the true point of beginning, thence from said true point of beginning N. 0° 17' 38" E. along the west line of Lots 22 and 23 1393 feet; thence N. 57° 49' E. 728 feet; thence N. 30° 00' E. 329.5 feet, thence N. 86° 43' E. 545.37 feet to the east line of Lot 22; thence S. 0° 28' 53" W. along the east line of Lots 22 and 23 2652.73 feet more or less to the south east corner of Lot 23; thence Westerly along the South line of Lot 23, 924 feet; thence N. 0° 17' 38" E. 550 feet; thence N. 89° 45' 45" W. 396 feet to the true point of beginning. Containing 67.24 acres of land.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #12:

Property Owners: Aubrey Elmo Singleton and Doris Ann Singleton,
his wife, in joint tenancy

Assessor's Parcel: 50-160-10

All that real property situated in the County of Yolo, State of California,
and bounded and described as

A portion of the Northwest Quarter of Section 27, Township 9 North, Range 1
West, M.D.B.&M., described as follows:

BEGINNING at a 1 1/2" iron pipe marking the North Quarter corner of said Section 27 as same is shown on Page 52 of Book 7 of Maps and Surveys in the Office of Yolo County Recorder: thence from said point of beginning along the East line of said Northwest Quarter South 0° 55' 30" East 353.15 feet to the center of an irrigation ditch; thence along the center of said ditch the following courses and distances; South 88° 42' 11" West 606.73 feet; thence South 53° 03' 41" West 277.09 feet; thence South 75° 37' 49" West 726.63 feet; thence South 49° 43' 17" West 448.03 feet; thence South 26° 18' 07" West 244.47 feet; thence leaving said irrigation ditch North 0° 11' 00" West 1191.83 feet to a point on the North line of said Northwest Quarter of Section 27; thence along said North line North 89° 06' 45" East 1280.42 feet to the point of beginning.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #90:

Property Owners: Layton Knaggs, a married man

Assessor's Parcel: 57-120-9

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described
as follows:

A portion of Swamp Land Survey No. 450 and 1105, being in Section 15, 16, 21
and 22, Township 10 North, Range 3 East, M.D.B.&M., described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the center line of Tule Canal, that is distant West 1660.56 feet from the Northeast corner of the Southwest Quarter of said Section 15; thence from said point of beginning along the said center line of Tule Canal North 62° 22' 52" East 1017.82 feet to the Southwesterly line of Swamp Land Survey 453; thence leaving said canal and running along the Southwesterly line of said Swamp Land Survey 453; South 46° 56' 38" East 656.95 feet to an old wire fence, being the most Northerly corner of Swamp Land Survey 452; thence along the Northwesterly line of said Swamp Land Survey 452, and along said fence line, South 44° 16' 37" West 1052.23 feet to an old fence corner post, being the most Westerly corner of said Swamp Land Survey 452; thence along the Southwesterly line of said Swamp Land Survey 452, South 41° 33' 41" East 3020.71 feet to the Westerly bank of the Sacramento River; thence along the said Westerly bank the following five courses, (1) South 57° 50' 00" West 69.30 feet, (2) South 51° 00' 00" West 660.00 feet (3) South 55° 10' 00" West 660.00 feet, (4) South 54° 25' 00" West 264 feet, and (5) South 48° 00' 00" West 994.94 feet to the most Southerly corner of Swamp Land Survey 450; thence leaving said Westerly bank, along the said Southerly line of Swamp Land Survey 450, North 71° 00' 00" West 237.58 feet to an old wire fence; thence along said fence line and the Southerly line of said Swamp Land Survey 450, North 71° 00' 00" West 3102.14 feet to the approximate center line of the Easterly levee of the Yolo By Pass; thence along the said approximate center line North 18° 22' 01" East 2793.77 feet to the intersection of the Southwesterly projection of the center line of said Tule Canal; thence leaving said levee along the said center line of Tule Canal North 62° 22' 52" East 1869.43 feet to the point of beginning.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM one-half of all oil, gas, minerals, and other hydrocarbon
substances below a depth of 500 feet under the above described real property.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #3:

Property Owners: Donald R. Newman and Barbara Newman, his wife,
as community property

Assessor's Parcel: 56-130-02 and 56-130-09

PARCEL ONE: Assessor's Parcel No. 19-^{441-12 PB 8-15-74}~~444-12~~ Code Area 87-025

The Southeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 7, Township 11 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B. & M.

PARCEL TWO: Assessor's Parcel No. 19-750-03 Code Area 87-025

The Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 18, Township 11 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B. & M.,

APPURTENANT to the above parcels of land is a right of way for road purposes and for ingress, egress and regress over the West 15 feet of the South 30 feet of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 7, and over a strip of land 30 feet wide lying 15 feet on each side of the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of said Section 18, over the South 15 feet of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and over the North 30 feet and the South 30 feet of the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 18, all in Township 11 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B. & M., as said rights of way were disclosed in that certain Judgment, dated November 15, 1922 and recorded November 20, 1922, in Book 103 of Deeds, at page 521, wherein Oscar E. Jacobs was Plaintiff and Linnie J. Jacobs, et al., were defendants.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE NO. 3:

Property Owners: Conrad L. Staib and Georgette L. Staib, his wife,
as joint tenants

Assessor's Parcel: 27-200-05

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

PARCEL 1:

Lot 4 of Marston Subdivision, according to the map entitled "Map of Marston's Subdivision of a portion of the Harbin Grant" filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on January 11, 1895, in Book 1 of Maps and Surveys at page 75.

PARCEL 2:

The East one-half of that parcel of land shown in the name of M. E. Herbert and being depicted on that certain Map of Survey made at the request of Charles Fenton, dated January 26-7, 1906, by P. N. Ashley, County Surveyor, Yolo County, and being filed for record in Maps and Surveys Book 3 at page 50 in the office of Yolo County Recorder, and East one-half being more particularly described as follows:

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE # 3 (Cont'd):

BEGINNING at an old iron monument (1" by 2-1/2") found (6" below the pavement surface) marking the Northeast corner of said M. E. Herbert parcel, and the Southeast corner of Section 31, T. 11 N., R. 2 E., M.D.B.&M., and said monument also marks the Northwest corner of Marston's Subdivision of a portion of the Marbin Grant, situate in Rancho Rio Jesus Maria as said Marston's Subdivision appears of record in Maps and Surveys Book 1 at page 75; extending thence from said point of beginning along the line common to Marston's Subdivision and said M. E. Herbert parcel, South 00° 15' 04" West, 1338.07 feet to an iron monument with a 1-1/4" head tagged R. E. 6733; thence along the South line of said Herbert parcel, North 89° 48' 01" West, 661.36 feet to a 1-1/2 inch round iron bar monument found at the South side of a 5" by 7" fence post; thence North 00° 18' 43" East, 1335.77 feet to the South line of said Section 31 and the centerline of County Road No. 16, a 40 foot wide road; thence along said Section line, due East 659.95 feet to the point of beginning and including 20.27 acres of land.

Said parcel being further described as: The East half of the Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 6, Township 10 North, Range 2 East, M.p.B.&M.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #51:

Property Owners: Ann Maureen King as to an undivided 1/6 interest, and Sandra Jane High, formerly known as Sandra Jane Pecht, as to an undivided 1/12 interest, Anne Estelle Pecht, as to an undivided one-half interest; Anne Estelle Pecht and Paul Pecht, as Trustees for the benefit of Sandra Jane Pecht, as to an undivided one-twelfth interest; Anne Estelle Pecht and Paul Pecht, as Trustees for the benefit of Thomas Paul Pecht, as to an undivided one-sixth interest

Assessor's Parcels: 56-250-04, 56-250-08, 56-240-01, and 56-240-12

All that real property situate in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

PARCEL NO. 1:

Commencing at the center of Section 32, Township 11 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B.&M., as set out on the "Map of Fred Miller Ranch" on file in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County in Maps and Surveys No. 4, at page 23, said point of beginning being also the Northwest corner of Parcel "B" of the above mentioned survey, and extending thence North 89° 58' East along the quarter section line as occupied through the centers of Sections 32 and 33, same township and range, and along the North line of said Parcel "B" a distance of 5280 feet to the center of an 8" x 8" fence post marking the center of said Section 33 and the Northeast corner of said Parcel "B"; thence South 0° 21' West down and along the quarter section line through the center of said Section 33 and along the East line of said Parcel "B" of said Survey a distance of 810.84 feet to a point; thence South 89° 58' West along a line parallel with the first mentioned course a distance of 4750.79 feet; thence North 88° 12' West 527.95 feet to a point on the quarter section line through said Section 32; thence North 0° 15' East along said quarter section line and along the West line of Parcel "B" and "D" 792.49 feet to the point of beginning.

PARCEL NO. 2:

The Northeast 1/4 of Section 32 and the West 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 33, Township 11 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B.&M.
EXCEPTING THEREFROM the North 30 feet of the Northeast 1/4 of Section 32.

EXHIBIT "A" (Cont'd)

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #51 (Cont'd):

PARCEL NO. 3:

The West one-half of the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 33, Township 11 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B.&M.. EXCEPTING THEREFROM the interest in the North 30 feet conveyed to the County of Yolo for highway purposes by deed recorded January 19, 1939 in Book 119 Official Records, page 439.

AND FURTHER EXCEPTING FROM PARCELS ONE AND TWO, all oil, gas and minerals as reserved by Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, a national banking association, in deed to Elmer A. Engelson and Marion A. Engelson, recorded December 31, 1942 in Book 177 Official Records, page 124.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #41:

Property Owners: Alvin A. Logan, Jr., Henry Booker Logan, Aaron C. Logan, Roderick A. Logan, Carber D. Logan, Grace L. Logan, Addie May Molsen, Nellie E. Lewis and Estella Earl

Assessor's Parcels: 18-130-13, 18-410-05, and 18-410-11

DESCRIPTION: All that real property situate in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Parcel 1: Southwest 1/4 of Southeast 1/4, Section 35, Township 12, Range 3 West.

Parcel 2: Northeast 1/4 of Southwest 1/4 and Northwest 1/4 of Southeast 1/4 and Southeast 1/4 of Northwest 1/4 and Southwest 1/4 of Northeast 1/4 Section 2, Township 11, Range 3 West.

Parcel 3: Northeast 1/4 of Northwest 1/4 Section 2 Township 11, Range 3 West.

Parcel 4: North 1/2 of Southwest 1/4 and Southwest 1/4 of Northwest 1/4 Section 1, Township 11, Range 3, West.

ADDITION TO PRESERVE #41:

Property Owners: Forrest D. Monroe, James W. Monroe, John R. Monroe, Mary L. Day, Aytla Monroe, Tom Monroe

Assessor's Parcel: 48-140-11

(Re Zone File #2251: This land was included in an application last year, and is under agricultural preserve contract at this time. However, the parcel number was not advertised by either Planning Department or Board of Supervisors)



EXHIBIT "B"

AGRICULTURAL PRESERVE NUMBERS ARE
DESIGNATED ON THIS MAP BY THE NUMBERS
APPEARING WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF EACH
PRESERVE

THIS SHADING DELINEATES THE AGRICULTURAL
PRESERVES AS ESTABLISHED AND AMENDED BY YOLO
COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS RESOLUTION NO. 74-162
ADOPTED ON DECEMBER 2, 1974

2/20/1975


DATE


Laura D. King

CLERK OF THE BOARD


YOLO COUNTY


COLUSA COUNTY

























FILED

DEC 17 1974

RESOLUTION NO. 74-163

Board of Supervisors

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

County of Yolo, State of California "Resolution requesting California Department of Transportation to perform engineering and construction services in connection with Section 205 of the 1973 Federal Aid Highway Safety Act concerning pavement marking demonstration program."

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors find that workload of its Public Works Department precludes it from performing the engineering and construction of the pavement marking project, and

WHEREAS County of Yolo finds it is in the Public interest for the State of California to let a District wide contract to place raised markings and painting centerlines and edgelines on Rural County roads in District 03

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT: The County of Yolo agrees to reimburse the State of California for its direct overhead labor assessments cost and other ineligible costs not reimbursable by the Federal Government.

AND, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Director of Public Works be directed to authorize the Department of Transportation to proceed with the work of installing revised pavement markers and painting edge stripes pursuant to Section 205 of the 1973 Federal Aid Highway Act.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS 9th DAY OF December, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

W. E. Duncan
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Attest:

Laurence P. Henigan, County Clerk

By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

Approved as to Form

Dated

Paula C. Corral
County Clerk of Yolo County
DEC 17 1974

FILED

DEC 16 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Bartholomew Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-164

(Resolution of Acceptance)

WHEREAS, ROBERT M. WILSON, JESS C. WILSON, JR., and
W. HARNEY WILSON desire to make a donation of certain real property
to the County of Yolo for park and recreation purposes; and

WHEREAS, the parties hereto have executed a grant deed
conveying said real property to the County of Yolo;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the Board
of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That the County of Yolo enter into Agreement No. 74-
280, wherein and whereby the real property described therein
is conveyed to the County of Yolo, and the Chairman of this Board
is hereby authorized to execute said agreement.

2. That said property conveyed by deed to Yolo County be
hereby accepted by order of this Board on this date pursuant to
this resolution, and the County of Yolo hereby consents to the
recordation of said deed and authorizes the Chairman of this Board
to execute said acceptance and attach said acceptance to said deed.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 16th day of December,
1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

W. E. Duncan
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Bartholomew Rodgers
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

FILED

DEC 26 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Paulina A. Rodriguez*
Deputy

1 AGREEMENT NO. 74-280

2 (Agreement of Donation of Property to County
3 of Yolo for Park and Recreation Purposes)

4 THIS AGREEMENT made and entered into this 16th day of
5 December, 1974, by and between ROBERT M. WILSON, JESS C.
6 WILSON, JR., and W. HARNEY WILSON, hereinafter designated GRANTORS,
7 and the COUNTY OF YOLO, a political subdivision of the State of
8 California, hereinafter designated GRANTEE,

9 W I T N E S S E T H :

10
11 RECITALS:

- 12 1. GRANTORS are the owners in fee simple of the real property
13 described in Exhibit "A", attached hereto and incorporated
14 herein.
15 2. It is the intention of GRANTORS to make a donation to GRANTEE
16 as is more particularly set forth hereinbelow.

17 AGREEMENTS:

- 18 1. GRANTORS shall execute and deliver to GRANTEE a grant deed of
19 the real property described in Exhibit "A" through an escrow
20 to be established.
21 2. In acquisition of said real property, GRANTEE shall pay to
22 GRANTORS the sum of ONE THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED FORTY-NINE and
23 44/100 (\$1,449.44) DOLLARS by deposit of said sum into said
24 escrow. The parties thereupon shall execute escrow instruc-
25 tions directing the escrow holder to pay all delinquent
26 County property taxes which constitute a lien upon said
27 property, as of date of acceptance of the grant deed to same.
28 3. The parties hereto agree that the value of said real property
29 exceeds said sum, and that the difference between the value
30 and said sum is the donation to the County above referred to.
31 4. GRANTEE acquires said property subject to the legal effect,
32 if any there be, of all liens, covenants and restrictions of




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

record, the validity of which neither party hereto acknowledges.

- 5. GRANTEES will select a suitable name or designation for the donated parcel in accordance with the wishes of GRANTORS.
- 6. Upon execution of this agreement, the parties hereto shall enter into said escrow agreement and execute all necessary instructions to carry out the covenants of this agreement.


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this agreement as of the day and year hereinabove set forth.

GRANTORS -


ROBERT M. WILSON

JESS C. WILSON, JR.

W. HADEN WILSON

GRANTEE -

THE COUNTY OF YOLO, a political sub-division of the State of California

BY 
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DESCRIPTION

All that certain real property situate and lying in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Parcel 1: All that portion of that certain real property situate lying and being in the County of Yolo, State of California, being a portion of Block 41 of the "Town of Washington" as said block is designated and delineated on that certain map of the said "Town of Washington" filed for record in the office of the Recorder of the said County of Yolo on June 26, 1869, in book 1 of Deeds at page 264; and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point, said point being the most Southerly corner common to Lots 4 and 5 of said Block 41 and being on the Easterly edge of the crown of the Easterly levee of Reclamation District No. 311; thence Northwesterly along said Easterly edge of the levee crown to point on the boundary common to Lots 6 and 7 of said blocks which is 17.91 feet Northerly, measured along said common boundary, from the most Southerly corner common to said Lots 6 and 7; thence Northerly along the boundary common to Lots 6, 7, 13 and 16 of said Block to the Northeasterly corner of said Lot 13; thence Westerly along the boundary common to Lots 13 and 14 of said block to the most Westerly corner common to said Lots 13 and 14; thence Northwesterly along the most Westerly boundary of Lots 14, 15 and 30 of said Block to the Northwesterly corner of said Lot 30 and of said Block 41; thence Easterly along the Northerly boundary of said block and the Easterly production thereof 407 feet, more or less, to a point on the Westerly low water line of the Sacramento River; thence Southerly and downstream along said low water line and following the meanders thereof to a point on the Easterly production of the Southerly boundary of said Block 41; thence Westerly along the Southerly boundary of said Block and the Easterly production thereof 394.67 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM that portion of Second Street lying between the North boundary of William Street and the South boundary of James Street.

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM any portion thereof lying below the ordinary high water mark of the Sacramento River.

Parcel 2: Lots 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 in Block 41 in the Town of Washington, Yolo County, California.

Parcel 3: Beginning at the Southeast corner of Block 40 in the Town of Washington, Yolo County, California, running thence Westerly along the North line of William Street 78 feet; thence Northerly at right angles to the first alley; thence Easterly along the South line of said alley to Third Street; thence Southerly along the Westerly line of Third Street to the place of beginning.

RE/nc

Exhibit "A"


FILED

DEC 23 1974

CERTIFICATE CONSSENTING TO CON- LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
VEYANCE AND RECORDATION OF CON-
VEYANCE OF REAL PROPERTY By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the interest in real property conveyed by the deed dated December 13, 1974, from ROBERT M. WILSON, JESS C. WILSON, JR., and W. HARNEY WILSON to the COUNTY OF YOLO, a political subdivision of the State of California, is hereby accepted by order of the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 74-164 adopted on December 16, 1974, and the grantee consents to recordation thereof by its duly authorized officer.

Dated: December 23rd, 1974.



CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Paula C. Corral
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

FILED 26

DEC 16 1974

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Paula C. Corral Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-165
(PUC Crossing Fund Application)

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors has received an agreement from the Southern Pacific Transportation Company for the installation of railroad crossing protection work on County Road 97 _____ at _____ Crossing No. AE90.5; and

WHEREAS, the County's share of the cost of this work is estimated at \$18,700.00; and

WHEREAS, under the Statutes of 1953, Chapter 1739, 50% of this sum is reimbursable to the County from the crossing protection fund:

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute on behalf of Yolo County the said agreement with the Southern Pacific Transportation Company; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director of Public Works be instructed to file the necessary application for the allocation of funds, and upon completion of the work be authorized to file the necessary claims for reimbursement of the County's share of said work; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Board declares and holds the State of California free from any or all damages which might result from the construction of this project and maintenance and operation of the same.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 16th day of December, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

Approved as to Form

Dated _____

W E Duncan
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of California

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN

Clerk

By Balruda Rodasara, Deputy

Resolution Number 74-166

Resolution never received and the number
was recinded by Minute Order #74-1565

COLLOW CONTEM
ESEMVAZE
WITTEBS ENTS

FILED

JAN - 9 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara A. Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-167

(Resolution of Intention to Abandon a
Portion of Sacramento Street between
North Center Street and South River Road
in Clarksburg)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors desires to consider
abandonment of a portion of Sacramento Street between North Center
Street and South River Road in Clarksburg under provisions of
Section 956.8 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of
California;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board fixes the
27th day of January 1975, at the hour of 10:00 o'clock A. M., in
the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, County of Yolo, Court-
house, Woodland, California, as the time and place fixed for a
hearing on the abandonment of a 20-foot wide strip used as a
driveway, being all of Sacramento Street lying between the east
line of North Center Street and the west line of South River Road
also known as County Road No. 139 as shown on the map of the
Town of Clarksburg.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board is
hereby instructed to publish and post notice pursuant to Section
958 of the Streets and Highways Code.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 23rd day of December,
1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Wm E Duncan
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1-9-75

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Barbara A. Rodgers
(SEAL) DEPUTY

DEC 23 1974

LAURENCE P. McNICAN, Clerk

By *Barbara Rodgers*
Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 74-168

Resolution Revesting Powers and Rights of Control to
Commissioners of Local Fire Protection Districts)

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution No. 74-154, the Board
of Supervisors revested in itself the power to adopt a fire pre-
vention code in the following fire protection districts:

- (1) CAPAY VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT of Yolo County
 - (2) DUNNIGAN COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
 - (3) EAST DAVIS COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT in the County
of Yolo
 - (4) ESPARTO FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT of Yolo County
 - (5) ELKHORN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
 - (6) KNIGHTS LANDING FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT of Yolo County
 - (7) WEST PLAINFIELD COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT in Yolo
County
 - (8) WESTGATE COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
 - (9) WILLOW OAK COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT in Yolo County
 - (10) WINTERS COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT in Yolo County
 - (11) SPRINGLAKE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT in Yolo County
 - (12) NO MAN'S LAND FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT of Yolo County
- and

WHEREAS, such revesting of control was stated solely to
be for the purpose of facilitating the adoption of the Uniform
Fire Code, 1973 Edition, in those districts listed above of which
the Board of Supervisors is the governing board; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of this Board that the appointed
commissioners of the said districts act as its agent in managing
the affairs of each of the fire protection districts and that
said commissioners exercise any and all power vested in the
Supervisors,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the Board of
Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, that
the commissioners of the local fire protection districts be


1 revested with all powers and rights of control that existed prior
2 to the passage of Resolution No. 74-168, including the power
3 to adopt a fire prevention code.

4 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
5 County of Yolo, State of California, this 23rd day of December,
6 1974, by the following vote:

7 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

8 NOES: None


9 ABSENT: None.

10 

11 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
12 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13
14

15 ATTEST:

16 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

17 BY 
18 DEPUTY

19 (SEAL)
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

3

23

FILED

JAN 10 1975

LAURENCE P. HENNIGAN, Clerk

By Joe P. Leroy
Deputy

RESOLUTION NUMBER 74-169

(Federal Aid Urban System)

WHEREAS the Federal Aid Highway Act of 1973 provides for the establishment of Urban Boundaries and systems for the purpose of constructing streets and highways on the Federal Aid Urban System;

AND WHEREAS the attached system is functionally classified and qualifies for inclusion in the Federal Aid Urban System;

NOW, THEREFOR BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County hereby approve the system shown on the attached map labeled EXHIBIT "A" and made a part hereof.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS 23rd DAY OF December, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

W. E. Duncan
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENNIGAN, CLERK

BY Barbara Rodgers DEPUTY
(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM

BY [Signature]
Chief County Counsel

FILED

FEB 28 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Baldwin Rodgers* Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-170

Resolution of Acceptance for Subdivision No. 2242
(Linden West Unit No. 1)

WHEREAS, the final Subdivision Map for Subdivision No. 2242 has been presented to the Board for approval and acceptance of streets; and

WHEREAS, said map has been signed by all necessary parties; and

WHEREAS, the improvements have been assured and the map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board does approve said map for Subdivision No. 2242 and accept on behalf of the public the easement and Right-of-Ways, all as provided for and indicated on said final maps.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Board approves Agreement No. 74-287, the Subdivision Improvement Agreement for said Subdivision No. 2242, and authorizes the Chairman of the Board to sign said agreement.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 23rd day of December, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

W. E. Duncan

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of California

By *Baldwin Rodgers* Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

XEROX
COPY






EXHIBIT "B"

AGRICULTURAL PRESERVE NUMBERS ARE DESIGNATED ON THIS MAP BY THE NUMBERS APPEARING WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF EACH PRESERVE.

 THIS SHADING DELINEATES THE AGRICULTURAL PRESERVES AS ESTABLISHED AND AMENDED BY YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS RESOLUTION NO. 74-170A ADOPTED ON DECEMBER 23RD 1974

2/20/1975

DATE



CLERK OF THE BOARD

YOLO COUNTY

35

36

46

ROAD 31

WALF BLVD
ROAD 104

Assessor

FILED

FEB 25 1975

RESOLUTION NO. 74- 170-A LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

(Resolution Establishing and/or Enlarging an
Agricultural Preserve, Finding Land to be In-
cluded in Contracts to Lie Within One Mile of
a City, and Authorizing Execution of Land Use
Contracts)

WHEREAS, it is the policy of the County of Yolo to main-
tain its agricultural economy and prevent unnecessary and prema-
ture conversion of land in agricultural use by utilizing the Cali-
fornia Land Conservation Act of 1965; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors finds it can best achieve
the County's policy by establishing agricultural preserves and by
signing and becoming a party to Land Use Contracts as authorized
by said Act; and

WHEREAS, an application has been filed with the Planning
Department of the County of Yolo requesting to establish or
enlarge the Agricultural Preserves set forth in Attachment 1
attached hereto which is incorporated by reference herein so as
to include the territory described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto
which is incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, said application contains the matter required by
law and the procedural rules established by this Board of Super-
visors; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Department has filed with this Board
of Supervisors its report upon the application as to the conformance
with the Yolo County General Plan and as to the satisfaction of
the conditions for establishment or enlargement of an agricultural
preserve; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has held a public hearing
upon the application after giving notice in the manner required
by the procedural rules established by this Board; and

WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the hearing, the Planning
Commission by resolution made its recommendation upon the

1 application; and

2 WHEREAS, the Planning Commission resolution has been filed
3 with the Clerk of this Board and the Clerk of this Board has given
4 notice in the manner required by law, and the procedural rules
5 adopted by this Board; and

6 WHEREAS, at the time and place set forth in the said
7 notice a public hearing was held by this Board of Supervisors;
8 and

9 WHEREAS, the owners of real property set forth in said
10 Exhibit "A" have offered to enter into Land Use Contracts of even
11 date with this Resolution and making reference hereto as autho-
12 rized by said Conservation Act, which impose enforceable restric-
13 tions on the therein described real property which is located in
14 said Agricultural Preserve and known as the Assessor's Parcels as
15 set forth in said Exhibit "A"; and

16 WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has heard and considered
17 discussion on the restrictions, terms and conditions of the
18 Contracts; and

19 WHEREAS, in the judgment of this Board of Supervisors, it
20 is in the interest of the County of Yolo to do so;

21 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the
22 Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

- 23 1. Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct.
- 24 2. The action taken by this resolution is in conformance
25 with the Yolo County General Plan.
- 26 3. The agricultural preserve which includes the territory
27 described in said Exhibit "A" consists of no less
28 than 160 acres.
- 29 4. The territory described in said Exhibit "A"
 - 30 a. is designated for agricultural use in the Yolo
31 County General Plan
 - 32 b. does not include any parcel less than twenty (20)

- 1 acres in area,
- 2 c. is predominantly Class I, II, or III soils, or
- 3 satisfies the purposes of the agricultural pre-
- 4 serve in the following ways:
- 5 1) tends to maintain the agricultural economy
- 6 and
- 7 2) tends to prevent premature or unnecessary
- 8 conversion from agriculture, and
- 9 3) tends to assist in the preservation of
- 10 prime lands, and
- 11 4) to preserve lands with public value as
- 12 open space.
- 13 5. Said agricultural preserves are hereby declared
- 14 established or enlarged to include the territory
- 15 described in said Exhibit "A", for the purpose of
- 16 defining the boundaries of those areas within which
- 17 the County of Yolo is willing to enter into Land Use
- 18 Contracts pursuant to the Land Conservation Act of
- 19 1965.
- 20 6. A map of such agricultural preserves is attached
- 21 hereto marked Exhibit "B" which is incorporated by
- 22 reference.
- 23 7. The terms and conditions upon which the County of
- 24 Yolo is willing to enter into Land Use Contracts
- 25 are as follows:
- 26 FIRST: DECLARATION. This Land Use Contract is made
- 27 and entered into pursuant to the California Land
- 28 Conservation Act of 1965 as amended (Chapter 7 or
- 29 Part 1 of Division 1 of Title 5 of the Government
- 30 Code of California commencing with § 51200), herein-
- 31 after referred to as "the Act", including Article
- 32 3 of said Act entitled "Contracts" and is subject

1 to all of the provisions thereof pertaining to
2 contracts. It is the intent of the parties that
3 the restrictions imposed upon the subject property
4 by this Contract shall constitute those which may
5 be imposed upon property by Contract under the
6 aforementioned Act. The execution and recordation
7 of this Contract shall in no way restrict COUNTY
8 or OWNER from utilizing or benefiting from future
9 conservation or agricultural legislation enacted
10 by the California Legislature. Should COUNTY agree
11 to any provision in any other Contract under the
12 Land Conservation Act which provision is not in-
13 cluded herein and OWNER requests the inclusion of
14 such provision in this Contract such provision
15 shall be deemed added to this Contract upon written
16 request of OWNER to COUNTY and any other provision
17 hereof inconsistent with said provision shall be
18 deemed to be of no force and effect to the extent
19 of such inconsistency.

20 SECOND: RESTRICTIONS. During the term of this Con-
21 tract and any and all renewals thereof, the subject
22 property shall not be used for any purpose other
23 than agricultural use and the uses determined by
24 COUNTY pursuant to Government Code §§ 51231 or 51238
25 or by the Land Conservation Act of 1965 as amended
26 to be compatible with the agricultural use of land
27 within this preserve and subject to contract. No
28 structures shall be erected upon subject property
29 except such structures as may be directly related
30 to authorized uses of the land. The parties, through
31 appropriate action by COUNTY'S Board of Supervisors
32 in accordance with the Act and its rules adopted

1 thereunder, and written consent of OWNER, may from
2 time to time during the term of this Contract and
3 renewals thereof add to and/or delete from said
4 permissible uses of the subject property. If any
5 use otherwise permissible hereunder is not permis-
6 sible under any planning or zoning restriction now
7 or hereafter applicable to any of the property then
8 it shall likewise be deemed not legally available
9 to OWNER by the provisions of this Contract, and
10 if any use is permissible under any such planning
11 or zoning provision but is not determined as set
12 forth above to be compatible with the agricultural
13 use of land within this preserve and subject to con-
14 tract, then it shall not be deemed legally available
15 to OWNER hereunder.

16 THIRD: EMINENT DOMAIN. When any action in eminent
17 domain for the condemnation of the fee title of any
18 entire parcel of land subject to a contract is filed
19 or when such land is acquired in lieu of eminent
20 domain for a public improvement by a public agency
21 or a person or whenever there is any such action or
22 acquisition by the Federal government or any person,
23 instrumentality or agency acting under authority or
24 power of the Federal government, such contract shall
25 be deemed null and void as to the land actually
26 being condemned or so acquired as of the date the
27 action is filed, and for the purposes of establish-
28 ing value of such land the contract shall be deemed
29 never to have existed.

30 When such an action to condemn or acquire
31 less than all of a parcel of land subject to a con-
32 tract is commenced, the contract shall be deemed

1 null and void as to the land actually condemned or
2 acquired and shall be disregarded in the valuation
3 process only as to the land actually being taken,
4 unless the remaining land subject to contract will
5 be adversely affected by the condemnation, in which
6 case the value of that damage shall be computed
7 without regard to the contract.

8 The contract shall be null and void for all
9 land actually taken or acquired; provided, however,
10 that should any such action be dismissed, or should
11 any interest be conveyed for non-public use, the
12 contract shall be reinstated as of the date the
13 action is dismissed or the conveyance is recorded.

14 FOURTH: TERM AND RENEWAL. The initial term of the
15 Contract shall be for ten (10) years, commencing as
16 of the first day of March next succeeding the date
17 of approval of the contract by the Board of Super-
18 visors of the County of Yolo, and shall terminate
19 on the last day of February of the tenth (10) year
20 thereafter. The first day of March shall be deemed
21 to be the "anniversary date" of the Contract, and on
22 the anniversary date of the Contract a year shall
23 be automatically added to the term unless notice of
24 non-renewal is given as herein provided. If either
25 OWNER or COUNTY desires in any year not to renew
26 the Contract, that party shall serve written notice
27 of non-renewal of the Contract upon the other party
28 in advance of the anniversary date. Unless such
29 written notice is served by OWNER at least ninety
30 (90) days prior to the anniversary date or by COUNTY
31 at least sixty (60) days prior to renewal date, the
32 Contract shall be considered renewed as provided

1 above. At any time prior to the anniversary date
2 either party may in writing withdraw its notice of
3 non-renewal. Upon request by the OWNER, the Board
4 of Supervisors may authorize OWNER to serve notice
5 of non-renewal on a portion of the land under con-
6 tract.

7 FIFTH: NO PUBLIC FUNDS. The OWNER understands that
8 he is not entitled to any public funds by reason of
9 the execution of the Contract or any renewal thereof
10 although the use of the subject property is limited
11 as aforesaid.

12 SIXTH: TERMINATION. Subject to the procedural
13 conditions contained herein, OWNER may elect to
14 terminate the Contract without penalty when one of
15 the following circumstances is found to exist:

- 16 (a) The laws of California cease to implement
17 Article XXVIII of the State Constitution in a
18 manner providing benefits and restrictions
19 substantially similar to those embodied in
20 Article 1.5 (commencing with § 421) of Chapter
21 3 of Part 2 of Division 1 of the Revenue and
22 Taxation Code. To be substantially similar, it
23 is hereby agreed that said implementing measures
24 must include provisions for a land valuation
25 system basing value of the land on those uses
26 legally available to OWNER as the basis for
27 assessment, and must not require that OWNER
28 permit entry of the public on subject property.
- 29 (b) Future conservation or agricultural legislation
30 achieving a substantially similar public benefit
31 is enacted by the California Legislature and is
32 applied to subject property.

1 (c) The real property tax is abolished as a source
2 of revenue.

3 The following procedures shall be followed to elect the
4 termination of the Contract:

5 (a) Written notice of the election to terminate the
6 Contract shall be given the Board of Supervisors
7 of Yolo County by OWNER.

8 (b) The Board of Supervisors shall have thirty (30)
9 calendar days to review the proposal and the in-
10 formation submitted in support thereof.

11 (c) If the Board does not act within said thirty (30)
12 days to set a hearing within an additional thirty
13 (30) days, it shall effect the termination by
14 recording a notice of termination with the County
15 Recorder and filing a copy thereof with the State
16 Department of Agriculture. If the Board so sets
17 a hearing, it shall give notice to OWNER and
18 shall consider the election to terminate in the
19 same manner as it would a request for cancellation
20 as provided in Section SEVENTH of the Contract.

21 SEVENTH: CANCELLATION. The Contract may not be can-
22 celled in whole or in part except pursuant to a request
23 by OWNER as provided herein.

24 (a) The Board of Supervisors of COUNTY may approve
25 the cancellation of the Contract only if it finds
26 that cancellation is not inconsistent with the
27 purposes of the California Land Conservation Act
28 and is in the public interest. The existence of
29 an opportunity for another use of the land in-
30 volved shall not be sufficient reason for the
31 cancellation of the Contract. A potential
32 alternative use of the land may be considered

1 only if there is no proximate land not subject
2 to a land use contract pursuant to the Williamson
3 Act suitable for the use to which it is proposed
4 the subject property be put. The uneconomic
5 character of an existing agricultural use shall
6 likewise not be sufficient reason for cancella-
7 tion of the Contract. The uneconomic character
8 of an existing use may be considered only if
9 there is no other reasonable or comparable
10 agricultural use to which the land may be put.

11 (b) Prior to any action by the Board of Supervisors
12 giving tentative approval to the cancellation of
13 any contract, the County Assessor shall determine
14 the full cash value of the land as though it were
15 free of the contractual restriction. The Assessor
16 shall multiply such value by the most recent
17 County ratio announced pursuant to § 401 of the
18 Revenue and Taxation Code and shall certify the
19 product to the Board of Supervisors as the can-
20 cellation valuation for the purpose of determin-
21 ing the cancellation fee.

22 (c) Prior to giving tentative approval to the cancel-
23 lation of the contract, the Board of Supervisors
24 shall determine and certify to the County Auditor
25 the amount of the cancellation fee which OWNER
26 must pay to the County Treasurer as deferred taxes
27 upon cancellation. That fee shall be an amount
28 equal to 50% of the cancellation value of the
29 property; provided, however, if after the date
30 the contract was initially entered into, the
31 publicly announced county ratio of assessed value
32 to full cash value is changed, the percentage

1 payment in this subdivision shall be changed so
2 no greater percentage of full cash value will be
3 paid than would have been paid had there been no
4 change in ratio.

5 (d) If the Board of Supervisors finds that it is in
6 the public interest to do so, it may waive any
7 such payment or any portion thereof, or may make
8 such payment or a portion thereof contingent upon
9 the future use of the land and its economic re-
10 turn to OWNER for a period of time not to exceed
11 the unexpired term of the Contract had it not
12 been cancelled provided:

- 13 1) The cancellation is caused by an involun-
14 tary transfer or change in the use which
15 may be made of the land, and the land is
16 not immediately suitable, nor will be im-
17 mediately used, for a purpose which produces
18 a greater economic return to OWNER; and
19 2) The Board of Supervisors has determined it
20 is in the best interest of the program to
21 conserve agricultural land use that such
22 payment be either deferred or not required.

23 (e) When the deferred taxes required by this section
24 are collected, the County Treasurer shall distri-
25 bute the taxes in accordance with the provisions
26 of Government Code § 51283(d).

27 (f) Upon tentative approval of the cancellation peti-
28 tion, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall
29 record in the Office of the County Recorder a
30 certificate which shall set forth the name of the
31 owner of such land at the time the Contract was
32 cancelled with the amount of the cancellation fee

1 certified by the Board of Supervisors as being
2 due pursuant to this section, the contingency of
3 any waiver or deferment of payments, and a legal
4 description of the property. From the date of
5 recording of such certificate the Contract shall
6 be finally cancelled and, to the extent the can-
7 cellation fee has not yet been paid, a lien shall
8 be created and attached against the real property
9 described therein and any other real property
10 owned by the person named therein as the owner
11 and located within the County of Yolo. Such lien
12 shall have the force, effect and priority of a
13 judgment lien. Nothing in this section shall pre-
14 clude the Board of Supervisors from requiring
15 payment in full of the cancellation fee prior to
16 the cancellation becoming effective.

17 (g) In no case shall the cancellation of a Contract
18 be final until the notice of cancellation is
19 actually recorded as provided herein. Notwith-
20 standing any provisions of the Revenue and Taxa-
21 tion Code, any payments required by this section
22 shall not create nor impose a lien or charge on
23 the land as to which a contract is cancelled
24 except as herein provided.

25 (h) Upon the payment of the cancellation fee or any
26 portion thereof, the Clerk of the Board of Super-
27 visors shall record with the County Recorder a
28 written certificate of the release in whole or
29 in part of the lien.

30 (i) No tentative approval of cancellation may be
31 given until after the Board of Supervisors has
32 caused notice to be given of and has held a public

1 hearing on the matter. Notice of hearing shall
2 be mailed to each and every owner of property
3 under contract within this agricultural preserve
4 and shall be published pursuant to § 6061 of the
5 Government Code. The owner of any property
6 located in the County of Yolo may protest such
7 cancellation; however, such protest shall be
8 advisory only and shall not be binding upon the
9 Board of Supervisors.

10 EIGHTH: DIVISION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY. In the event
11 the subject property of the Contract is divided, a
12 Contract identical to the Contract then covering the
13 original parcel shall be executed by OWNER on each
14 parcel created by the division at the time of the
15 division. Any agency making an order of division or
16 COUNTY which has jurisdiction shall require, as a con-
17 dition of approval of the division, the execution of
18 the Contracts provided for in this paragraph.

19 NINTH: EXCLUSION OF LAND FROM PRESERVE. Removal of
20 any land under the Contract from an agricultural pre-
21 serve either by change of boundaries of the preserve
22 or disestablishment of the preserve shall be the
23 equivalent of a notice of non-renewal by COUNTY.

24 TENTH: SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST. The Contract shall
25 run with the land described in it and shall be binding
26 upon the heirs, successors and assigns of the OWNER
27 and COUNTY.

- 28 8. The uses determined by COUNTY to be agricultural uses
29 and uses compatible with the agricultural use of the
30 land within the preserve and subject to contract are
31 as follows:

32 A. Agricultural Uses:

1 1. Agriculture, including any customary agricul-
2 tural buildings and structures, and such uses
3 as, but not limited to, livestock ranges,
4 animal husbandry, field crops, fallow, with-
5 drawal from production pursuant to Federal
6 agricultural programs such as but not limited
7 to that authorized by the Crop and Adjustment
8 Act, tree crops, nurseries and greenhouses
9 together with all necessary equipment and
10 facilities for support and maintenance of
11 the operation.

12 2. Animal feed yards when more than 1000 feet
13 from any existing dwelling.

14 3. Hog farms.

15 B. Compatible Uses:

- 16 1. Ranch and farm dwellings appurtenant to a
17 principal agricultural use when located on
18 a parcel containing at least twenty (20)
19 acres.
- 20 2. Drilling and operation of oil and gas wells.
- 21 3. Electrical distribution substations.
- 22 4. Public parks and recreation areas.
- 23 5. Living quarters of persons employed on the
24 premises and relatives of the property owner
25 or lessee; but not including labor camps.
- 26 6. Mobile homes may be used as living quarters
27 when they are located on land used for agri-
28 cultural purposes and are appurtenant to
29 such agricultural use, provided that there
30 may not be more than one mobile home for each
31 ten (10) acres of total site area.
- 32 7. Temporary shelters for herdsmen including

- 1 mobile homes, wagons, tents and the like.
- 2 8. Guest houses, not rented or otherwise con-
- 3 ducted as a business.
- 4 9. Home occupations.
- 5 10. Offices incidental and necessary to the con-
- 6 duct of a principal permitted use.
- 7 11. Private garages, private parking areas, and
- 8 private stables.
- 9 12. Roadside stands for the sale of agricultural
- 10 products primarily grown in the local area.
- 11 13. Name plates and signs appurtenant to any
- 12 permitted use, including, but not limited to,
- 13 temporary real estate signs advertising the
- 14 sale, rental, or lease of the premises upon
- 15 which the sign is located.
- 16 14. Temporary landing strips appurtenant to a
- 17 principal permitted use.
- 18 15. Other accessory uses and buildings customarily
- 19 appurtenant to a permitted use; including,
- 20 but not limited to, almond hulling, fruit,
- 21 grain and bean storage and drying when such
- 22 products are primarily produced on the
- 23 premises.
- 24 16. Any customary agricultural building, structure
- 25 or dwelling appurtenant to an agricultural
- 26 use no longer necessary for the operation of
- 27 the principal use may be rented or leased for
- 28 similar use.
- 29 17. Public and private hunting clubs with no
- 30 permanent buildings.
- 31 18. The erection, construction, alteration or
- 32 maintenance of gas, electric, water or

- 1 communication utility facilities.
- 2 19. Public and private hunting clubs with perma-
- 3 nent buildings.
- 4 20. Agricultural labor camps.
- 5 21. Dwelling yard area when necessary to trans-
- 6 form an irregularly shaped parcel occupied
- 7 by a non-farm dwelling into an approximately
- 8 rectangular shaped parcel and where there is
- 9 no decrease in adaptability to agriculture
- 10 for both the land used as dwelling yard
- 11 area and the remaining land in the same
- 12 agricultural preserve.
- 13 22. The extraction of gravel incidental to creek
- 14 bank protection and erosion prevention con-
- 15 sisting of channelization where found by the
- 16 Planning Commission or the Board of Super-
- 17 visors to constitute an accessory use under
- 18 the zoning regulations of the County of Yolo
- 19 or where pursuant to a use permit granted
- 20 under said zoning regulations.
- 21 9. Said Land Use Contracts constitute an enforceable
- 22 restriction within the meaning of and for the purposes of Article
- 23 XXVIII of the State Constitution and Article 1.5 (commencing with
- 24 § 421) of Chapter 3 of Part 2 of Division 1 of the Revenue and
- 25 Taxation Code.
- 26 10. A portion of the land included in said applications
- 27 and said Contracts is located within one mile of an incorporated
- 28 city in this County, to wit: the City of Davis.
- 29 11. The Clerk of this Board of Supervisors gave two weeks'
- 30 written notice of hearing upon said application to include the
- 31 parcels covered by said Contracts within a preserve to the City
- 32 of Davis.

EXHIBIT "A"

ADDITION TO PRESERVE NO. 46:

Property Owner: Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, a National Banking Association

Assessor's Parcel: 32-240-01

All that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

Parcel 5 of Lands of Henry J. Hamel, et al., located in Section 1, Township 8 North, Range 2 East and Section 36, Township 9 North, Range 2 East, according to the official plat thereof, filed in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on May 29, 1928, in Book 4 of Maps and Surveys, at pages 79 and 80.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THE FOLLOWING described parcel of land:

A strip of land over, thru and across a portion of Parcel Five (5) of lands of Henry J. Hamel, et al., in Section One (1), Township Eight (8) North, Range Two (2) East, according to the official plat thereof, filed in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on May 29, 1928, in Book 4 of Maps and Surveys, at pages 79 and 80, more fully described as follows:

Commencing at the Southeast corner of said Parcel 5, said point is on center line of County Road 30-B, 60 feet wide; thence along the East line of said Parcel 5, North $01^{\circ} 32'$ West 30.17 feet to the North right-of-way line of said road, THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING: thence along said North line South $82^{\circ} 24'$ West 2748.29 feet to the West line of said parcel; thence North $00^{\circ} 17'$ West along said West line 40.33 feet; thence parallel to and 40.00 feet North of said right-of-way line, North $82^{\circ} 24'$ East 2747.50 feet to said East line of parcel 5; thence South $01^{\circ} 32'$ East 40.22 feet to the true point of beginning. Containing 2.523 acres, more or less.

FILED

DECS 01974

RESOLUTION NO. 74-171

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

WHEREAS, Supervisor Joe Espigares, Jr. has chosen to retire to devote more time to his family, new grandson, and business, after serving two terms (eight years) as Yolo County Supervisor for District Three; and

WHEREAS, Supervisor Joe Espigares, Jr. has been so devoted to his duties that he has never been late to a Board meeting and therefore has never been fined for being late, causing a severe shortage of funds for the annual New Year's Luncheon, such deficiency being made up by the remaining four more generous members of the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, while Joe has been stingy and parsimonious with his own money, he has nevertheless succeeded, admirably, in blowing \$179,533,194.02 of the taxpayers money during his eight (8) years as a County Supervisor; and

WHEREAS, when Supervisor Espigares replaced Ray Bell on the Board of Directors of Weimar Medical Center, Weimar went broke in a few months and had to close its doors; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors will miss the great yawns and gentle snores of Supervisor Espigares every Monday morning during the summer months due to his attendance at late Sunday night American Legion baseball games, which caused Chairman Duncan this past summer to change the usual voice vote to a nodding of the heads in deference to Supervisor Espigares.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo commends Supervisor Joe Espigares, Jr. for his leadership, his dedication to duty, his attendance at countless day and night meetings at the sacrifice of both his family and his business interests, his tenacious leadership in road and street improvements throughout his District, his noteworthy contribution in sponsoring water and sanitary services in the rural areas of his District, and for his broad participation in improving health conditions throughout the County;


BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the members of this Board of Supervisors do express their appreciation for his unfailing cooperation and good humor in promoting the best interests of Yolo County and its citizens, and wish him well on his retirement and happiness with his family and success in his many business interests.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 23rd day of December, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Marchand, Stephens

NOES: Espigares

ABSENT: None



CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HINIGAN, CLERK

BY Paula C. Corral
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

State of California
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
Division of Veteran Services

FILED

JAN-91975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Joe C. Berry
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-172

RESOLVED: That WM. E. DUNCAN, CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS is hereby authorized and designated as the representative of the County of YOLO, State of California, to sign and present an application on its behalf to the Department of Veterans Affairs of the State of California for State moneys under Section 972 of the Military and Veterans Code, State of California, Chapter 1431, Statutes of 19 74; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That said representative is hereby authorized and designated to execute an agreement on behalf of said County with said Department of Veterans Affairs covering the terms and conditions under which any amount of State moneys is to be granted to the said County; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the amount of State moneys to be allotted will be determined by the Department of Veterans Affairs, and matching funds may be provided by the County in the proportions as required by agreement with said Department.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo on ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ 30th day of December, 19 74.
by the following vote:

Ayes: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Duncan.

Noes: None.

Absent: Espigares.

ATTEST: LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

Signature

Wm E Duncan

Chairman, Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of
California 1-9-75

BY Joe C. Berry Deputy

State of California) SS
County of _____)

I, _____, County Clerk and ex-officio Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in and for the County of _____ State of California, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of the Resolution made by the Board of Supervisors, as the same appears upon their minute book.

Witness my hand and seal of said Board of Supervisors, affixed this _____ day of _____, 19 ____.

County Clerk and Ex-Officio Clerk
of the Board of Supervisors

To:

Planning
Co. Counsel
Public Works
Building Inspection.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Yolo County, California

Date: December 30, 1974
Entry No. 17

File:
PLANNING- MASTER PLAN-
Safety Element.

SEE:
RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 15

RESOLUTION NO. 74-173; ADOPTING THE SAFETY ELEMENT AS PART OF THE YOLO COUNTY GENERAL PLAN, WAS PASSED AND ADOPTED. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS AUTHORIZED TO SIGN THE RESOLUTION. THE MATTER WAS CONSIDERED AT A PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON DECEMBER 30, 1974, AT 11:30 A.M.

Upon motion of Supervisor Edmonds , seconded by Supervisor Espigares , and duly carried, the above Resolution was passed and adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan .

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

ORIGINAL

OK.
RECEIVED

FEB 21 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 74-173

FILED

FEB 21 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By BARRARA A. RODGER
Deputy

By 2 Deputy (Resolution Amending Yolo County Master Plan
to Adopt The Safety Element Thereof)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

WHEREAS, on the 28th day of January 1959, by Resolution No. 59-15, the Board of Supervisors adopted the present and now existing Yolo County Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission on November 6th, 1974, held a public hearing as required by law and after due notice to consider adoption of the Safety Element as a part of the Yolo County Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, said Yolo County Planning Commission recommended to this Board adoption of the Safety Element as a part of the Yolo County Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors thereafter held a public hearing after proper notice in the manner required by law for the purpose of hearing said proposed Safety Element; and

WHEREAS, this Board has determined that the aforesaid recommended Safety Element as proposed is for the best interest of the residents of the County of Yolo; and that said Safety Element is on file with the Clerk of this Board and is attached hereto entitled Exhibit "A", which Exhibit "A" is annexed hereto and by reference made a part hereof; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission in the manner provided by law has adopted an environmental impact report concerning the proposed Safety Element and a copy thereof has been filed with this Board of Supervisors and this Board of Supervisors has considered said environmental impact report in its deliberations upon the adoption of the Safety Element,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. The Safety Element as set forth in Exhibit "A" is hereby adopted as the Safety Element of the Yolo County Master Plan.

1 2. This Board finds that the foregoing recitals are
2 true and correct.

3 3. This Board hereby certifies that an Environmental
4 Impact Report was completed in accordance with the provisions of
5 the California Environmental Quality Act and that it reviewed and
6 considered the contents thereof in making its decision in this
7 matter.

8 4. The Clerk of this Board is directed to file a Notice
9 of Determination in the manner prescribed by law.

10 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
11 County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of December,
12 1974, by the following vote:

13 AYES: Stephens, Marchand, Edmonds, Espigares, Duncan.

14 NOES: None.

15 ABSENT: None.

16

17

18

WM. E. DUNCAN
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

19

20

21

22 ATTEST:

23 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

24

25 BY BARBARA A. RODGERS
 DEPUTY

26

(SEAL)

27

28

29

30

31

32

FILED

JAN 29 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-1

(Authorizing Stop Signs on East Entrance to Rice
Mill Products at South River Road)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354, and 21355 of the
Vehicle Code of the State of California authorizes the designa-
tion of any intersection as a stop intersection requiring all
vehicles to stop at one or more entrances to said intersection.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that
the intersection of East entrance to Rice Mill Products and
South River Road is hereby designated a stop intersection and
that all northbound traffic on East entrance to Rice Mill
Products is hereby required to stop at the entrance to said
intersection, and that the Director of Public Works is hereby
directed to install stop signs on East entrance to Rice Mill
Products facing northbound traffic at its intersection with
South River Road.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 20th day
of January, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

By Barbara H. Rodgers Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

CHARLES R. MACK

County Counsel

By Robert A. Host
Deputy

To:

Auditor
Public Works
County Counsel

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Yolo County, California

Date: January 20, 1975
Entry No. 31

File:
TRANSPORTATION-(CALTRANS)
Transportation System Statewide.

PW-24
CALTRANS
Planning Program

SEE:
RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 15

RESOLUTION NO. 75-2, SUPPORTING THE CALTRANS POSITION FOR THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION HEARING CONCERNING THE KEGLE DRIVE UNDERPASS, WAS PASSED AND ADPTED. THE CHAIRMAN WAS AUTHORIZED TO SIGN THE RESOLUTION.

Upon motion of Supervisor Barton , seconded by Supervisor Edmonds , and duly carried, the above Resolution was passed and adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

ORIGINAL

FILED

JAN 20 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara A. Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-2

Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the County
of Yolo Supporting the Construction of State Route 84.

WHEREAS, the California Department of Transportation
is planning for the construction for Route 84 in the West
Sacramento area, including the crossing of the Sacramento
Northern and Southern Pacific tracks; and

WHEREAS, the California Department of Transportation
has applied to the Public Utilities Commission for placement
of the above project on the 1975-76 fiscal year priority list
of grade separations for funding;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Yolo County
Board of Supervisors does support this project, in that it
will bring together two communities now separated by the rail-
road facilities.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 20th day of January
1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Barbara A. Rodgers DEPUTY
(Seal)

XEROX
COPY

JAN 27 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75-3

By Paula C. Connel
Deputy

(Resolution of Intention to Establish
County Service Area No. 5 (East Yolo))

WHEREAS, pursuant to Title 3, Division 2, Part 2, Chapter 2.2 of the Government Code of the State of California, proceedings for the establishment of a County Service Area may be initiated by the Board of Supervisors on its own initiative; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 25210.11, this Board of Supervisors wishes to initiate proceedings for the establishment of a county service area as follows; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with §§ 25210.3(a) and 25210.13 the proposal set forth herein was approved by the Yolo County Local Agency Formation Commission on December 5th, 1974;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. A county service area is proposed to be established under the terms of the mentioned statute in the unincorporated area of the County of Yolo; the boundaries of the territory proposed for inclusion in the area are as set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

2. The name proposed for said county service area is: COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 5 (EAST YOLO).

3. The type or types of extended county services proposed to be provided within the area pursuant to said Chapter are as follows:

local park, recreation or parkway facilities and services

4. Except where funds are otherwise available from service charges collected pursuant to § 25210.77(a) of the California Government Code, a tax sufficient to pay for all such services which are furnished on an extended basis will be annually

1 levied upon all taxable property within such area.

2 5. On the 24th day of February, 1975, at 7:30 o'clock,
3 P. M., a time not less than 30 nor more than 60 days after the
4 adoption of this resolution, a public hearing will be held in the
5 Multi-Purpose Room of the Evergreen Elementary School, 919 West
6 Acres Road, West Sacramento, California, on the establishment of
7 said area.

8 Notice of such public hearing shall be published pursuant
9 to Government Code § 6061 in The News Ledger, a
10 newspaper of general circulation published in the County.

11 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
12 County of Yolo, State of California, this 20th day of January,
13 1975, by the following vote:

14 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

15 NOES: None.

16 ABSENT: None.

17
18 *Betty A. Marchand*
19 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
20 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
21 1-27-75
22

23 ATTEST:

24 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

25
26 BY *Barbara A. Rodgers*
27 DEPUTY

28 (SEAL)
29
30
31
32

EXHIBIT "A"

Beginning at the Northwest corner of Section 35, T. 9 N., R. 3 E., M.D.B. & M., and running thence East along the North line of Sections 35 and 36, to the Northeast corner of Section 36; thence North $1/2$ mile along the West line of Section 30, T. 9 N., R. 4 E., to the West $1/4$ corner of Section 30; thence East $1/2$ mile to the center of Section 30; thence North $1/8$ mile more or less to the North line of S.L.S. No. 970, said point being on the centerline of Tule Jake Road; thence Northeasterly along the North line of S.L.S. 970, to the Westerly bank of the Sacramento River; thence Easterly and Southerly down and along said River to the South line of Swamp Land Survey No. 815; thence Northwesterly along the said South line of said survey to its Southwest corner; thence Northeasterly along the West line of said last named Survey to a point where it is intersected by the Quarter Section line running East and West through Section 30, T. 8 N., R. 4 E.; thence West about $3/4$ mile to the East $1/4$ corner of Section 25, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.; thence North $2-1/2$ miles to the Southeast corner of Section 12, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.; thence West 2 miles to the Southwest corner of Section 11; thence North along the section lines, 4 miles more or less to the point of beginning.

FILED

JAN 27 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

NOTICE OF HEARING TO ESTABLISH
COUNTY SERVICE AREA

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on February 24, 1975, at 7:30 o'clock P.M., in the Multi Purpose Room of the Evergreen Elementary School, 919 West Acres Road, West Sacramento, CA, a public hearing will be held on the Resolution of Intention of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, to establish County Service Area No. 5 (East Yolo) which resolution is set forth in Attachment No. 1 affixed hereto and made a part hereof.

At said hearing, the testimony of all interested persons or taxpayers for or against the establishment of the area or the furnishing of the specified types of extended services will be heard.

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, COUNTY CLERK
EX OFFICIO CLERK OF THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

BY BARBARA A. RODGERS
DEPUTY

1 RESOLUTION NO. 75- 3

2 (Resolution of Intention to Establish
3 County Service Area No. 5 (East Yolo))

4 WHEREAS, pursuant to Title 3, Division 2, Part 2, Chapter
5 2.2 of the Government Code of the State of California, proceed-
6 ings for the establishment of a County Service Area may be
7 initiated by the Board of Supervisors on its own initiative;
8 and

9 WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 25210.11, this Board of
10 Supervisors wishes to initiate proceedings for the establish-
11 ment of a county service area as follows; and

12 WHEREAS, in accordance with §§ 25210.3(a) and 25210.13
13 the proposal set forth herein was approved by the Yolo County
14 Local Agency Formation Commission on December 5th, 1974;

15 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND
16 by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

17 1. A county service area is proposed to be established
18 under the terms of the mentioned statute in the unincorporated
19 area of the County of Yolo; the boundaries of the territory pro-
20 posed for inclusion in the area are as set forth in Exhibit "A"
21 attached hereto and made a part hereof.

22 2. The name proposed for said county service area is:
23 COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 5 (EAST YOLO).

24 3. The type or types of extended county services proposed
25 to be provided within the area pursuant to said Chapter are as
26 follows:

27 local park, recreation or parkway facilities and
28 services

29 4. Except where funds are otherwise available from
30 service charges collected pursuant to § 25210.77(a) of the Cali-
31 fornia Government Code, a tax sufficient to pay for all such
32 services which are furnished on an extended basis will be annually

Attachment 1

1 levied upon all taxable property within such area.

2 5. On the 24th day of February, 1975, at 7:30 o'clock,
3 P. M., a time not less than 30 nor more than 60 days after the
4 adoption of this resolution, a public hearing will be held in the
5 Multi-Purpose Room of the Evergreen Elementary School, 919 West
6 Acres Road, West Sacramento, California, on the establishment of
7 said area.

8 Notice of such public hearing shall be published pursuant
9 to Government Code § 6061 in The News Ledger, a
10 newspaper of general circulation published in the County.

11 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
12 County of Yolo, State of California, this 20th day of January,
13 1975, by the following vote:

14 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

15 NOES: None.

16 ABSENT: None.

17
18 Betty A. Marchand
19 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
20 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
21 1-27-75

22
23 ATTEST:

24 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

25
26 BY Balmaina Rodgers
DEPUTY

27 (SEAL)
28
29
30
31
32

EXHIBIT "A"

Beginning at the Northwest corner of Section 35, T. 9 N., R. 3 E., M.D.B. & M., and running thence East along the North line of Sections 35 and 36, to the Northeast corner of Section 36; thence North 1/2 mile along the West line of Section 30, T. 9 N., R. 4 E., to the West 1/4 corner of Section 30; thence East 1/2 mile to the center of Section 30; thence North 1/8 mile more or less to the North line of S.L.S. No. 970, said point being on the centerline of Tule Jake Road; thence Northeasterly along the North line of S.L.S. 970, to the Westerly bank of the Sacramento River; thence Easterly and Southerly down and along said River to the South line of Swamp Land Survey No. 815; thence Northwesterly along the said South line of said survey to its Southwest corner; thence Northeasterly along the West line of said last named Survey to a point where it is intersected by the Quarter Section line running East and West through Section 30, T. 8 N., R. 4 E.; thence West about 3/4 mile to the East 1/4 corner of Section 25, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.; thence North 2-1/2 miles to the Southeast corner of Section 12, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.; thence West 2 miles to the Southwest corner of Section 11; thence North along the section lines, 3 miles more or less to the point of beginning.

FILED

JAN 27 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
PAULA C. CORRAL
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 4

By

(Resolution Approving and Supporting Application for funds under AB 180 to Provide Family Therapy Training to Correctional Personnel)

WHEREAS, the Probation Department of the County of Yolo on or about November 14th, 1974 submitted to the California Youth Authority an application for funds under AB 180 to provide family therapy training to correctional personnel; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors has been fully informed by the Probation Department of said proposed project known as a Family Therapy Training Project; and

WHEREAS, said Project is to be fully funded by the State of California Youth Authority under the terms and provisions of AB 180,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board of Supervisors, being fully informed in this matter, approves and supports the said application for funds for said Project made by the Probation Department of this County.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 20th day of January, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

DETSY A. MARCHAND

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY PAULA C. CORRAL

DEPUTY

(SEAL)

BK

174

FILED

JAN 27 1975

LAURENCE P. MENIGAN, Clerk
By Paul C. Connel
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-5

(RESOLUTION TO ABANDON A PORTION OF SACRAMENTO STREET IN THE TOWN OF CLARKSBURG)

WHEREAS, a petition has been submitted to abandon a portion of Sacramento Street in Clarksburg,

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 74-167, Notice of Intention to Abandon said portion of Sacramento Street was passed and adopted January 9, 1975; and

WHEREAS, such notice was published and posted pursuant to Section 958 of the Streets and Highways Code; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held at 10:00 A.M. on January 27, 1975 to hear evidence offered by any interested party.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board hereby finds, from all the evidence submitted at said public hearing that the hereinafter described portion of Sacramento Street is unnecessary for present or prospective public use, and said portion of said County Road is hereby ordered abandoned.

All of Sacramento Street lying between the East line of North Center Street and the West line of South River Road also known as County Road Number 139 as shown on the map of the town of Clarksburg.

RESERVING therefrom all existing Public Utility easements over and across the herein described parcel.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk shall cause a certified copy be recorded in the Office of the County Recorder pursuant to Section 960 of the Streets and Highways Code.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 27th day of January, 1975 by the following vote:

1 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
2 NOES: None.
3 ABSENT: None.

4
5 *Betty A. Marchand*
6 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

7 ATTEST:

8
9 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

10
11 BY *Barbara A. Rodgers*, DEPUTY
12 (Seal)

13
14 APPROVED AS TO FORM

15 *[Signature]*
16 *[Signature]*
17 *[Signature]*
18 DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

15
FILED

JAN 27 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By PAULA D. CORRAL
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-6

(Parking Restrictions - Fifth Street,
)

WHEREAS, Section 22507 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorizes restriction of parking or standing of vehicles on certain streets or highways, or portions thereof, during all, or certain hours of the day, and;

WHEREAS, Article 1 of Chapter 3 of Title 4, Section 4-3.107 subsection (b) of the Yolo County Code provides for establishment of No Parking areas, and;

WHEREAS, the Director of Public Works has made a recommendation to this Board recommending restricted parking at the location designated herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that No Parking shall be permitted as provided in subsection (p) of said Section 4-3.107 at the following location and subject to the regulations in Section 21458 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California:

On the West side of Fifth Street between the North line of "E" Street and a point 40 feet more or less North of said North line of "E" Street.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 27th day of January, 1975, by the following vote:

Ayes: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

Noes: None.


Absent: None.

x Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

By Carl M. Rodgers Deputy
(Seal)

1 APPROVED AS TO FORM:



County Counsel

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

32
FILED

1 RESOLUTION NO. 75- 7

JAN 27 1975

2 LAURENCE P. MENIGAN, Clerk
3 By BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

4 WHEREAS, the Woodland Memorial Hospital provides the only
5 organized psychiatric hospital and partial hospital program avail-
6 able to anyone in Yolo County requiring such treatment without
7 regard to socio-economic status; and

8 WHEREAS, Yolo County Mental Health Services has provided
9 financial support for approximately 40% of the patients admitted
10 to that Unit, paying only for those days of service actually pro-
11 vided; and

12 WHEREAS, the four security cells at the Yolo General
13 Hospital do not provide an adequate treatment milieu for
14 seriously disturbed psychiatric patients; and

15 WHEREAS, six out of the 19 resident patients currently
16 at Napa State Hospital could have been treated locally had more
17 adequate space existed than the current eight licensed beds at
18 the Woodland Memorial Hospital; and

19 WHEREAS, partial hospitalization or day treatment has
20 been under-utilized because of the limitations of space; and

21 WHEREAS, currently there is often uncertainty as to which
22 hospital Emergency Room is the most appropriate place to care
23 for persons suspected of suffering from a mental disorder, and
24 the proposed new facility would centralize this function at the
25 Woodland Memorial Hospital;

26 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Yolo County Board
27 of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, as follows:

28 1. That the County of Yolo hereby accepts the recom-
29 mendation of the Mental Health Advisory Board attached hereto;

30 2. That the County of Yolo be an affiliate sponsor
31 of the Community Mental Health Center Grant application submitted
32 to the National Institute of Mental Health by the Woodland Memorial

1 Hospital, and the Chairman of this Board is hereby authorized to
2 execute on behalf of this County all necessary documents as af-
3 filiate sponsor.

4 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
5 County of Yolo, State of California, this 27th day of January,
6 1975, by the following vote:

7 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

8 NOES: None.

9 ABSENT: Stephens.

10
11 Betsy A. Marchand
12 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
13 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14

15 ATTEST:

16 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

17 BY Barbara A. Rodgers
18 DEPUTY

19 (SEAL)
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

8k.
FILED

FEB 13 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-8

(Resolution Ordering Canvass of Returns
for the Consolidated Countywide School
District Governing Board Election)

WHEREAS, the Consolidated Countywide School District Govern-
ing Board Election will be held in the County of Yolo, State of
California, on Tuesday, March 4th, 1975; and

WHEREAS, it is in the judgment of this Board of Super-
visors in the best interest of the County of Yolo for the County
Clerk to canvass the returns of said election.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that,
pursuant to Elections Code § 18401, the canvass of the returns of
said election be made by the County Clerk and that said canvass be
made in the Elections Department, 621 Main Street, Woodland, Cali-
fornia.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 3rd day of February,
1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Robert C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Paula C. Corral
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

Bx

FILED

FEB 13 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Raula C. Loral
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-9

(Resolution Providing For and Specifying a Central Counting Place)

WHEREAS, a Consolidated Countywide School District Governing Board Election will be held in the County of Yolo, State of California, on Tuesday, March 4th, 1975; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors is desirous of providing for and specifying a central place for counting all the ballots cast in said election;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That, pursuant to Elections Code Section 17601, all ballots cast at said election shall be counted at a central place and not in precincts.
2. That, pursuant to Elections Code Section 17602, the public place specified as the central counting place is the Elections Department located at 6.1 Main Street, Woodland, California.
3. That, pursuant to Elections Code Section 17603, this Board shall give notice of the selection of a central counting place by at least one publication of a notice in The Daily Democrat, a newspaper of general circulation in the County of Yolo.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 3rd day of February, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Raula C. Loral
DEPUTY
(SEAL)

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

19
FILED

FEB - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENNINGAN, Clerk
By B. G. Marchand
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 74-10

AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 74-129

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 74-129 dated September 16, 1974 established the day of January 1, 1975, as the date on which affected property owners must be ready to receive underground utility service, and March 1, 1975, as the date by which removal of all poles, overhead wires and associated overhead structures, and the underground installation of wires and facilities for supplying electric, communication, or similar or associated service within Underground Utility District No. 5 must be completed; and

WHEREAS, the utility companies are unable to meet the above completion dates.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, that RESOLUTION NO. 74-129 be amended to establish the day of March 1, 1975 as the date on which affected property owners must be ready to receive underground utility service, and May 1, 1975, as the date by which removal of all poles, overhead wires and associated overhead structures, and the underground installation of wires and facilities for supplying electric, communication, or similar or associated service within Underground Utility District No. 5 must be completed.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 3rd day of February, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENNINGAN, CLERK

B. G. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

B. G. Marchand Deputy
(Seal)

1 APPROVED AS TO FORM
2 CHARLES MACK County Counsel
3 By *Richard R. Dot*
4 Deputy
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Book

FILED

FEB 10 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By HARRIET A. RODGERS
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-11

(Resolution Approving the Program Known
as PUTAH CREEK FISHING ACCESS EXPANSION)

WHEREAS, this Board has been fully advised by the Director
of the Parks Department of this County as to the program known as
the PUTAH CREEK FISHING ACCESS EXPANSION; and

WHEREAS, this Board approves of said project,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the proposed contract
No. WC-686 between the State of California (Wild Life Conserva-
tion Board) and this County is approved, and the Chairman of the
Board of Supervisors is authorized and directed to execute said
agreement on behalf of the County of Yolo.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 10th day of February,
1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Pete E. Lucas DEPUTY

(SEAL)

BK.

FILED

FEB 18 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By MARSHALL A. MARCHAND
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-12

(Resolution Urging Consideration for
Obtaining Supplemental Funds under the
Housing and Community Development Act
of 1974)

WHEREAS, the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974
offers new hope to units of local government for increased federal
financial support in solving housing and community development
problems; and

WHEREAS, the allocation process established pursuant to
the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 distinguishes
between "entitlement" and "discretionary" communities with the
latter receiving a disproportionate share of available funds;
and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the nation and its
residents that affirmative steps be taken to correct this inequity.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Yolo that the Secretary of Housing and Urban
Development consider all possibilities for obtaining supplemental
funds to be made available to communities required to compete for
funding as "discretionary balance" communities; and that the
Congress be urged to adopt appropriate legislation to establish a
more equitable funding process and allocation system for communi-
ties now considered "discretionary balance" communities.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be
transmitted to Senators Alan Cranston and John Tunney, and
Congressman Robert Leggett.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 11th day of February,
1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

BETSY A. MARCHAND

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2-18-75

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY BARBARA A. RODGERS
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

85
FILED

FEB 25 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-13

(Resolution Authorizing Application for 2nd Year
Grant for Law Enforcement Purposes to the
Office of Criminal Justice Planning)

WHEREAS, the COUNTY OF YOLO through its Probation Department, desires to undertake a certain project designated Yolo County Youth and Young Adult Diversion Program to be funded in part from funds made available through the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, PL 90-355, as amended, PL 91-644, (hereinafter referred to as the Safe Streets Act) administered by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (hereinafter referred to as OCJP),

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Probation Officer of the COUNTY OF YOLO is authorized, on its behalf, to submit the attached Application for Grant for Law Enforcement Purposes to OCJP and is authorized to execute on behalf of the COUNTY OF YOLO the attached Grant Award Contract for law enforcement purposes including any extensions or amendments thereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the applicant agrees to provide all matching funds required for said project (including any extension or amendment thereof) under the Safe Streets Act and the rules and regulations of OCJP and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and that cash will be appropriated as required thereby.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that grant funds received hereunder shall not be used to supplant on-going law enforcement expenditures.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 24th day of August, 1973, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Stephens.

BETSY A. MARCHAND

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 ATTEST:

2 LAURENCE P. HENNIGAN, CLERK

3 BY BARBARA A. RODGENS

4 DEPUTY

5
6 (SEAL)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

ATON'S
CORPUSABLE
BOND
U.S.A.
BANKERS

NEW MEXICO

Title: YOLO COUNTY YOUTH AND YOUNG ADULT DIVERSION PROGRAM

2. Region:

Type of Application Year: 1st 2nd x 3rd

☐ Original (Date) _____

☐ Revision (Date) _____

Grant Duration: 12 Months

Length of Project: 36 Months

Support Dollars Percent

Federal 22,950 90

State Buy-In 1,275 5

Local Hard Match 1,275 5

Other Match & In-Kind _____

Total Project Cost 25,500 100%

Category: Juvenile Offender

Program: _____

12. Applicant:

Yolo County District Attorney
Yolo County Probation Department
Yolo County Mental Health Department

13. Project Director:

Leroy Ford, County Probation Officer
P.O. Box 239
Woodland, CA. 95695
(916) 666-8256

14. Financial Officer:

Yolo County Auditor/Controller
Yolo County Courthouse
Court Street
Woodland, California 95695

(916) 666-8407

15. Official Authorized to Sign Application:

Leroy Ford, County Probation Officer
P.O. Box 239
Woodland, California 95695
(916) 666-8256

Signature: *Leroy Ford* Date: 1-23-75

Project Summary

There have been no major changes in either the primary project objectives or the methodology utilized in obtaining project objectives in this third year application for funds.

First year project implementation was January 1, 1974; to date, the project appears to be in compliance with the grant contract award.

This program involves first offenders of the youth and young adult age group as accepted from the District Attorney's Office for exposure to changed working and living environments supportive of positive behavior. This diversion starts for the client before the costly litigative process is begun. Some of the objectives of behavior change are the fulltime pursuit of educational goals, full time employment or fulltime combination of both educational goals and employment; avoidance of environments and situations conducive to illegal behavior; and a thorough planning of future goals by the client. By adhering to the conditions negotiated at the beginning of the diversion, the client avoids the litigative process and a criminal record as well.

XEROX
COPY

20. BUDGET SUMMARY FOR GRANT PROJECT

If it is anticipated that funds will be requested for second and/or third year activities, fill in those spaces. If this application is for second year funding, then fill in the "Total First Year" with the approved amounts for that period, and the anticipated amounts for third year funding.

1. Budget should be based on a grant year (12 month or shorter period if the project is less than 12 months) rather than calendar year or fiscal year.
2. Including State Buy-in funds.

Budget Category	TOTAL FIRST YEAR ¹			TOTAL SECOND YEAR ¹			TOTAL THIRD YEAR ¹		
	Total	Grant Funds	Matching ² Funds	Total	Grant Funds	Matching ² Funds	Total	Grant Funds	Matching ² Funds
Personal Services	56,941	33,834	19,232 3,825	25,500	22,950	2,550			
Travel	2,800	2,800							
Consultant Services	2,000	2,000							
Equipment	350	350							
Supplies & Operating Expenses	6,866	6,866							
Total Project Cost	68,957			25,500					
Grant Funds Requested		45,900			22,950				
Grantee Contribution			23,057			2,550			

28. BUDGET NARRATIVE

A. PERSONAL SERVICES

PROJECT DIRECTOR-COORDINATOR. \$15,721 per year, full time position, 100% grant contribution. The Project Director administers the Diversion Program. He (or she) must select, hire, and supervise staff; plan the Project so it conforms to the spirit of the proposal; act as liaison between the Project and any other agency or community group and guide the Project in a direction which does not duplicate existing programs.

PROBATION OFFICER. One full time position, \$10,947 per year, \$9,779 paid from grant funds. The Probation Officers screen clients for entrance to the program and collate information about the clients for the use of the other members of the Diversion Project team. They evaluate histories, skills, educational backgrounds, and attitudes as they pertain to the possible success of clients considered for diversion. Their caseloads consist of all those people accepted into the diversion program and it becomes their responsibility, along with the mental health counselor, to monitor the clients' status during the diversion period. They participate in decisions about continuation or dismissal of clients in the program, negotiate the diversion contract at the beginning, take charge of the client during the diversion period, formulate the means of behavior change, and negotiate the close of the contract. Both Probation Officers answer to the Project Director and accept the program policy he details.

The level of services provided during this contract period will remain substantially at the same level as the previous contract period. Yolo County will fund the following positions:

Probation Officer - one full time	12,619 per year
Clerk Typist - one full time	7,286 per year
Deputy District Attorney - $\frac{1}{2}$ time	4,835 per year

Mental Health services will continue to be provided by the Yolo County Mental Health Department.

Money will be budgeted for necessary travel, consultant services, equipment and supplies and operating expenses.

FILED

MAR - 4 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 14

By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

(Resolution Finding Proceedings for the Establishment of COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 5 (East Yolo) to be valid and in conformity with the requirements of Law)

WHEREAS, an unincorporated area of the County of Yolo is proposed to be established as COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 5 (EAST YOLO) pursuant to Chapter 2.2 (commencing with § 25210.1) of Part 2 of Division 2 of Title 3 of the Government Code; and

WHEREAS, the approval of the proposal by the Yolo County Local Agency Formation Commission has been obtained pursuant to Chapter 6.6 (commencing with § 54773) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code in the form of Resolution No. 74- 6 of that Commission entitled "Resolution Making Determinations that Application for Formation of COUNTY SERVICE AREA #5 is approved" adopted on December 5, 1974; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors pursuant to Government Code § 25210.11 initiated the proceedings for the establishment of the county service area by the adoption on January 20, 1975, of Resolution No. 75- 3, resolution of intention to establish a county service area, which resolution stated that:

A. A county service area is proposed to be established under the terms of the mentioned statute in the unincorporated area of the County of Yolo; the boundaries of the territory proposed for inclusion in the area are set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof;

B. The name proposed for said county service area is COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 5 (EAST YOLO);

C. The type of extended county services proposed to be provided in the area pursuant to said Chapter is as follows: local park, recreation or parkway facilities and services.

D. Except where funds are otherwise available from service charges collected pursuant to § 25210.77(a) of the California

1 Government Code, a tax sufficient to pay for all such services
2 which are furnished on an extended basis will be annually levied
3 upon all taxable property within such area.

4 E. On February 24, 1975, at 7:30 o'clock P. M., a time
5 not less than thirty (30) nor more than sixty (60) days after the
6 adoption of this resolution, a public hearing will be held on the
7 establishment of said area; and

8 WHEREAS, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors published
9 notice of said hearing pursuant to Government Code § 6061 in the
10 News Ledger, a newspaper of general circulation
11 published in the County on the 5th day of February, 1975,
12 and that said publication was complete at least seven (7) days
13 prior to the date of said hearing; and

14 WHEREAS, said notice contains the text of the resolution,
15 stated the time and place for the hearing, and stated that at the
16 hearing the testimony of all interested persons or taxpayers for
17 or the furnishing of specified types of extended services will be
18 heard; and

19 WHEREAS, a public hearing was held at the time and place
20 fixed by said resolution of intention to establish a county
21 service area and set forth in said notice and hearing and was con-
22 cluded on February 24, 1975, and within thirty (30) days; and

23 WHEREAS, no written protests against the establishment of
24 the area, the extent of the area or the furnishing of specified
25 types of extended services within the area were filed with the
26 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on or before the time fixed for
27 the hearing of such protests, and no protests were made orally at
28 the hearing; and

29 WHEREAS, no written protests pertaining to the regularity
30 or sufficiency of the proceedings were filed with the Clerk of the
31 Board of Supervisors on or before the time fixed for the hearing;
32 and

1 WHEREAS, at said public hearing there was presented to this
2 Board of Supervisors a Negative Declaration completed by the Local
3 Agency Formation Commission of the County of Yolo as lead agency
4 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and
5 the Guidelines promulgated thereunder, which was adopted by that
6 Commission and considered by it in LAFC Proceeding No. 690, and
7 this Board reviewed and considered the information contained
8 therein; and

9 WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the hearing, this Board of
10 Supervisors found and determined that with the exception of exist-
11 ing county services and facilities at existing levels each of the
12 servi-es described in the resolution of intention in entirety is an
13 extended county service; and

14 WHEREAS, after making said finding, this Board of Super-
15 visors passed upon all protests; and

16 WHEREAS, it appears that there was neither a written pro-
17 test of 50% or more of the registered voters residing within the
18 territory proposed to be included in COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 5
19 (EAST YOLO) nor a written protest by the owners of one-half or
20 more of the value of the land and improvements in the territory
21 proposed to be included in said area as shown by the last equalized
22 assessment roll, against the establishment of the area of the
23 furnishing of a specified type or types of extended service within
24 the area,

25 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FOUND, ORDERED AND RESOLVED as
26 follows:

27 1. That each of the foregoing recitals is true and
28 correct;

29 2. That all the proceedings heretofore taken in this
30 matter and all of the proceedings hereinabove recited are valid
31 and in conformity with the requirements of law, including Chapter
32 2.2 (commencing with § 25210.1) of Part 2 of Division 2 of Title 3

1 of the Government Code of the State of California.

2 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
3 County of Yolo, State of California, this 24th day of February,
4 1975, by the following vote:

5 AYES: Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

6 NOES: None.

7 ABSENT: Duncan, Stephens

8
9 Betsy A. Marchand
10 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
11 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 ATTEST:

14 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

15 BY Paula C. Corral
16 DEPUTY

17 (SEAL)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

EXHIBIT "A"

Beginning at the Northwest corner of Section 35, T. 9 N., R. 3 E., M.D.B. & M., and running thence East along the North line of Sections 35 and 36, to the Northeast corner of Section 36; thence North 1/2 mile along the West line of Section 30, T. 9 N., R. 4 E., to the West 1/4 corner of Section 30; thence East 1/2 mile to the center of Section 30; thence North 1/8 mile more or less to the North line of S.L.S. No. 970, said point being on the centerline of Tule Jake Road; thence Northeasterly along the North line of S.L.S. 970, to the Westerly bank of the Sacramento River; thence Easterly and Southerly down and along said River to the South line of Swamp Land Survey No. 815; thence Northwesterly along the said South line of said survey to its Southwest corner; thence Northeasterly along the West line of said last named Survey to a point where it is intersected by the Quarter Section line running East and West through Section 30, T. 8 N., R. 4 E.; thence West about 3/4 mile to the East 1/4 corner of Section 25, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.; thence North 2-1/2 miles to the Southeast corner of Section 12, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.; thence West 2 miles to the Southwest corner of Section 11; thence North along the section lines, 3 miles more or less to the point of beginning.

FILED

MAR - 4 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Paula C. Court
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-15

(Resolution Declaring and Establishing COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 5 (EAST YOLO), Declaring, Determining and Establishing the Boundaries Thereof, and Types of Services to be Performed Therein)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors initiated proceedings for the establishment of the County Service Area No. 5 (East Yolo) by passing and adopting Resolution No. 75-3 ___, Resolution of Intention to Establish a County Service Area, in the manner required by law; and

WHEREAS, notice of a hearing thereon was given by the Clerk of this Board in the manner required by law; and

WHEREAS, such a hearing was held and completed on the 24th day of February 1975; and

WHEREAS, all of the proceedings taken in this manner were conducted in the manner required by law; and

WHEREAS, it appears in the judgment of this Board to be in the best interest of the County of Yolo to do so;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED, DECLARED, ESTABLISHED AND FOUND as follows:

1. All of the foregoing recitals are true and correct;

2. The proceedings prior hereto in this matter were all valid and conducted in conformity with the requirements of law;

3. With the exception of existing County services and facilities at existing levels each of the services, to wit: local park, recreation or parkway facilities and services, is in entirety an extended county service which should be provided on an extended basis within the county service area;

4. County Service Area No. (East Yolo) is hereby established.

5. The boundaries of the County Service Area No. 5 (East Yolo) are determined and established to be as set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

1 6. The types of services to be performed therein are to
2 be as follows: local park, recreation or parkway facilities and
3 services.

4 7. This Board certifies that a Negative Declaration has
5 been completed in compliance with the California Environmental
6 Quality Act and the Guidelines promulgated thereunder and that
7 this Board has reviewed and considered the information contained
8 therein.

9 8. The Clerk of this Board is instructed to file a Notice
10 of Determination stating as follows:

11 a. The decision to approve the project,

12 b. The determination that the project will not have
13 a significant effect on the environment, and

14 c. The statement that no EIR has been prepared.

15 9. The Clerk of this Board is ordered to file certified
16 copies of this resolution together with a map or plat indicating
17 the boundaries of the County Service Area with the County Assessor
18 and the State Board of Equalization on or before December 31st,
19 1975.

20 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
21 County of Yolo, State of California, this 24th day of February
22 1975, by the following vote:

23 AYES: Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

24 NOES: None.

25 ABSENT: Duncan, Stephens.

26
27 *Betsy G. Marchand*
28 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

29 ATTEST:
30 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

31 BY *Paula C. Corral*
 DEPUTY

32 (SEAL)

EXHIBIT "A"

Beginning at the Northwest corner of Section 35, T. 9 N., R. 3 E., M.D.B. & M., and running thence East along the North line of Sections 35 and 36, to the Northeast corner of Section 36; thence North 1/2 mile along the West line of Section 30, T. 9 N., R. 4 E., to the West 1/4 corner of Section 30; thence East 1/2 mile to the center of Section 30; thence North 1/8 mile more or less to the North line of S.L.S. No. 970, said point being on the centerline of Tule Jake Road; thence Northeasterly along the North line of S.L.S. 970, to the Westerly bank of the Sacramento River; thence Easterly and Southerly down and along said River to the South line of Swamp Land Survey No. 815; thence Northwesterly along the said South line of said survey to its Southwest corner; thence Northeasterly along the West line of said last named Survey to a point where it is intersected by the Quarter Section line running East and West through Section 30, T. 8 N., R. 4 E.; thence West about 3/4 mile to the East 1/4 corner of Section 25, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.; thence North 2-1/2 miles to the Southeast corner of Section 12, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.; thence West 2 miles to the Southwest corner of Section 11; thence North along the section lines, 3 miles more or less to the point of beginning.

FILED

MAR - 5 1975

LAURENCE P. MORGAN, Clerk

By [Signature]

XEROX
COPY

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 16

(Resolution Recommending Designation of
Health Services Area)

WHEREAS, Public Law 93-641 establishes the requirement for
Health Service Areas; and

WHEREAS, the scope and function of health planning areas
has been greatly increased under PL 93-641, the National Health
Planning and Resource Development Act; and

WHEREAS, the law requires the Governor to recommend the
Health Service Areas to the Secretary of HEW by May 7, 1975; and

WHEREAS, the law was amended to insure local government
input and participation; and

WHEREAS, the eight-county area including Sierra, Nevada,
Placer, El Dorado, Sacramento, Yolo, Yuba, and Sutter meet all of
the criteria of the law including those of Standard Metropolitan
Area, a center of major medical activities, and a population in
excess of 500,000; and

WHEREAS, this eight-county area has functioned very suc-
cessfully in other planning responsibilities including Area 4 of
the Agency on Aging, Developmental Disabilities Board No. 3, State
Planning District No. 3 and many others; and

WHEREAS, it is felt by this Board that this eight-county
area most legitimately fits the intent of this very significant
new federal law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Governor recommend
to the Secretary of the federal Department of Health, Education
and Welfare that the eight-county area of Sierra, Nevada, Placer,
El Dorado, Sacramento, Yolo, Yuba, and Sutter counties be desig-
nated as a Health Service Area.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that should the counties of Sutter
and/or Yuba elect not to join in this eight-county area then the
decision of their respective boards of supervisors be upheld.

1 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
2 County of Yolo, State of California, this 3rd day of March,
3 1975, by the following vote:

4 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

5 NOES: None.

6 ABSENT: Stephens.

7
8 *Betsy A. Marchand*
9 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10
11
12 ATTEST:

13 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

14
15 BY *John E. Lucas*
DEPUTY

16 (SEAL)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

FILED

MAR - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By... *Paula C. Corral*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-17

(Authorizing 4-Way Stop at Westacre Road and Meadow Road)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354, and 21355 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorizes the designation of any intersection as a stop intersection requiring all vehicles to stop at one or more entrances to said intersection.

WHEREAS, stop signs are presently located facing eastbound and westbound traffic on Meadow Road at its intersection with Westacre Road.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the intersection of Westacre Road and Meadow Road is hereby designated a stop intersection and that all northbound and southbound traffic on Westacre Road is hereby required to stop at the entrances to said intersection and that the Director of Public Works is hereby directed to install stop signs on Westacre Road facing northbound and southbound traffic at its intersection with Meadow Road.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 3rd day of March, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Stephens.

XEROX
COPY

Betty G. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY *Barbara Rodgers* DEPUTY
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

CHARLES R. MACK

County Counsel

By *ROBERTA*
Deputy
MAR - 7 1975

FILED 15

MAR - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Paula C. Loral
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-18

Resolution of Acceptance for Subdivision No. 2051
(Hilltop Estates)

WHEREAS, the final Subdivision Map for Subdivision No. 2051 has been presented to the Board for approval and acceptance of additional Road Right of Way; and

WHEREAS, said map has been signed by all necessary parties; and

WHEREAS, the improvements have been assured and the map in all respects complys with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board does approve said map for Subdivision No. 2051 and accept on behalf of the public the easement and right-of-ways, all as provided for and indicated on said final maps.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Board approved Agreement No. 75-74, the Subdivision Improvement Agreement for said Subdivision No. 2051 and authorized the Chairman of the Board to sign said agreement,

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 3rd day of March 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Stephens.

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

XEROX
COPY

Betty A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of California

By Paula C. Loral Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

CHARLES R. MACK

County Counsel

By Charles R. Mack
Deputy
MAR - 7 1975

FILED

MAR - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-19

RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo State of California, that it finds and determines and hereby declares that:

The public interest and necessity require the acquisition, construction, and completion by the County of Yolo, acting through the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, of a public improvement, namely a County Highway or right of way, and all necessary appurtenances thereto.

XEROX
COPY

The public interest and necessity require the acquisition for and in connection with said public improvement of the real property, or interest in real property, hereinafter described;

Said proposed public improvement is planned and located in a manner which will be most compatible with the greatest public good and the least private injury;

Said proposed public improvement is in conformity with the adopted general plan and is of a minor nature as provided in Section 65402 of the California Government Code and said proposed public improvement and the real property required therefore are hereby declared exempt from the provisions of said Section 65402; and be it further;

RESOLVED by this Board of Supervisors that the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, and the County Counsel of the County of Yolo are hereby authorized and empowered as follows:

To acquire in the name of the County of Yolo, a fee simple estate, or such other interest as is appropriate in and to said hereinafter described real property as may not now be owned by said County of Yolo, by condemnation in accordance with the provisions of the Streets and Highways Code; Code of Civil Procedure and of the Constitution of California relating to eminent domain;

1 To prepare and prosecute, in the name of the County of
2 Yolo, State of California, such proceeding or proceedings in the
3 proper court jurisdiction thereof as are necessary for such
4 acquisition;

5 To make application to said court for an order, fixing
6 the amount of such security in the way of money deposits as said
7 court may direct, and for an order permitting said director to
8 take immediate possession and the use of said real property,
9 or interests in real property, as a right-of-way for public use;

10 To make deposit of such security out of proper funds
11 under the control of said Director and the Board of Supervisors
12 of the County of Yolo, in such amount so fixed and determined,
13 and in such manner as said court in which such condemnation
14 proceedings are pending may direct.

15 The real property or interests in real property, which
16 the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, is
17 by this resolution authorized to acquire is situated in the County
18 of Yolo, State of California, and is described as follows:

19 A parcel of land being a portion of Sections 1
20 and 2, Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B. &M.,
21 Yolo County, California, said parcel being more
22 fully described as follows:

23 COMMENCING at the Southeast corner of said Section 1;
24 thence North 89°43'00" West 30.00 feet along the
25 South line of said Section 1; thence North 00°00'29" East
26 30.00 feet along a line parallel with the East line of
27 said Section 1 to THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE
28 Westerly along a line parallel with and 30.00 feet
29 Northerly of the South line of said Sections 1 and 2
30 the following three (3) courses; (1) North 89°43'00"
31 West 2609.35 feet, (2) North 89°49'48" West 2640.67 feet,
32 (3) North 89°50'38" West 500.01 feet; thence
South 00°09'22" East 20.00 feet; thence Easterly along
a line parallel with and 50.00 feet Northerly of the
South line of said Sections 1 and 2 the following three
(3) courses: (1) South 89°50'38" East 500.01 feet,
(2) South 89°49'48" East 2640.69 feet, (3)
South 89°43'00" East 2084.08 feet to the West line of
that certain parcel of land described in the deed from
T.H. Roth to the County of Yolo recorded in Volume 1077
of Official Records at Page 644, Yolo County Records,
thence South 00°17'00" West 17.00 feet along said West
line; thence South 89°43'00" East 525.28 feet to the
West right of way line of County Road No. 98; thence
South 00°00'29" West 3.00 feet along said West line to
the true point of beginning.
Containing 2.435 Acres.

1 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County
2 of Yolo, State of California, on the 3rd day of March
3 1975, by the following vote:

4 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

5 NOES: None.

6 ABSENT: Stephens.

7 Betty A. Marchand
8 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 ATTEST:

10 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

11 BY Barbara A. Rodgers DEPUTY

12
13 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

14 Robert A. Latt
15 Deputy County Counsel

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
MAR - 7 1975

17
FILED

MAR - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By: Paula C. Corral
Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75-20

(Parking Restrictions - Fifth Street and Second Street)

WHEREAS, Section 22507 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorizes restriction of parking or standing of vehicles on certain streets or highways, or portions thereof, during all or certain hours of the day, and;

WHEREAS, Article 1 of Chapter 3 of Title 4, Section 4-3.107 subsection (b) of the Yolo County Code provides for establishment of No Parking areas, and;

WHEREAS, the Director of Public Works has made a recommendation to this Board recommending restricted parking at the location designated herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that No Parking shall be permitted as provided in subsection (b) of said Section 4-3.107 at the following locations and subject to the regulations in Section 21458 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California:

On the West side of Fifth Street between the North line of "F" Street and a point 40 feet more or less North of said North line of "F" Street; and

On the East side of Second Street from the center line of "G" Street to a point 50 feet more or less North of said center line of "G" Street.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 3rd day of March, 1975, by the following vote:

Ayes: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

Noes: None.

Absent: Stephens.

XEROX
COPY

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 ATTEST:

2 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

3 By Barbara A. Rodgers Deputy
4 (Seal)

5

6 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

7 CHARLES R. MACK County Counsel

8 By R. H. H. H. H. H.
9 Deputy
10 MAR - 7 1975

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

FILED

MAR-3 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75-21

By BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

(Resolution Authorizing Destruction of Non-judicial Public Records, et cetera by the Department of Public Works)

WHEREAS, Section 26205.1 of the Government Code of the State of California authorizes destruction of nonjudicial public records, instruments, documents, books and papers; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works has custody of non-judicial records, documents, instruments, books and papers, a list of which is attached hereto marked Exhibit "A" and made a part hereof as though set forth in full; and

WHEREAS, said Department of Public Works, may cause such non-judicial items as listed in said Exhibit "A" to be destroyed pursuant to the provisions of Section 26205.1 and resolution by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors authorizing such destruction of records,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo authorizes the Department of Public Works to destroy such records described herein pursuant to Section 26205.1 of the Government Code of the State of California.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 3rd day of March, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Stephens.

BETSY A. MARCHAND

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY BARBARA A. RODGERS

DEPUTY

(SEAL)

EXHIBIT "A"

Destruction of Files

1. Accident Reports
2. Chronological Letter file (July 1, 1966 to December 31, 1970)
3. Assessment District files (thru December 31, 1970)
4. Work Order files (as per attached index)
5. Plan Sheets (as per attached index)

INDEX
TO
MICROFILMED
WORK ORDER FILES

<u>W. O. Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
0127	West Sacramento Sanitary District
0199	S/E Corner Roads 89 & 6
0201	Surface Water Hydrology Studies
0225	Zamora Town Hall
0226	Del Mar Hotel - Demolish
0227	E. Yolo Administration Building - Demolish
0230	County Jail and Courthouse - Sidewalks
0401	Davis Branch Library
0402	File #1 New Yolo County Jail (1967)
0402	File #2 New Yolo County Jail (1967)
0403	County Hospital Addition
0406	Public Works Facility Re: W.O. #2008
0503	Roads 6 & 89 Union Oil
1059	Sacramento River Bank Protection Contract No. 19
1085	Sacramento River Bank Protection Contract No. 6
1125	Sacramento River Bank Protection Contract No. 6
1129	International Structures - Tree Rig Rent
1313	Sacramento River Bank Protection
1412	John Rogers Building, Winters
1493	Courthouse Parking Lot

<u>W. O. Number</u>		<u>Description</u>
1544		Diogenes House Parking Lot, Davis
1550	File #1	Yolo County Animal Shelter (Dog Pound Site)
1550	File #2	Yolo County Animal Shelter
1601		Jefferson, Harbor Blvd. & Merkley Ave.
1602	File #1	West Capitol Ave. & Evergreen Ave.
1602	File #2	West Capitol Ave. & Evergreen Ave.
2007		County Sign Inventory-Highway Safety Act Funds
2008	File #1	Public Works Corp. Yard & Office Building
2008	File #2	Public Works Corp. Yard & Office Building
4010 & 4011		Grade Crossings Roads 97B & 13
4014		Calvary Baptist Church of Woodland
4036		Singleton, Wallace & Martin Property
4042		W. Capitol Ave. Between Harbor & Washington Underpass (W. Capitol Assess. Co. Share)
4045		Russell Blvd. widening between "A" St. & SH113
4048		U.S. Highway 113 at Russell Blvd.
4049		S.P.R.R. Tracks w/o S.H.113 at Rd. 24A.
4050		S.P.R.R. Tracks at Harbor Blvd. N/O W. Capitol Ave.
4051		S.H. 113 to 310' westerly S.P.R.R. Xing No. A.E. 84.0
4058		Road 94B Bridge. Re: W.O. #4130
4066		Road 85 at Road 11

<u>W. O. Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
4069	Road 1 Bridge #1-3.7
4072	S.H. 16 and County Road 102, Safety Lighting
4074	County Road 105 Crossing No. A-79.2
4077	Road 102 S.H. 113 to Road 14 and Gibson Road
4077	Road 102 Brauner Property
4077	Road 102 Knights Landing Cemetary District
4077	Road 102 Miller Property
4077	Road 102 Parcel #1 Street Property
4077	Road 102 Parcel #2 City of Woodland Property
4077	Road 102 Parcel #3 Farnham Property
4077	Road 102 Parcel #4 Grego Property
4077	Road 102 Parcel #5 Flick Property
4077	Road 102 Parcel #6 E. L. Wallace Property
4077	Road 102 Parcel #7 J. W. Wallace Property
4077	Road 102 Parcel #8 River Gardens Farms Co. Property
4077	Road 102 Parcel #9 Kareofelas Property
4077	Road 102 Parcel #10 Aoki Property
4085	Drake Blvd.
4086	Harbor Blvd. Reclamation #900 Ditch
4087	Road 29 at S.P.R.R. Xing #A.E. 78.9
4088	Road 99 at S.P.R.R. Xing #A.E. 87.3
4089	Road 27 at S.P.R.R. Xing #A.E. 81.0

<u>W. O. Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
4090	Road 25A at S.P.R.R. Xing #A.E. 82.5
4091	Road 17 at S.P.R.R. Xing #A.E. 89.9
4093	File #1 Clarksburg Road Railroad Crossing & Bulk Plant
4093	File #2 Clarksburg Road F.A.S. 1503(1)
4093	File #3 Clarksburg Road F.A.S. 1503(1)
4093	File #1 R/W Clarksburg Road - Wadsworth
4093	File #2 R/W Clarksburg Road - Zoph
4093	File #3 R/W Clarksburg Road - Sherman
4093	File #4 R/W Clarksburg Road - S.N.R.R.
4093	File #5 R/W Clarksburg Road - Tabata
4093	File #6 R/W Clarksburg Road - Netherlands Ranch
4093	File #7 R/W Clarksburg Road - Pylman
4093	File #8 R/W Clarksburg Road - Krull
4093	File #9 R/W Clarksburg Road - Fraser
4093	File #10 R/W Clarksburg Road - Clarksburg High School
4096	Br. 24-17.55 Willow Slough Bridge on County Road 24
4101	Clarksburg Road - realignment
4105	F.A.S. 1196(3) Mary Jane Hamel--Heir or Devisees
4125	West Capitol Ave. 3rd to 5th St.
4129	Davis Road and South River Road
4145	R/W County Road 20 - DeBo Property
4145	R/W County Road 20 - Summ Property
4145	R/W County Road 20 - Combs Property

W. O. NumberDescription

4146	Park Blvd. Omnibus Contract #4
4151	Crossing Gate at Main St., Dunnigan No. A.E. 103.3
4155	R/W County Road 31 - Stonegate
4155	R/W County Road 31 - Carden Property
4155	R/W County Road 31 - Oeste Property
4156	West Capitol & Westacre - Signals
4157	Cottonwood & Main Streets - Signals
4163	Road 102 Intersection at Road 25
4164	West Beamer St. Extension
4166	Road 102 at SH16 S/W Corner
4168	Omnibus Contract No. 6
4179	County Road 99 - Road 20 to 3,000 feet North
4181	County Road 24 - Road 101 to Road 102
4181	R/W County Road 24 - Street & Hinds Property
4181	R/W County Road 24 - Farmelee et. al.
4181	R/W County Road 24 - Nelson Property
4181	County Road 24 - City of Woodland Ditch
4182	County Road 31 - Road 98 to Road 99 Re: W.O. #4188
4182	R/W County Road 31 - Schuler Property
4182	R/W County Road 31 - Stonegate Property
4182	R/W County Road 31 - Oeste Property
4185	County Road 27 - Willow Slough (E)

W. O. NumberDescription

4188	County Road 29 - Re: W.O. #4182
4188	R/W County Road 29 - Corcoran Property
4189	Road 101 Beamer to Kentucky
4191	County Road 96 at Road 17 - Demolish Bridge, Install Culverts
4192	Resurface Bridge Deck #165 on County Road 102
4194	West Beamer and Cottonwood Streets
4195	West Beamer Street Extension
4195	Omnibus No. 7
4203	S.P.R.R. Xing A.F. 87.3 County Road 18C at Sugarfield
4216	Omnibus No. 8
4218	County Road 31 at S.H.113 - Signals
5037	County Road 57 - S.H.16 to Bridge
5071	S. Center St. and Netherlands Road
5086	Dave Imperial St., Woodland
5087	County Road 89 N/O, Dunnigan
5123	County Road 93B at S.P.R.R. Xing No. A.E. 95.7
5126	Fremont - Kagle to Arthur, Storm Drain
5128	Seal Coat Contract #1
5141	Omnibus Contract No. 5

BK

FILED

MAR - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Paula C. Connel
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-22

Resolution of Acceptance for Subdivision No. 2452
(Resubdivision of Lot No. 13 of Subdivision No. 2155)

WHEREAS, the final Subdivision Map for Subdivision No. 2452
has been presented to the Board for approval and acceptance; and

WHEREAS, said map has been signed by all necessary parties;
and

WHEREAS, the improvements have been installed and all
appurtenant easements as shown on Lot 13 of Subdivision No. 2155
have been accepted, and the map in all respects complies with
the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local
ordinances,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board does approve
said map for Subdivision No. 2452 and accept on behalf of the
public the easements and Right-of-Ways, all as provided for
and indicated on said final maps.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 3rd day of
March, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Stephens.

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of California

By Bulmar A. Rodgers Deputy

Approved as to Form:

CHARLES R. MACK
County Counsel

By Robert D. Dot
Deputy

MAR - 7 1975

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 23

(Resolution Declaring Intention to Lease Real Property for Production of Oil, Gas and Other Hydrocarbon Substances)

WHEREAS, the hereinafter described real property is now owned by the County of Yolo, a political subdivision of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, it is in the public interest to lease said real property for the production of oil, gas and other hydrocarbons pursuant to the provisions of California Public Resources Code §§ 7051-7056.5, inclusive;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct;
2. This Board in open meeting and by not less than a two-thirds vote of its members declares its intention to lease the real property hereinafter described for the production of oil, gas and other hydrocarbons to the highest bidder therefor;
3. It is the judgment of this Board that the public use of the hereinafter described real property will not be substantially interfered with by a lease made in accordance with this resolution;
4. The lease covering said real property shall be in writing and shall contain all of the terms and conditions contained in that certain form of Oil and Gas Lease (Short Form) and Oil and Gas Lease describing real property which are on file in the Office of the County Clerk in the Courthouse, Woodland, California (which forms are hereinafter collectively referred to as "said form") and said form is open to inspection by the public and all the terms and provisions thereof are hereby incorporated herein as if set forth at length;
5. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing,

1 said lease shall provide for the following:

2 a) A term of twenty (20) years and so long there-
3 after as provided in said lease;

4 b) A minimum rental payment of TEN (\$10) DOLLARS
5 per acre per year, commencing on the date of said Oil and Gas
6 Lease, and payable annually in advance thereafter as set forth
7 in said form;

8 c) A royalty share of one-sixty (1/6th) as set forth
9 in said form;

10 d) A provision limiting the rights of the lessee in
11 and to said real property to those depths lying below 500 feet
12 below the surface of the leased land and the lessee shall not
13 have the right to enter upon or use any portion of said lands
14 lying above said depth.

15 6. A regular public meeting of the Board of Supervisors
16 of the County of Yolo will be held in its Chambers in the Court-
17 house, Woodland, California, on the 31st day of March,
18 1975, at 10:30 o'clock A. M., at which time sealed proposals to
19 lease the hereinafter described real property will be received
20 and considered.

21 7. The property shall be leased to the highest responsible
22 bidder, provided, however, this Board reserves the right to reject
23 any and all bids and withdraw said real property from lease.

24 8. The cash payment shall be a variable biddable factor
25 on which bids will be received and the minimum cash payment which
26 will be considered for such lease will be the amount of the first
27 year's rental together with the cost of publishing this resolution.

28 9. Each bid shall be accompanied by a certified or
29 cashier's check payable to the order of the County of Yolo for the
30 amount of the bid and shall be accompanied by a written statement
31 on behalf of the bidder agreeing to pay, if the lease is awarded
32 to such bidder, the cost of publishing this resolution; and the

1 checks of the unsuccessful bidders will be returned to them. The
2 Clerk of this Board is directed to publish this resolution once a
3 week for three successive weeks in The Daily Democrat,
4 a newspaper of general circulation published in the County of
5 Yolo.

6 10. The real property referred to above is located in the
7 County of Yolo, California, and is more particularly described as
8 set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto, which Exhibit "A" is
9 hereby incorporated by reference as if set forth at length herein.

10 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
11 County of Yolo, State of California, this 3rd day of March,
12 1975, by the following vote:

13 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

14 NOES: None.

15 ABSENT: Stephens.

16
17 Billy A. Marchand
18 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
19 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
20
21

22 ATTEST:

23 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

24 BY Bailema A. Rodgers
25 DEPUTY

26 (SEAL)
27
28
29
30
31
32

EXHIBIT "A"

All that real property situated in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows lying southerly of the real property described in Deed to the State of California, recorded July 18, 1966, in Book 832 of Official Records, page 70:

Beginning at the Southeasterly corner of Lot C of Elkhorn Subdivision as designated on that certain map of Elkhorn Subdivision filed of record in the office of the County Recorder of Yolo County in Map Book 2 at page 51; thence North $29^{\circ}5'30''$ West a distance of 860.71 feet to the south side of Woodland Avenue as shown on aforementioned Subdivision; thence East along the prolongation of the South side of Woodland Avenue to the low water mark on the Westerly bank of the Sacramento River; thence down and along the Westerly bank of the Sacramento River to a point on the low water mark that intersects the prolongation of the center line of Division Road produced northerly as said Division Road is shown on aforesaid Subdivision; thence South $16^{\circ}00'20''$ West along the center line of said Division Road produced northerly to a point where said center line intersects the northeasterly right of way line of the Sacramento and Woodland Railroad, thence Northwesterly up and along said right of way line to the point of beginning.

Containing 44.96 acres, more or less.

BK. 18
FILED

MAR 13 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

1 RESOLUTION NO. 75-24
2 (Obligates FAS and SM Funds)

3 WHEREAS, on February 18, 1975, the Department forwarded
4 official notice of amounts due Yolo County under the Federal
5 Aid Highway Acts, in accordance with the provisions of Section
6 2208 and 2210.5 of the Streets and Highways Code, as follows:

7 Federal Aid Secondary Funds \$147,760.00

8 State Highway Fund Money for Matching \$ 73,880.00

9 WHEREAS, Section 2211 of the Streets and Highway Code
10 requires the County within 60 days of receiving such notice to
11 notify the Department as to what amount of the apportionment
12 the County wishes to claim and to agree to provide any County
13 matching funds as may be necessary after first considering
14 the sum made available under Streets and Highways Code Section
15 2210.5.

16 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the County
17 of Yolo does hereby notify the Department that the County of
18 Yolo claims the said apportionment and the whole thereof, and
19 that the County of Yolo does hereby agree to provide any
20 County matching funds required as may be necessary after first
21 considering the sum made available under Streets and Highways
22 Code Section 2210.5.

23 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
24 County of Yolo, State of California, this 10th day of March
25 1975, by the following vote:

26 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

27 NOES: None.

28 ABSENT Stephens.

29 Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

30 ATTEST:

31 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

32 Barbara Rodgers Deputy

Approved as to Form
MAR 13 1975
Dated
County Counsel of Yolo County

FILED

MAR 13 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Paula C. Corral
DeputyRESOLUTION NO. 75-25

RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, that it finds and determines and hereby declares that:

The public interest and necessity require the acquisition, construction, and completion by the County of Yolo, acting through the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, of a public improvement, namely a Bicycle Path.

The public interest and necessity require the acquisition for and in connection with said public improvement of the real property, or interests in real property, hereinafter described;

Said proposed public improvement is planned and located in a manner which will be most compatible with the greatest public good and the least private injury;

Said proposed public improvement is in conformity with the adopted general plan; and be it further;

RESOLVED by this Board of Supervisors that the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, and the County Counsel of the County of Yolo are hereby authorized and empowered as follows:

To acquire in the name of the County of Yolo, a fee simple estate, or such other interest in and to said hereinafter described real property as may not now be owned by said County of Yolo, by condemnation in accordance with the provisions of the Streets and Highways Code; Code of Civil Procedure and of the Constitution of California relating to eminent domain;

To prepare and prosecute, in the name of the County of Yolo, State of California, such proceeding or proceedings in the proper court having jurisdiction thereof as are necessary for such acquisition;

To make application to said court for an order, fixing the amount of such security in the way of money deposits as

1 said court may direct, and for an order permitting said director
2 to take immediate possession and the use of said real property,
3 or interests in real property, as a right-of-way for public use;

4 To make deposit of such security out of proper funds under
5 the control of said Director and the Board of Supervisors of
6 the County of Yolo, in such amount so fixed and determined, and
7 in such manner as said court in which such condemnation proceed-
8 ings are pending may direct.

9

10 The real property or interests in real property, which
11 the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, is by
12 this resolution authorized to acquire is situated in the County
13 of Yolo, State of California, and is described as follows:

14 Parcel 1

15 A 5 foot wide strip of land being a portion of Parcel
16 "C", as Parcel "C" appears of record on that certain
17 Record of Survey filed for record in Book 9 of Maps
18 and Surveys, at Page 100, Yolo County Records, said
19 5 foot wide strip of land being more fully described
20 as follows:

21 COMMENCING at the Northwest corner of said Parcel "C",
22 thence South 88°41'43" East 139.50 feet along the
23 North line of said Parcel "C"; thence South 00°04'47" West
24 40.01 feet along a line parallel with the East line
25 of said Parcel "C" to THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING:
26 THENCE South 88°41'43" East 165.00 feet along a
27 line parallel with and 40.00 feet Southerly of the
28 North line of said Parcel "C" to the East line of
29 said Parcel "C"; thence South 00°04'47" West 5.00
30 feet along said East line of Parcel "C"; thence
31 North 88°41'43" West 165.00 feet along a line parallel
32 with and 45.00 feet Southerly of the North line of
Parcel "C"; thence North 00°04'47" East 5.00 feet
to the true point of beginning.

Containing 0.019 acres.

27 Parcel 2

28 A 5 foot wide strip of land being a portion of Parcel
29 "C", as Parcel "C" appears of record on that certain
30 Record of Survey, filed for record in Book 9 of Maps
31 and Surveys at Page 100, Yolo County Records, said
32 5 foot wide strip of land being more fully described
as follows:

COMMENCING at the Northwest corner of said Parcel "C"
thence South 04°27'24" West 40.06 feet along the West

1 line of said Parcel "C" to THE TRUE POINT OF
2 BEGINNING; THENCE South $88^{\circ}41'43''$ East 142.56
3 feet along a line parallel with and 40.00 feet
4 Southerly of the North line of said Parcel "C",
5 thence South $00^{\circ}04'47''$ West 5.00 feet along a
6 line parallel with the East line of said Parcel
7 "C"; thence North $88^{\circ}41'43''$ West 142.94 feet
8 along a line parallel with and 45.00 feet
9 Southerly of the North line of Parcel "C" to
10 the West line of Parcel "C"; thence North $04^{\circ}27'24''$ East
11 5.01 feet along said West line to the True Point
12 of Beginning.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
Containing 0.016 acres.

Parcel 3.

A parcel of land being a portion of the North-
west $1/4$ of the Northwest $1/4$ of Section 18,
Township 8 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B.&M., said
parcel being more fully described as follows:

COMMENCING at the 7"X24" concrete cylinder
marking the corner common to Sections 12 and
13 T.8N., R.1E., and Sections 7 and 18, T.8N., R.2E
M.D.B.&M.; thence South 245.0 feet; thence East
29.8 feet to a point that bears North $60^{\circ}27'00''$ West
40.0 feet from Engineer's Station "C" 70+88.3
of the Department of Public Works "C" centerline
Survey of a portion of State Highway X-YOL-7A;
thence along a curve to the right from a tangent
that bears North $29^{\circ}33'00''$ East, having a radius
of 540 feet through a central angle of $30^{\circ}08'43''$,
an arc length of 284.11 feet to the TRUE POINT
OF BEGINNING; THENCE continuing along said
curve, having a radius of 540 feet, through a
central angle of $02^{\circ}05'17''$, an arc length of
19.68 feet to a point 40.0 feet Northwesterly
radially opposite the said "C" centerline; thence
North $88^{\circ}43'00''$ West 40.62 feet to a tangent
curve; thence left along said curve, with a
radius of 170.0 feet, through a central angle
of $19^{\circ}45'00''$, an arc length of 58.60 feet;
thence leaving said curve, North $88^{\circ}43'00''$ East
81.12 feet to the true point of beginning.

Containing 0.012 acres.

Parcel 4.

A parcel of land being a portion of Parcel "A",
as Parcel "A" is shown on that certain Record
of Survey of Portions of Sections 13, 14, 23 and 24,
Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M., filed
in the Office of the Recorder of the County of
Yolo on January 8, 1962, in Book 9 of Maps and
Surveys at Page 9, said Parcel being more fully
described as follows:

COMMENCING at the Northeast corner of said
Parcel "A"; thence South $00^{\circ}10'49''$ East 25.00
feet along the East line of Parcel "A" to the
Southerly right of way line of County Road
Number 32, THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE

1 North 89°46'00" West 1343.26 feet along said
2 Southerly right of way line to the West line
3 of said Parcel "A"; thence South 00°15'30" East
4 10.00 feet along said West line; thence
5 South 89°46'00" East 1193.24 feet along a
6 line parallel with and 10.00 feet Southerly
of the South right of way line of County Road
Number 32; thence South 76°39'15" East 154.28
feet to the East line of Parcel "A"; thence
North 00°10'49" West 45.00 feet along said
East line to the true point of beginning.

7 Containing. 0.368 acres.

8 Parcel 5.

9 A ten foot wide strip of land being a portion
10 of Parcel "B", as Parcel "B" is shown on that
11 certain Record of Survey of Portions of Sections
12 13, 14, 23 and 24, Township 8 North, Range 1 East,
13 M.D.B.&M., filed in the Office of the Recorder
of the County of Yolo on January 8, 1962, in
Book 9 of Maps and Surveys at Page 9, said strip
of land being more fully described as follows:

14 COMMENCING at the Northeast corner of said
15 Parcel "B"; thence South 00°15'30" East 25.00
16 feet along the East line of Parcel "B" to the
17 Southerly right of way line of County Road Number
32, THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE
18 North 89°46'00" West 848.40 feet along said
19 Southerly right of way line of County Road Number
32 to the West line of Parcel "B"; thence
20 South 48°06'00" West 14.90 feet along said West
21 line; thence South 89°46'00" East 859.54 feet
22 along a line parallel with and 10.00 feet Southerly
23 of the Southerly right of way line of County
24 Road Number 32 to the East line of Said Parcel "A";
25 thence North 00°15'30" West 10.00 feet along
26 said East line to the true point of beginning.

27 Containing 0.196 acres.

28 Parcel 6.

29 A parcel of land being a portion of Sections 13
30 and 14, Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M.,
31 Yolo County, California, also being a portion
32 of that certain real property designated as
"North 20.066 acres" on the Record of Survey
filed May 22, 1961 in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys
at Page 120, Yolo County Records, said parcel
being more fully described as follows:

33 COMMENCING at the Northeast corner of said "North
34 20.066 acres"; thence South 48°06'00" West 37.31
35 feet along the Southeasterly line of said
36 "North 20.066 acres" to the Southerly right of
37 way line of County Road Number 32, THE TRUE POINT
38 OF BEGINNING; THENCE Westerly along said right
39 of way line the following two courses: 1)
40 North 89°50'00" West 448.77 feet, 2) North 89°45'00" West,
41 21.08 feet to the West line of said "North 20.066
42

acres"; thence South 00°01'16" East 25.00 feet along said West line; thence along a line parallel with and 25.00 feet Southerly of the Southerly right of way line of County Road Number 32 the following two courses: 1) South 89°45'00" East 20.98 feet, 2) South 89°50'00" East, 246.79 feet; thence South 00°10'00" West 10.00 feet; thence South 89°50'00" East 161.22 feet along a line parallel with and 35.00 feet Southerly of the Southerly right of way line of County Road Number 32 to the Southeasterly line of said "North 20.066 acres"; thence North 48°06'00" East 52.24 feet along said Southeasterly line to the true point of beginning.

Containing 0.300 acres.

Parcel 7.

A fifteen foot wide strip of land being a portion of Lots 33, 34, 35 and 36 of the M. Diggs Subdivision of Section 12 and the East one-half of Section 11, Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M filed in the Office of the Recorder of the County of Yolo on February 15, 1906, in book 3 of Maps at Page 48, said strip of land being more fully described as follows:

COMMENCING at the Southwest corner of said Subdivision, being the South quarter corner of Section 11; thence North 00°06'00" East 25.00 feet along the West line of said Subdivision to the Northerly right of way line of County Road Number 32, THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE South 89°44'00" East 2673.66 feet along the Northerly right of way line of County Road Number 32 to the Westerly right of way line of County Road Number 97; thence North 15.00 feet along said Westerly right of way line; thence North 89°44'00" West 2673.63 feet along a line parallel with and 15.00 feet Northerly of the Northerly right of way line of County Road Number 32 to the West line of said Subdivision; thence South 00°06'00" West 15.00 feet along said West line to the true point of beginning.

Containing 0.921 acres.

Parcel 8.

A parcel of land being a portion of Parcel 3, as said Parcel 3 is delineated and so designated on that certain map of the F.E. Russell Lands, on file in the Office of the Recorder of the County of Yolo, in Book 4 of Maps and Surveys at Page 77, said portion of Parcel 3 being more fully described as follows:

BEGINNING at the Northeast corner of fractional Section 15, Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M.; thence South 84°18'00" West 445.31 feet; thence South 88°00'00" West 174.00 feet; thence South 83°30'00" West 538.96 feet; thence North 89°52'00" West 1237.74 feet; thence South 00°29'30" East 25.00 feet to the Southerly right of way line of County Road No. 32; THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE Westerly along

1 said Southerly right of way line of County Road No. 32
2 the following two courses: 1) North 89°52'00" West
3 1748.55 feet, 2) North 46°24'00" West 384.97 feet;
4 thence South 43°36'00" West 30.00 feet; thence
5 South 46°24'00" East 375.11 feet; thence
6 South 89°52'00" East 1768.42 feet; thence
7 North 00°29'30" West 15.00 feet to the true point
8 of beginning.

9 Containing 0.865 acres.

10 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
11 County of Yolo, State of California, on the 10th day of March,
12 1975, by the following vote:

13 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

14 NOES: None.

15 ABSENT: Stephens.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

16 ATTEST:

17 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

18 BY *Carlson Rodriguez* DEPUTY

19 APPROVED AS TO FORM

20 *[Signature]*
21 MAR 13 1975
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

20
FILED

MAR 17 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

1 RESOLUTION NO. 75-26

2 WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County, by
3 Agreement No. 74-15 dated January 21, 1974 entered into an agree-
4 ment with the Subdivider of Subdivision No. 2106 Linden Acres
5 Unit No. 3 and

6 WHEREAS, said agreement included a guarantee that the
7 Subdivider would complete certain public improvements in accord-
8 ance with Yolo County Standards; and

9 WHEREAS, said improvements have been completed and are
10 acceptable to the County for operation and maintenance.

11 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that pursuant to Article 8
12 of said agreement, the County of Yolo does hereby accept the
13 improvements constructed in connection with said Subdivision
14 No. 2106.

15 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a minimum of 15% of the Improve-
16 ment Security be retained by the County of Yolo until March 10,
17 1976 in accordance with Articles 9 and 14 of said agreement.

18 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
19 County of Yolo, State of California, on this 10th day of
20 March, 1975 by the following vote:

21 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

22 NOES: None.

23 ABSENT: Stephens.

24 Bobby A. Marchand
25 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MAR 17 1975

26 ATTEST:
27 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

28 By Paula C. Corral Deputy
(Seal)

29 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

30 [Signature]
31 County Counsel
32

XEROX
COPY

BK

25

FILED

MAR 17 1975

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 27

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By: PAULA C. CORRAL
Deputy

(Resolution Proclaiming and Calling
Maximum Tax Rate Election for County
Service Area No. 5 (East Yolo)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has established County Service Area No. 5 (East Yolo) by Resolution No. 75-15; and

WHEREAS, the boundaries of said County Service Area No. 5 are as set forth in said Resolution as Exhibit "A" therein; and

WHEREAS, the Revenue and Taxation Code § 2286 provides that a maximum property tax rate in such a district, to be valid, must be approved by a majority vote of the qualified voters therein; and

WHEREAS, Revenue and Taxation Code § 2263.2 provides that the formation of such a district as this County Service Area is not effective for property tax purposes until and unless a maximum property tax rate has been established by the voters of the area; and

WHEREAS, this Board desires to set such a maximum tax rate in said County Service Area by such an election,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. This Board does hereby proclaim and call said election to be held in County Service Area No. 5 (East Yolo) on the 27th day of May, 1975.

2. The measure to be submitted to the voters of said area is as follows:

"Shall a maximum tax rate of \$0.20 (20¢) per \$100 assessed valuation, for County Service Area No. 5 (East Yolo), be approved?"

3. This Board finds and determines that there are more than 250 qualified voters eligible to vote in this election. For this reason, the County Clerk is hereby directed not to use the mailed ballot procedure for this election.

1 4. The County Clerk is directed to:

2 A. Not less than 15 days nor more than 30 days before
3 said date of this election, compile the index of voters eligible
4 to vote in the election as of the 30th day preceding said election,
5 establish the election board, precinct boards, and precincts, as
6 needed, and mail out the sample ballots.

7 B. As a notice of this election to the voters of said
8 area, either post a copy of this Resolution and proclamation in an
9 appropriate place, or cause a copy to be published in the County
10 pursuant to Government Code § 6061, no fewer than 44 days before
11 said date of this election.

12 C. Following the holding of this election, to prepare
13 and render to the district a statement of his costs and charges
14 for said services rendered by him in the matter of this election.

15 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
16 County of Yolo, State of California, this 10th day of March,
17 1975, by the following vote:

18 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

19 NOES: None.

20 ABSENT: Stephens.

21

22

BETSY A. MARCHAND

23

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

24

MAR 17 1975

25 ATTEST:

26 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

27 PAULA C. CORRAL

28 BY BARBARA A. RODGERS

DEPUTY

29 (SEAL)

30

31

32

EXHIBIT "A"

Beginning at the Northwest corner of Section 35, T. 9 N., R. 3 E., M.D.B. & M., and running thence East along the North line of Sections 35 and 36, to the Northeast corner of Section 36; thence North $1/2$ mile along the West line of Section 30, T. 9 N., R. 4 E., to the West $1/4$ corner of Section 30; thence East $1/2$ mile to the center of Section 30; thence North $1/8$ mile more or less to the North line of S.L.S. No. 970, said point being on the centerline of Tule Jake Road; thence Northeasterly along the North line of S.L.S. 970, to the Westerly bank of the Sacramento River; thence Easterly and Southerly down and along said River to the South line of Swamp Land Survey No. 815; thence Northwesterly along the said South line of said survey to its Southwest corner; thence Northeasterly along the West line of said last named Survey to a point where it is intersected by the Quarter Section line running East and West through Section 30, T. 8 N., R. 4 E.; thence West about $3/4$ mile to the East $1/4$ corner of Section 25, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.; thence North $2-1/2$ miles to the Southeast corner of Section 12, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.; thence West 2 miles to the Southwest corner of Section 11; thence North along the section lines, 3 miles more or less to the point of beginning.

BK.

FILED

APR - 3 1975

LAURENCE R. MENIGAN, Clerk

By *Paul M. Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-28

(Resolution Supporting Resolution of
League of California Cities and County
Supervisors Association of California
RE: General Principle in Program Adminis-
tration of Grants and Aid and Subventions)

WHEREAS, the League of California Cities and the California
Supervisors Association of California representing the 412 cities
and 58 counties in California are vitally concerned with the pro-
vision of public services at the least possible cost in the most
effective manner; and

WHEREAS, the aforesaid organizations have adopted a resolu-
tion stating certain principles which will, if adhered to by higher
levels of government, promote these twin goals of economy and
efficiency through the elimination of unnecessary "red tape" which
renders present administrative regulations and practices unneces-
sarily involved and cumbersome;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Yolo supports and encourages adoption by the ap-
propriate levels of government the following six items which were
adopted by the aforesaid joint resolution of the League of Cali-
fornia Cities and the County Supervisors Association of California,
said principles to be followed in developing programs involving
cooperation between local government entities and higher levels of
government:

1. The principle that decisions regarding purely
local projects and programs be made at the local
level toward the end of reducing "red tape"
through the elimination of unnecessary involve-
ment of the state or federal government;
2. The approach of making block grants for trans-
portation purposes directly to urban, urbanized,
and rural areas for allocation to local projects
and to projects of regional significance in
accordance with the regional mechanism developed
through a cooperative process by the affected
local general purpose governments within each
area and without unnecessary involvement of the
state or federal government.

3. The federal and state governments should develop broadbrush procedural guidelines and general post audit compliance procedures under which federal and state funds could be utilized, with fewer administrative regulations.
4. There should be federal certification and acceptance of state, city, and/or county standards and requirements for purposes of meeting all facets of federal requirements, including environmental reviews, minimum wage requirements and equal employment opportunity requirements where such state and local laws and procedures are equal to or greater than federal requirements.
5. Cities and counties must be allowed to establish their priorities and programs to meet their individual and unique local transportation needs.
6. Local government should work with the new nationwide "Commission on Federal Paperwork" established pursuant to H.R. 16424 in pursuit of more streamlined procedures for operation of all federal programs.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to forward copies of this resolution to the County Supervisors' Association, Senators Alan Cranston and John Tunney, California Congressional Delegation, and Jack Merelman.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 17th day of March, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Stephens.

Robert A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

4-3-75

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY *Basil H. Rodger*
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

Book

FILED

15

MAR 17 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-29
(Approving Agreement with State - Signals on Kegle Extension)

WHEREAS, the State of California has presented an agree-
ment to Yolo County for the purpose of cost sharing traffic
signal improvements;

AND WHEREAS said signal improvements at the intersections
of West Capitol Avenue, Rockrose Street and State Highway 16
are a necessary and integral part of the Kegle Drive Extension
(SH 84) and involve County Roads;

AND WHEREAS Federal Aid Urban funds are available for
these improvements;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo is
hereby authorized to execute the aforesaid agreement on behalf
of said County of Yolo:

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 17 day of March, 1975
by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Stephens.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Paula C. Corral DEPUTY
(Seal)

Book

FILED

MAR 17 1975

1 RESOLUTION NO. 75- 30

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

2 (Resolution of Determination of Prevailing
3 Wage Rates Pursuant to Labor Code § 1773,
4 et seq.)

By PAULA C. CORRAL

Deputy

5 WHEREAS, this Board finds it necessary, appropriate and
6 economical to adopt the policy set forth herein as to publication
7 of prevailing wage rate schedules on public works and projects;
8 and

9 WHEREAS, this Board has been advised that the adoption of
10 this policy will have the effect of reducing publication costs
11 and expenses in such public projects; and

12 WHEREAS, the Director of Public Works has compiled and sub-
13 mitted such a schedule for the year 1975 and the same is attached
14 hereto designated Exhibit "A" and incorporated hereto as though
15 set forth herein in full;

16 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that

17 1. The schedule and list of wage rates contained in
18 Exhibit "A" is found to be accurate and prepared in accordance
19 with law, and it is therefore adopted for the year 1975.

20 2. The Director of Public Works is directed to ascertain
21 prevailing wage rates at least one time during each calendar year,
22 beginning this year of 1975, and he shall henceforth submit to
23 this Board such a list or schedule for workmen on County projects
24 pursuant to the provisions of § 1773, et seq., of the Labor Code.

25 3. The Director of Public Works is further directed to
26 file said schedule at least one time each year at the Office of
27 the County Clerk, and in his office, and make same available to all
28 interested persons.

29 4. The County Clerk is directed to publish the attached
30 schedule for the year 1975 in the Daily Democrat,
31 a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the
32 County of Yolo.

6. In the event there is a requirement in a project for a trade, skill or craft not set forth in said schedule, the County shall ascertain the wage rate pursuant to law and shall specify same in the call for bids, the contract, and the bid specifications as heretofore and in accordance with the law.

ABSENT: Stephens.

-2-

EXHIBIT "A"

COUNTY OF YOLO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

GENERAL PREVAILING WAGE RATES

All wage rates published herein include employer payments as defined in Section 1773.1 of the California Labor Code.

CLASSIFICATION	HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME
<u>Operating Engineer Classifications</u>	
Asphalt plant engineer	12.73
Backhoe operator (hydraulic) (up to and including 1 C.Y., manufacturer's recommended capacity)	13.21
Boxman (asphalt plant)	11.91
Cast-in-place pipelaying machine operator	12.73
Chief of Party	13.11
Combination backhoe and loader operator (up to and including $\frac{1}{2}$ C.Y.)	13.11
Combination backhoe and loader operator over $\frac{1}{2}$ C.Y.	13.21
Compressor operator	11.22
Concrete mixer operator (up to and including 1 yard)	11.22
Concrete pump or Pumpcrete gun operator	12.10
Concrete saw operator, (self-propelled unit) on streets, highways, airports and canals	12.23
Dozer operator	12.73
Drilling and boring machiner operator, vertical and horizontal	12.23
Fireman, hot plant	11.22
Fork lift or lumber stacker operator (construction jobsite)	11.91
Grade-all operator (up to and including 1 C.Y.)	13.21
Gradesetter, gradechecker (mechanical or otherwise)	12.23
Heavy duty repairman and/or welder	12.73
Hydra-Hammer operator or similar	12.73
Instrument man	12.37
Journeyman trainee	12.37
Loader operator (up to 2 yards)	12.73
Loader operator (2 yards up to and including 4 yards)	13.11
Lubrication and service engineer (mobile and grease rack)	11.91
Mechanical berm, curb and/or curb and gutter machine	12.23
Mechanical finisher or spreader machine operator (asphalt, Barber-Greene and similar)	12.37

CLASSIFICATION	HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME
Pavement breaker with or without compressor combination	12.37
Post driver operator (M1500 and similar)	12.23
Power blade operator (single engine)	13.21
Pump operator	11.22
Push cat operator	12.73
Rodman or chainman	11.41
Roller operator	12.23
Roller operator (finish asphalt)	12.37
Rubber tired scraper operator, self-loading (paddle-wheels, etc.)	13.11
Screedman (except asphaltic concrete paving)	11.41
Screedman (Barber-Greene and similar) (asphaltic concrete paving)	12.23
Self-propelled compactor operator (single engine)	12.23
Self-propelled compactor operator with dozen	12.73
Self-propelled power sweeper operator	12.23
Sheepfoot operator	12.73
Small rubber-tired tractor operator	12.23
Surface heater operator	12.23
Tractor operator	12.73
Track laying type earthmoving machine operator (single engine with tandem scrapers)	13.11
Trenching machine operator	12.73
Welder	12.73

Labor Classifications

Asphalt ironer and raker	9.985
Asphalt shoveler	9.835
Asphalt spreaderbox man	9.985
Barko, Wacker and similar type tamper operator	9.985
Cement dumper handling dry cement or gypsum	9.835
Compactor operator (all types)	9.985
Concrete laborer (wet or dry)	9.835
Concrete pan worker	9.985
Concrete saw and sander operator	9.985
Construction laborer, including bridge & general laborer	9.735
Dumpman and loadspotter	9.735
Fence erector	9.735
Flagman	9.735
Guinea chaser (stakeman)	9.835
Guardrail erector	9.735
Headerboard man, hubsetter, aligner	9.985

CLASSIFICATIONS

HOURLY WAGE RATE
STRAIGHT TIME

Kettleman, potman, and man applying asphalt, Laykold, creosote, lime, caustic and similar type materials (applying, dipping or handling of such materials)	9.985
Lagger, sheeter, waler, bracer, trench jacker and user of hand-guided lagging hammer	9.985
Operator of all pneumatic, air, gas and electric tools not listed elsewhere	9.835
Pavement marker (button setter)	9.735
Pipelayer, caulker, bander, pipewrapper, conduit layer and plastic pipe layer	9.985
Post hole digger (air, gas and electric tools)	9.985
Power broom sweeper operator	9.985
Power tamper operator of all types not listed elsewhere	9.985
Ram Set gun and stud gun operator	9.985
Riprap stonepaver and rockslinger, including placing of sacked concrete and/or sand (wet or dry) and gabions and similar type	9.985
Sandblaster, potman, gunman and nozzleman	9.985

Teamster Classifications

Combination bootman and road oiler	11.08
Combination dump truck and dump trailer operator	11.32
Driver of dump truck (6 yards and under 9 yards, water level)	10.935
Driver of dump truck (8 yards and including 12 yards, water level)	11.175
Driver of dump truck (over 12 yards and including 18 yards, water level)	11.215
Driver of transit mix or agitator truck (under 6 yards)	10.79
Driver of transit mix or agitator truck (6 yards and under 8 yards)	10.89
Driver of transit mix or agitator truck (8 yards through 10 yards)	10.99
Escort or pilot car driver	10.64
Fuel and/or grease truck driver and fuelman	10.805
Heavy duty transport driver (gooseneck low bed)	11.185
Pickup truck driver	10.64
Road Oil truck driver or bootman	10.76
Single unit flatrack driver (2 axle unit)	10.735
Single unit flatrack driver (3 axle unit)	10.835
Small rubber tired tractor driver	10.835
Water truck driver (under 2500 gallons)	10.75
Water truck driver (2500 and under 4000 gallons)	10.85

CLASSIFICATIONS

HOURLY WAGE RATE
STRAIGHT TIMECrafts ClassificationsElectrician Classes

Cable splicer	12.338
Cable splicer helper, technician-wireman	11.334

Glazier - Glassworker	10.644
Lather	10.245

Painter ClassesPainter Classes

Painter, decorator, paperhanger	10.61
taper	11.11
Spray painter - power cleaner—steam cleaner	10.86

Roofer Classes

Enameler - pitch journeyman	11.30
Felt machine operator and helper, speed machine operator	10.80
Journeyman roofer	10.55

Drywall installer	10.56
Bricklayer - stonemason - blocklayer, terazzo layer	10.40

Carpet, linoleum layer	10.55
------------------------	-------

Fence worker, wood	8.25
--------------------	------

Journeyman fence worker, wood	8.50
-------------------------------	------

Plasterer, machine applicator and nozzleman	9.755
---	-------

Plasterer	9.71
-----------	------

Plumber	13.14
---------	-------

Sheetmetal Worker	10.218
-------------------	--------

Tilessetter	8.975
-------------	-------

Tilessetter's helper	6.64
----------------------	------

Asbestos Worker mechanic	11.73
--------------------------	-------

Heat and frost insulation mechanic	11.73
------------------------------------	-------

Cement Mason	12.01
--------------	-------

Cement Mason on swing/slipform scaffolds	12.26
--	-------

Mastic, magnesite, epoxy, polyester, resin, gypsum and all comp. mason	12.26
--	-------

Reinforcing ironworker, ornamental and structural ironworker	12.355
--	--------

Fence erector, metal	11.465
----------------------	--------

Carpenter	12.26
-----------	-------

Millwright	12.76
------------	-------

Patent scaffold erector	12.41
-------------------------	-------

CLASSIFICATIONS

HOURLY WAGE RATE
STRAIGHT TIME

Power saw operator, sawfiler	12.41
Singler, floorlayer (hardwood)	12.41

Traffic Delineating Device Classes

Trainee	6.19
Journeyman Striper	9.29
Helper Striper	8.19
Traffic surface protective coating applicator	8.19
Helper, protective coating applicator, sand- blaster, wheel stop installer	7.69
Serviceman	6.62
Journeyman wheelstop installer, sandblaster, delineating device installer	8.19

Any classification not listed herein shall be paid in accordance with the local collective bargaining agreements.

BK.

XEROX
COPY

FILED

MAR 17 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By John E. Dwyer
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-31

RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, that it finds and determines and hereby declares that:

The public interest and necessity require the acquisition, construction, and completion by the County of Yolo, acting through the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, of a public improvement, namely a Sanitary Sewer.

The public interest and necessity require the acquisition for and in connection with said public improvement of the real property, or interest in real property, hereinafter described;

Said proposed public improvement is planned and located in a manner which will be most compatible with the greatest public good and the least private injury;

Said proposed public improvement is in conformity with the adopted general plan and is of a minor nature as provided in Section 65402 of the California Government Code and said proposed public improvement and the real property required therefore are hereby declared exempt from the provisions of said Section 65402; and be it further;

RESOLVED by this Board of Supervisors that the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, and the County Counsel of the County of Yolo are hereby authorized and empowered as follows:

To acquire in the name of the County of Yolo, a fee simple estate, or such other interest in and to said hereinafter described real property as may not now be owned by said County of Yolo, by condemnation in accordance with the provisions of the Streets and Highways Code; Code of Civil Procedure and of the Constitution of California relating to eminent domain;

To prepare and prosecute, in the name of the County of Yolo, State of California, such proceeding or proceedings in the proper

1 court having jurisdiction thereof as are necessary for such
2 acquisition;

3 To make application to said court for an order, fixing
4 the amount of such security in the way of money deposits as
5 said court may direct, and for an order permitting said director
6 to take immediate possession and the use of said real property
7 or interests in real property, as a right-of-way for public use;

8 To make deposit of such security out of proper funds
9 under the control of said Director and the Board of Supervisors
10 of the County of Yolo, in such amount so fixed and determined,
11 and in such manner as said court in which such condemnation
12 proceedings are pending may direct.

13 The real property or interests in real property, which
14 the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, is by
15 this resolution authorized to acquire is situated in the County
16 of Yolo, State of California, and is described as follows:

17 Parcel 1.

18 A strip of land 15 feet in width, and being a portion
19 of Parcel "C" as said Parcel appears on that certain
20 Record of Survey filed for record in Maps and Surveys
21 Book 8 at Page 59, Yolo County Records, said strip
22 lying contiguous to and Westerly of the following
23 described line;

24 Beginning at a point on the North line of said
25 Parcel "C" as said Parcel appears on that certain
26 Record of Survey, filed in Maps and Surveys Book 8
27 at Page 59, Yolo County Records, said point being
28 the East one-quarter (1/4) corner of Section 7,
29 Township 8 North, Range 3 East M.D.B. & M.; Thence
30 Southerly along the Easterly line of said Section
31 7, South 00°16'00" West, 1699.67 feet to a point;
32 said point being the Northeasterly corner of Parcel
B of the herein above mentioned Record of Survey
and further being the Southerly terminus of the
herein above described Parcel 1..

Containing .585 Acres of Land

29 Parcel 2.

30 A strip of land 15 feet in width and being a portion
31 of the herein before mentioned Record of Survey
32 filed in Maps and Surveys Book 8 at Page 59, Yolo
County Records, said strip lying contiguous to and
Northerly of the following described line;

1 Commencing at the Northeasterly corner of Parcel "B"
2 as said Parcel appears on said Record of Survey; Thence
3 from said point of Commencement along the Northerly
4 line of said Parcel "B" North 89°38'00" West 15.03 feet
5 to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence from said TRUE
6 POINT OF BEGINNING North 89°38'00" West, 538.24 feet
7 to a point; said point being the Westerly terminus
8 of the herein above described Parcel 2.

9 Containing .185 Acres of Land

10 Parcel 3.

11 A strip of land 35 feet in width, and being a portion
12 of Parcel "C", as said Parcel appears on that certain
13 Record of Survey filed for record in Maps and Surveys
14 Book 8, at Page 59, Yolo County Records;

15 Beginning at a point on the North line of said Parcel
16 "C", said point being the East one-quarter (1/4) corner
17 of Section 7, Township 8 North, Range 3 East M.D.B. & M.;
18 Thence from said point of beginning Southerly along the
19 East line of said Section 7, South 00°16'00" West,
20 1699.67 feet to the Northeasterly corner of Parcel "B",
21 as said Parcel appears on the herein above mentioned
22 Record of Survey; Thence continuing along said Easterly
23 line, South 00°16'00" West, 35.00 feet; Thence leaving
24 said Easterly line, South 89°38'00" East, 35.00 feet;
25 Thence parallel with and Easterly of said East line,
26 North 00°16'00" East, 1734.31 feet to the Northerly
27 line of the herein above mentioned Parcel "C"; Thence
28 Westerly along said Northerly line, North 89°03'10" West,
29 35.00 feet to the Point of Beginning.

30 Containing 1.394 Acres of Land

31 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of
32 Yolo, State of California, on the 17th day of March, 1975,
33 by the following vote:

34 AYES Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

35 NOES: None.

36 ABSENT: Duncan, Stephens.

37 Baker A. Marchand
38 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
39 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

40 ATTEST:

41 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

42 BY Robert E. Lucas DEPUTY

43 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

44 [Signature]
45 Deputy County Counsel

BK

FILED

MAR 24 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Bartland Rogers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-32
(Grade X'ing Protection - C.R. 1 @ SPRR)

WHEREAS the State of California, Department of Transportation has presented an agreement covering the Federal Aid Safer Roads Demonstration Program;

AND WHEREAS said Department of Transportation has presented a program supplement No. 1, to said agreement;

AND WHEREAS Yolo County Board of Supervisor's Minute Order #74-1498 dated December 9, 1974 has heretofore been adopted approving said Safer Roads Demonstration Program;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute said "Local Agency State Agreement No. 1", and said "Program Supplement No. 1" on behalf of the County of Yolo:

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 24th day of March, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Bartland Rogers DEPUTY

(SEAL)

BK

XEROX
COPY

FILED

MAR 18 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Basil MacRae*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-33

(Resolution Amending Resolution No. 75-31)

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo passed and adopted Resolution No. 75-31 on March 17, 1975 authorizing commencement of eminent domain proceedings; and

WHEREAS, it is determined that said Resolution No. 75-31 must be amended;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, that it finds and determines and hereby declares that:

The public interest and necessity require the acquisition, construction, and completion by the County of Yolo, acting through the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, of a public improvement, namely a Sanitary Sewer pipe line.

The public interest and necessity require the acquisition for and in connection with said public improvement of the real property, or interest in real property, hereinafter described;

Said proposed public improvement is planned and located in a manner which will be most compatible with the greatest public good and the least private injury;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by this Board of Supervisors that the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, and the County Counsel of the County of Yolo are hereby authorized and empowered as follows:

To acquire in the name of the County of Yolo, a fee simple estate, or such other interest in and to said hereinafter described real property as may not now be owned by said County of Yolo, by condemnation in accordance with the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure and of the Constitution of California relating to eminent domain;

To prepare and prosecute, in the name of the County of Yolo,

1 State of California, such proceeding or proceedings in the proper
2 court having jurisdiction thereof as are necessary for such
3 acquisition;

4 To make application to said court for an order, fixing the
5 amount of such security in the way of money deposits as said
6 court may direct, and for an order permitting said Director to take
7 immediate possession and the use of said real property or interests
8 in real property, for said public use;

9 To make deposit of such security out of proper funds under
10 the control of said Director and the Board of Supervisors of the
11 County of Yolo, in such amount so fixed and determined, and in
12 such manner as said court in which such condemnation proceedings
13 are pending may direct.

14 The real property or interests in real property, which the
15 Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, is by this
16 resolution authorized to acquire is situated in the County of Yolo,
17 State of California, and is described as follows:

18 Parcel 1

19 A strip of land 15 feet in width, and being a portion
20 of Parcel "C" as said Parcel appears on that certain
21 Record of Survey filed for record in Maps and Surveys
22 Book 8 at Page 59, Yolo County Records, said strip
23 lying contiguous to and Westerly of the following
24 described line:

25 Beginning at a point on the North line of said Parcel
26 "C" as said Parcel appears on that certain Record of
27 Survey, filed in Maps and Surveys Book 8 at Page 59,
28 Yolo County Records, said point being the East one-
29 quarter (1/4) corner of Section 7, Township 8 North,
30 Range 3 East M.D.B. & M.; thence Southerly along the
31 Easterly line of said Section 7, South 00°16'00" West,
32 1699.67 feet to a point; said point being the North-
easterly corner of Parcel B of the hereinabove men-
tioned Record of Survey and further being the Southerly
terminus of the hereinabove described Parcel 1.

Containing .585 acres of land.

33 Parcel 2

34 A strip of land 15 feet in width and being a portion
35 of the hereinbefore mentioned Record of Survey filed
36 in Maps and Surveys Book 8 at Page 59, Yolo County
Records, said strip lying contiguous to and Northerly

1 of the following described line:

2 Commencing at the Northeasterly corner of Parcel "B"
3 as said Parcel appears on said Record of Survey; thence
4 from said point of commencement along the Northerly
5 line of said Parcel "B" North 89°38'00" West 15.03 feet
6 to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence from said TRUE
7 POINT OF BEGINNING North 89°38'00" West, 538.24 feet
8 to a point; said point being the Westerly terminus of
9 the hereinabove described Parcel 2.

10 Containing 0.185 acres of land.

11 FOR ALL NECESSARY CONSTRUCTION WORK FOR SAID PUBLIC
12 PURPOSE UPON THE FOLLOWING PARCEL:

13 Parcel 3

14 A strip of land 35 feet in width, and being a portion
15 of Parcel "C", as said Parcel appears on that certain
16 Record of Survey filed for record in Maps and Surveys
17 Book 8, at Page 59, Yolo County Records:

18 Beginning at a point on the North line of said Parcel
19 "C", said point being the East one-quarter (1/4) corner
20 of Section 7, Township 8 North, Range 3 East M.D.B. & M.;
21 Thence from said point of beginning Southerly along the
22 East line of said Section 7, South 00°16'00" West,
23 1699.67 feet to the Northeasterly corner of Parcel "B",
24 as said Parcel appears on the hereinabove mentioned
25 Record of Survey; thence continuing along said Easterly
26 line, South 00°16'00" West, 35.00 feet; thence leaving
27 said Easterly line, South 89°38'00" East, 35.00 feet;
28 thence parallel with and Easterly of said East line,
29 North 00°16'00" East, 1734.31 feet to the Northerly
30 line of the hereinabove mentioned Parcel "C"; thence
31 Westerly along said Northerly line North 89°03'10"
32 West, 35.00 feet to the Point of Beginning.


Containing 1.394 acres of land.

22 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
23 County of Yolo, State of California, this 24th day of March, 1975,
24 by the following vote:


25 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

26 NOES: None.

27 ABSENT: None.

28 
29 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
30 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

31 ATTEST:
32 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY 
DEPUTY
(SEAL)

BK.

● FILED

MAR 24 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By: BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 34

(Resolution Ordering Consummation of Purchase of
Real Property and Authorizing Acceptance of Deed)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors heretofore on February 18th, 1975, by Minute Order No. 75- 214 adopted an agreement known as Yolo County Agreement No. 75- 63, to purchase that certain real property from STANLEY M. DAVIS & ASSOCIATES, a limited partnership, set the time for this Board to meet to consummate the purchase and directed the Clerk of this Board to give notice of intention in accordance with the provisions of Government Code § 25350; and

WHEREAS, the Clerk of this Board has given such notice of intention in the manner prescribed by law; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors met at the time set forth in said Notice of Intention to consummate the purchase;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct.

2. The Board of Supervisors hereby orders consummation of said purchase of real property.

3. The Chairman of this Board be and she hereby is authorized pursuant to Government Code § 27281 to accept a Partnership Grant Deed tendered to the County in satisfaction of the above mentioned agreement and to consent to the recordation thereof pursuant to the terms of said agreement.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 24th day of March, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

BETSY A. MARCHAND
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 ATTEST:

2 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

3 BY **BARBARA A. RODGERS**

4 DEPUTY

5 (SEAL)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

FILED

APR - 3 1975

LAURENCE P. HENKMAN, Clerk

By *Patricia E. Lucas*
Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 35

(Resolution Requesting Establishment of
Third Superior Court)

BK.
XEROX
COPY

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors by a Minute Order dated December 16th, 1974, has previously expressed its intent to forward a resolution supporting the legislation to add a third department to the Yolo County Superior Court upon the receipt of such a resolution by the Grand Jury and upon receipt of a report recommending such action by the Judicial Council; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Grand Jury of 1974 by a resolution dated March 20th, 1975, as well as the Yolo County Grand Juries of 1971 and 1973, has approved and supported legislation creating a Third Superior Court position; and

WHEREAS, by a memorandum dated March 5th, 1975, the Administrative Office of the Courts has recommended to the Chairman of the Judicial Council that one additional judgeship be created for the Yolo County Superior Court; and

WHEREAS, both present Superior Court Judges have expressed the need for a Third Superior Court Judge; and

WHEREAS, in the judgment of this Board of Supervisors, it is in the best interest of the County of Yolo to do so;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. Each of the foregoing recitals is found to be true and correct.

2. This Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo hereby endorses and urges the enactment of AB 215, a Bill introduced to increase the number of judgeships to three in the Yolo County Superior Court.

3. This Board also declares its intention to pay all expenses for such judgeship over and above the annual \$60,000 appropriation provided therefor by the Legislature or above such greater amount as may be appropriated therefor by the Legislature.

4. The Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to forward copies of this resolution to Assemblyman Z'Berg, Senator Dunlap, the Administrative Office of the Courts, the Yolo County Grand Jury, and the Judges of the Yolo County Superior Court.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 31st day of March, 1975,
by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: Edmonds.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
April 3, 1975

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY

DEPUTY

(SEAL)

-2-

To:

PILED

APR - 7 1975

RESOLUTION NO. 75-36

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Paula C. Carral
Deputy

WHEREAS, Title III of the Older American Act of 1965, Section 303, allows the Board of Supervisors to establish an Information and Referral Service for Older Americans,

WHEREAS, Older Americans in Yolo County are in need of Information and Referral Services to assist them in locating and securing such service as they need, and

WHEREAS, there is presently no single agency in Yolo County authorized to receive and disburse funds for such services;

WHEREAS, the YOLO COUNTY COMMISSION ON AGING has agreed and is willing to oversee an Information and Referral Program, and,

WHEREAS, the YOLO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WELFARE has agreed and is willing to act as fiscal agent for receiving and disbursing of funds for an Information and Referral Program, and,

WHEREAS, the plan appears to comply with applicable laws and regulations;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Yolo County Commission on Aging and the Yolo County Department of Public Welfare will act in concert to administer an Information and Referral Program, as outlined in the application for project grants under Title III of the Older American Act.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 7th day of April, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None

ABSENT: None.

Betsy C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Barbara Rodgers DEPUTY
(SIAL)

BOOK

XEROX
COPY

FILED

APR 10 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Paula C. Corral
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-37

RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, that it finds and determines and hereby declares that:

The public interest and necessity require the acquisition, construction, and completion by the County of Yolo, acting through the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, of a public improvement, namely a County Highway.

The public interest and necessity require the acquisition for and in connection with said public improvement of the real property, or interest in real property, hereinafter described;

Said proposed public improvement is planned and located in a manner which will be most compatible with the greatest public good and the least private injury;

Said proposed public improvement is in conformity with the adopted general plan and is of a minor nature as provided in Section 65402 of the California Government Code and said proposed public improvement and the real property required therefore are hereby declared exempt from the provisions of said Section 65402; and be it further;

RESOLVED by this Board of Supervisors that the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, and the County Counsel of the County of Yolo are hereby authorized and empowered as follows:

To acquire in the name of the County of Yolo, a fee simple estate, or such other interest in and to said hereinafter described real property as may not now be owned by said County of Yolo, by condemnation in accordance with the provisions of the Streets and Highways Code; Code of Civil Procedure and of the Constitution of California relating to eminent domain;

To prepare and prosecute, in the name of the County of Yolo, State of California, such proceeding or proceedings in

1 the proper court jurisdiction thereof as are necessary for
2 such acquisition;

3 To make application to said court for an order, fixing
4 the amount of such security in the way of money deposits as
5 said court may direct, and for an order permitting said director
6 to take immediate possession and the use of said real property,
7 or interests in real property, as a right-of-way for public
8 use;

9 To make deposit of such security out of proper funds
10 under the control of said Director and the Board of Supervisors
11 of the County of Yolo, in such amount so fixed and determined,
12 and in such manner as said court in which such condemnation
13 proceedings are pending may direct.

14 The real property or interests in real property, which
15 the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, is
16 by this Resolution authorized to acquire is situated in the
17 County of Yolo, State of California, and is described as follows:

18 A 25 foot wide strip of land being a portion of Lot
19 37 of the M. Diggs Subdivision, the Map of said
20 Subdivision being filed in Book 1 of Maps at Page 57,
on January 3, 1906, Yolo County Records, said 25
foot wide strip of land being more fully described as
follows:

21 COMMENCING at the Southwest corner of said Lot 37;
22 thence South 89°50'00" East 276.75 feet along the
South line of said Lot 37; thence North 25.00 feet
23 to the North right of way line of County Road Number
32, THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE
24 South 89°50'00" East 200.00 feet along said North
right of way line; thence North 25.00 feet; thence
25 North 89°50'00" West 200.00 feet along a line parallel
with and 25.00 feet North of the North right of way
26 line of County Road Number 32; thence
South 25.00 feet to the true point of beginning.

27 Containing 0.115 acres.

28
29 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
30 County of Yolo, State of California, on the 7th day of
31 April, 1975, by the following vote:

32 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Barbara A. Rodgers
DEPUTY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[Signature]
DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

APR - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Bart and Rodgers
DeputyRESOLUTION NO. 75-38

Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2398
Southern Pacific Transportation Co.

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2398 has
been approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission, and

WHEREAS, the final Parcel Map No. 2398 has been prepared
and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, said map has been signed by all necessary
parties; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of industrial
parcels in an area designated for industrial use on the Yolo County
General Plan and is, therefore, found consistent with said plan;
and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the
provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local
Ordinances,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board does
approve said Parcel Map No. 2398 and accept on behalf of the
public the easement and right-of-ways, all as provided for and
indicated on said Parcel Map.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 7th day of April
1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

B. A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Bart and Rodgers DEPUTY
(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

XEROX
COPY

FILED

APR 14 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75-39 By *Barbara Rodgers*
Deputy

(Resolution re: Emergency Medical Services)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. The Sierra-Sacramento Valley Emergency Medical Services draft plan dated February 14, 1975 is approved in concept.

2. The application of the County of Sacramento on behalf of the County of Yolo and four other counties for Regional Emergency Medical Services System Implementation during the twelve-month period commencing July 1, 1975 is approved on behalf of the County of Yolo.

3. The County of Yolo dedicates its emergency medical services resources to the regional effort and encourages others within the County to do likewise.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 7th day of April, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

4-14-75

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY *Barbara Rodgers*
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

Book

XEROX
COPY

FILED 13

APR - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-40

(Resolution Endorsing Legislation to Clarify
Water Service Powers of Sanitary Districts)

WHEREAS, it has come to the attention of this Board of Supervisors that the clarification of the Sanitary District Act of 1923 to set forth specifically the powers of a district formed under that Act to provide water service and to clarify various incidents thereto is desirable; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the people of the County of Yolo to do so;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. This Board hereby endorses and requests Assemblyman Z'Berg to carry a bill to clarify the water service powers of a sanitary district established under the Sanitary District Act of 1923.

2. This Board of Supervisors in particular commends to the attention of Assemblyman Z'Berg the specific draft Bill heretofore provided to his office by the County Counsel and also a revision thereof proposed by Peggy McGilligott, Esq.

3. The Clerk of this Board is requested to forward copies of this resolution to Assemblyman Z'Berg, the West Sacramento Sanitary District, the East Yolo Ad Hoc Water Committee, and the West Sacramento District Chamber of Commerce.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 7th day of April, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BY Barbara Rodgers
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

FILED

MAY 12 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 41

(Resolution Accepting Trustee's Deed and
Authorizing the Recording Thereof)

WHEREAS, Yolo County is a beneficiary under that certain deed of trust to secure an indebtedness of \$459,000.00, dated December 1, 1972, and recorded September 27, 1973, in Book 1522 at Page 432, Official Records of the Placer County Recorder, James T. Ralph as Trustor, H. B. Alvord, Treasurer of Sacramento County as Trustee, and the Counties of Amador, Contra Costa, El Dorado, Inyo, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, Sierra, Solano, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yolo and Yuba as beneficiaries; and

WHEREAS, James T. Ralph under said deed of trust failed to make the regular scheduled installment of principal and interest due on September 1, 1974; and

WHEREAS, the beneficiaries under said deed of trust, acting by and through the Weimar Hospital Central Committee, declared all sums due under said deed of trust and authorized and directed the trustee to sell said property at a public sale and apply the proceeds thereof to the indebtedness secured by said deed of trust in the manner provided by law; and

WHEREAS, on March 28, 1975, at 11:00 A.M. at the Main Entrance of the Placer County Courthouse in the City of Auburn, County of Placer, State of California, Merle McKowen, substitute trustee under said deed of trust, after giving of notice in the time and manner provided by law, sold said property at a public sale to the aforesaid Counties and executed the attached Trustee's Deed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board hereby accepts the attached deed and authorizes the Placer County Counsel to record said deed in the official Records of Placer County.

1 PASSED AND ADOPTED this 7th day of April, 1975, by
2 the Board of Supervisors, County of Yolo, State of California,
3 by the following vote:

4 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
5 NOES: None.
6 ABSENT: None.

7
8 BETSY A. MARCHAND
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
5-12-75

9 ATTEST:
10 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

11 BY BARBARA A. RODGERS
12 DEPUTY

13 (SEAL)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

EXHIBIT "A"

PARCEL ONE:

A portion of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 28, Township 14 North, Range 9 East, M.D.B.&M., described as follows:

BEGINNING at the most Northerly Northeast corner of the parcel described hereby, a point in the approximate centerline of County Road 119B which is distant South $75^{\circ} 33' 03''$ West 675.09 feet from a point which is distant 114.90 feet Easterly measured radially from Engineer's Station "B3" 151/28.00 P.O.C. of the baseline survey of Highway Forty Freeway, Road 111 Pla 37 B (the tie of record from the last mentioned Point to a 2 inch bronze disk marking the quarter section corner of the South line of Section 28, Township 14 North, Range 9 East, M.D.B.&M., is South $30^{\circ} 23' 39''$ West 4389.65 feet); thence from said Point of Beginning South $7^{\circ} 14'$ East along the approximate centerline of the said County Road for a distance of 259.48 feet; thence North $83^{\circ} 31' 30''$ East 36.5 feet, more or less, to the Westerly line of the Central Pacific Railroad right of way; thence Southerly along the Westerly line of said railroad right of way on the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 1346.01 feet for a distance of 521 feet, more or less, to the Westerly line of the California State Highway, as it was constructed in 1929; thence Southerly along the Westerly line of said highway on the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 1040 feet for a distance of 252.6 feet, more or less, to a point 40 feet left, measured at right angles, from a centerline survey of said highway at Engineer's Station 163/89.5; thence South $0^{\circ} 40'$ West along the West line of said highway for a distance of 9 feet, more or less, to the South line of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of said Section 28; thence Westerly along the South line of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of said Section 28 for a distance of 306 feet, more or less, to the Southwest corner of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of said Section 28; thence Northerly along the West line of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of said Section 28 for a distance of 1010 feet, more or less, to a point which bears North $86^{\circ} 13'$ West from the Point of Beginning; thence South $86^{\circ} 13'$ East for a distance of 93 feet, more or less, to the Point of Beginning.

SUBJECT TO THAT PORTION of the County Road included herein.

PARCEL TWO:

The East half of the Southeast quarter of the Northwest quarter, excepting the most Northerly 4 acres thereof; the Southwest quarter of the Northeast quarter, excepting the most Northerly 8 acres thereof; the Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter; the Southwest quarter, all in Section 28, Township 14 North, Range 9 East, M.D.B.&M.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM the Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter, hereinabove described, that portion thereof described in deed to United States of America, recorded in Book 370, page 3, Official Records.

PARCEL THREE:

The Northwest quarter of Section 33, Township 14 North, Range 9 East, M.D.B.&M.

PARCEL FOUR:

The North four acres of the East half of the Southeast quarter of the Northwest quarter; the North 8 acres of the Southwest quarter of the Northeast quarter, all in Section 28, Township 14 North, Range 9 East, M.D.B.&M.

PARCEL FIVE:

The Northwest quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 28, Township 14 North, Range 9 East, M.D.B.&M.

SUBJECT TO the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way.

EXCEPTING FROM the hereinabove described parcels, the land described in deed to the State of California, recorded September 18, 1959 in Book 810, page 375, et seq.

Recorded at the Request of:
PLACER COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER
175 FULWEILER AVENUE
AUBURN, CALIFORNIA 95603

When Recorded return to:
PLACER COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER
175 FULWEILER AVENUE
AUBURN, CALIFORNIA 95603

TRUSTEE'S DEED

Merle McKowen, Assistant Treasurer of Sacramento County and Substituted Trustee under the deed of trust hereinafter described, hereby grants, without warranty, to the Counties of Amador, Contra Costa, El Dorado, Inyo, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, Sierra, Solano, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yolo and Yuba all of the real property situated in Placer County, California, described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon grantor by that certain deed of trust between James T. Ralph as trustor, H. B. Alvord as trustee, and the Counties of Amador, Contra Costa, El Dorado, Inyo, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, Sierra, Solano, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yolo and Yuba as beneficiaries, dated December 1, 1972, and recorded September 27, 1973, in book 1522, page 432, Official Records of Placer County, California; and by that certain Resolution No. 75-153 of the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors adopted on February 24, 1975, substituting Merle McKowen in the place and stead of H. B. Alvord, as trustee under said deed of trust, recorded February 26, 1975, in book 1624, page 16, Official Records of the mentioned county; and after the fulfillment of the conditions specified in the mentioned deed of trust authorizing this conveyance as follows:

- (a) Default was made in the obligations for which the transfer in trust was made as security, and notice of default was recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the county in which the property described in the mentioned deed of trust is situated, the nature of the default being the failure to pay the regular installment of principal and interest in the amount of \$16,055.82 due on September 1, 1974. Such default still existed at the time of sale.
- (b) Not less than three months elapsed between the recordation of the notice of default and the posting and first publication of the notice of sale of the property.
- (c) The beneficiaries made due and proper demand upon the trustee to sell the property pursuant to the terms of the deed of trust.

-2-

(d) The trustee gave notice of the time and place of the sale of the property in accordance with the laws of California and the terms of the deed of trust.

(e) All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices for which requests have been recorded have been complied with.

(f) The property was sold by grantor at public auction on March 25, 1975, in the City of Auburn, Placer County, California, in which the property is situated, in full accordance with the laws of the State of California, and the terms of the deed of trust. Grantees, being the highest bidders at the sale, became the purchasers of the property and paid to the trustee the amount bid, being \$458,772.62, in lawful money of the United States.

Dated: _____, 1975.

Merle McKowen, Trustee

BK

FILED

APR 14 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGEN, Clerk
PAULA C. CORRAL

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 42

By..... Deputy

(First Amended Resolution Authorizing and Submission of Application for a Grant under Section 301(b) of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (PL-90-351), as Amended by PL-91-644)

WHEREAS, the California Council on Criminal Justice may consent to review for possible funding the project submitted by the County of Yolo on behalf of the Yolo County Mental Health Services referred to as YOLO COUNTY DETOXIFICATION PROGRAM; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors desires to amend Resolution No. 74-109 heretofore adopted in this matter;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors is directed to execute and submit to the California Council on Criminal Justice on behalf of the County of Yolo, the application for grant for law enforcement purposes.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that in the event the California Council on Criminal Justice consents to fund the above project, the County of Yolo agrees to accept the grant and designates the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to execute on behalf of the County of Yolo the contract for the grant for law enforcement purposes.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the applicant agrees to provide the required matching funds to said project and that cash will be appropriated as required thereby.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Board of Supervisors in all other respects ratifies and confirms said Resolution No. 74-109.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 14th day of April, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

BETSY A. MARCHAND
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 ATTEST:

2 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

3 BY PAULA C. CORRAL
4 DEPUTY

5 (SEAL)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

BK.

XEROX
COPY

FILED

APR 14 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-43

AMENDING AGREEMENT NO. 72-231

WHEREAS, Agreement No. 72-231 dated September 11, 1972, established the day of September 11, 1974 as the date for completion of construction of all public improvements in and about Subdivision No. 2155; and

WHEREAS, Subdivider is unable to meet the above completion date requirement;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, that Agreement No. 72-231 be amended to establish the day of December 1, 1976 as the date for completion of construction of all public improvements in and about Subdivision No. 2155.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 14th day of April, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Pete E. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Pete E. Lucas DEPUTY

(SEAL)

BK.

XEROX
COPY

FILED

APR 14 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *[Signature]* Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-44

1
2 RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo,
3 State of California, that it finds and determines and hereby
4 declares that:

5 The public interest and necessity require the acquisition,
6 construction, and completion by the County of Yolo, acting through
7 the Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, of a
8 public improvement, namely a Bicycle Path.

9 The public interest and necessity require the acquisition for
10 and in connection with said public improvement of the real property,
11 or interests in real property, hereinafter described;

12 Said proposed public improvement is planned and located in a
13 manner which will be most compatible with the greatest public good
14 and the least private injury;

15 Said proposed public improvement is in conformity with the
16 adopted general plan; and be it further:

17 RESOLVED by this Board of Supervisors that the Yolo County
18 Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, and the County Counsel
19 of the County of Yolo are hereby authorized and empowered as
20 follows:

21 To acquire in the name of the County of Yolo, a fee simple
22 estate, or such other interest in and to said hereinafter described
23 real property as may not now be owned by said County of Yolo, by
24 condemnation in accordance with the provisions of the Streets and
25 Highways Code; Code of Civil Procedure and of the Constitution of
26 California relating to eminent domain;

27 To prepare and prosecute, in the name of the County of Yolo,
28 State of California, such proceeding or proceedings in the proper
29 court having jurisdiction thereof as are necessary for such
30 acquisition;

31 To make application to said court for an order, fixing the
32 amount of such security in the way of money deposits as said court

1 may direct, and for an order permitting said director to take
2 immediate possession and the use of said real property, or
3 interests in real property, as a right-of-way for public use;

4 To make deposit of such security out of proper funds under
5 the control of said Director and the Board of Supervisors of
6 the County of Yolo, in such amount so fixed and determined, and
7 in such manner as said court in which such condemnation proceed-
8 ings are pending may direct.

9 The real property or interests in real property, which the
10 Yolo County Public Works Director, Road Commissioner, is by this
11 resolution authorized to acquire is situated in the County of
12 Yolo, State of California, and is described as follows:

13 Parcel 1

14 A fifteen foot wide strip of land being a portion of Lots 33,
15 34, 35, and 36 of the M. Diggs Subdivision of Section 12 and
16 the East one-half of Section 11, Township 8 North, Range 1
17 East, M.D.B.&M., filed in the Office of the Recorder of the
County of Yolo on February 15, 1906, in Book 3 of Maps at
Page 48, said strip of land being more fully described as
follows:

18 COMMENCING at the Southwest corner of said Subdivision being
19 the South quarter corner of Section 11; thence
20 North 00°06'00" East 25.00 feet along the West line of said
21 Subdivision to the Northerly right of way line of County
22 Road Number 32, THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE
23 South 89°44'00" East, 2673.66 feet along the Northerly right
24 of way line of County Road Number 32 to the Westerly right
25 of way line of County Road Number 97; thence North 15.00
26 feet along said Westerly right of way line; thence
27 North 89°44'00" West 2673.63 feet along a line parallel with
28 and 15.00 feet Northerly of the Northerly right of way line
29 of County Road Number 32 to the West line of said
30 Subdivision; thence South 00°06'00" West 15.00 feet along
31 said West line to the true point of beginning.

32 Containing 0.921 acres.

33 Parcel 2

34 A parcel of land being a portion of Section 14, Township 8
35 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M., Yolo County, California,
36 also being a portion of that certain real property designated
37 as 282.45 acres gross on the Record of Survey filed
38 April 26, 1962, in Book 9 of Maps and Surveys at Page 14,
39 Yolo County Records, said parcel being more fully described
40 as follows:

41 COMMENCING at the Northeast corner of said 282.45 acre parcel;
42 thence South 04°02'50" West 25.06 feet along the East line of
said 282.45 acre parcel to the Southerly right of way line of

County Road Number 32; thence North 89°53'45" West 2204.11 feet along said Southerly right of way line to a tangent curve; thence along said curve, concave to the North, with a radius of 1935.00 feet, through a central angle of 01°02'10", an arc length of 34.99 feet, with a chord bearing North 89°22'40" West, 34.99 feet to THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE continuing along said curve with a radius of 1935.00 feet through a central angle of 06°26'20", an arc length of 217.45 feet, with a chord bearing North 85°38'35" West 217.34 feet; thence North 82°25'15" West 125.37 feet to the North line of said 282.45 acre parcel; thence North 89°36'00" West 525.10 feet along said North line to the Southerly right of way line of County Road Number 32; thence along said Southerly right of way line the following two (2) courses: 1) South 84°52'15" West, 258.11 feet, 2) South 84°15'45" West, 2.07 feet to the West line of said 282.45 parcel; thence South 00°42'27" East 15.06 feet along said West line; thence North 84°15'45" East 3.31 feet; thence North 84°52'15" East, 242.54 feet; thence North 88°41'47" East 342.43 feet; thence South 76°16'30" East 114.07 feet; thence North 85°20'35" East, 84.93 feet; thence South 86°06'01" East 343.12 feet; thence North 00°06'15" East, 15.32 feet to the true point of beginning.

Containing 0.681 acres.

Parcel 3

All that portion of the below described property lying South of the center line of the County Road on the South as shown on the Record of Survey of Oeste Property in Section 11, Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M., Yolo County, California, filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on December 8, 1960, in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys, at Page 104:

A portion of Parcel A as shown on the Record of Survey of Oeste Property in Section 11, Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M., Yolo County, California, filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on December 8, 1960, in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys, at Page 104; more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Southeast corner of said Parcel A; thence North 00°19'00" West a distance of 234.61 feet to the Northeast corner of Parcel A; thence South 89°43'15" West, along the North line of said Parcel A, a distance of 330.58 feet; thence leaving the North line of said Parcel A, South 00°19'00" East a distance of 329.42 feet to the South line of said Lot A; thence South 89°22'45" East, along the South line of said Lot A, a distance of 330.63 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 4

All that portion of the below described property lying South of the center line of the County Road on the South as shown on the Record of Survey of Oeste Property in Section 11, Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M., Yolo County, California, filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on December 8, 1960, in Book 8 of

1 Maps and Surveys, at Page 104:

2 A portion of Parcel A as shown on the Record of Survey of
3 Oeste Property in Section 11, Township 8 North, Range 1 East,
4 M.D.B.&M., Yolo County, California, filed for record in the
5 Office of the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on
6 December 8, 1960, in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys, at Page 104;
7 more particularly described as follows:

8 Beginning at the Southwest corner of said Parcel A; thence
9 North 00°19'00" West a distance of 324.22 feet to the
10 Northwest corner of Parcel A; thence North 89°43'15" East,
11 along the North line of said Parcel A, a distance of 330.58
12 feet; thence leaving the North line of said Parcel A,
13 South 00°19'00" East a distance of 329.42 feet to the South
14 line of said Lot A; thence North 89°22'45" West, along the
15 South line of said Lot A, a distance of 330.63 feet to the
16 point of beginning.

17 Parcel 5

18 All that portion of the below described property lying South
19 of the center line of County Road on the South as shown
20 on the Record of Survey of Oeste Property in Section 11,
21 Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M., Yolo County,
22 California, filed for record in the Office of the Recorder
23 of Yolo County, California, on December 8, 1960, in Book 8
24 of Maps and Surveys, at Page 104:

25 Parcel G as shown on the Record of Survey of Oeste Property
26 in Section 11, Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M.,
27 Yolo County, California, filed for record in the Office of
28 the Recorder of Yolo County, California, on December 8, 1960,
29 in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys, at Page 104.

30 Parcel 6

31 A parcel of land being a portion of Parcel 3, as said Parcel 3
32 is delineated and so designated on that certain map of the
33 F. E. Russell Lands, on file in the Office of the Recorder of
34 the County of Yolo, in Book 4 of Maps and Surveys at Page 77,
35 said portion of Parcel 3 being more fully described as follows:

36 BEGINNING at the Northeast corner of fractional Section 15,
37 Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M.; thence
38 South 84°18'00" West 445.31 feet; thence South 88°00'00" West,
39 174.00 feet; thence South 83°30'00" West 538.96 feet; thence
40 North 89°52'00" West 1237.74 feet; thence South 00°29'30" East,
41 25.00 feet to the Southerly right of way line of County Road
42 Number 32; THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE
43 South 87°04'50" West 150.21 feet; thence North 89°52'00" West,
44 1508.55 feet along a line parallel with and 8.00 feet
45 Southerly of the Southerly right of way line of County Road
46 Number 32; thence North 00°08'00" East 8.00 feet to the
47 Southerly right of way line of County Road Number 32; thence
48 along said Southerly right of way line the following two (2)
49 courses; 1) North 89°52'00" West 90.00 feet,
50 2) North 46°24'00" West 384.97 feet; thence
51 South 43°36'00" West 35.00 feet; thence South 46°24'00" East,
52 377.12 feet; thence South 89°52'00" East 1778.54 feet; thence
53 North 00°29'30" West 20.00 feet to the true point of beginning.

54 Containing 0.825 acres.

1 Parcel 7

2 A portion of Parcel 3 of the F. E. Russell Estate Lands as
3 said parcel is delineated and so designated on that certain
4 map of the F. E. Russell Estate Lands filed in Book 4 of Maps
and Surveys, at Page 77, Yolo County Records, said portion
being more fully described as follows:

5 COMMENCING at the Northeast corner of said Parcel 3, said
6 corner also being the Northeast corner of Fractional
7 Section 15, Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M.;
8 thence South 00°29'30" East 25.10 feet along the East line
9 of said Parcel 3 to the Southerly right of way line of
10 County Road Number 32, THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE
11 along said Southerly right of way line the following two
12 courses: 1) South 84°29'00" West 1156.90 feet,
13 2) North 89°52'00" West 1238.70 feet; thence
14 South 00°29'30" East 15.00 feet; thence South 89°52'00" East,
15 1169.77 feet along a line parallel with and 15.00 feet
16 Southerly of the Southerly right of way line of County Road
17 Number 32; thence South 00°08'00" West 10.00 feet; thence
18 along a line parallel with and 25.00 feet Southerly of the
19 Southerly right of way line of County Road Number 32, the
20 following two (2) courses: 1) South 89°52'00" East 70.00 feet,
21 2) North 84°29'00" West 541.43 feet; thence South 88°15'48" East,
22 110.89 feet; thence North 71°15'06" East 104.85 feet; thence
23 North 86°45'59" East 251.03 feet; thence North 80°43'52" East,
24 152.81 feet to the East line of said Parcel 3; thence
25 North 00°29'30" West 15.06 feet along said East line to
26 the true point of beginning.

27 Containing 1.082 acres.

28 FOR ALL NECESSARY CONSTRUCTION WORK FOR SAID PUBLIC PURPOSES UPON
29 THE FOLLOWING PARCEL:

30 Parcel 8

31 A parcel of land being a portion of Parcel 3, as said Parcel 3
32 is delineated and so designated on that certain map of the
F. E. Russell Lands, on file in the Office of the Recorder of
the County of Yolo, in Book 4 of Maps and Surveys at Page 77,
said portion of Parcel 3 being more fully described as follows:

BEGINNING at the Northeast corner of fractional Section 15,
Township 8 North, Range 1 East, M.D.B.&M.; thence
South 84°18'00" West 445.31 feet; thence South 88°00'00" West,
174.00 feet; thence South 83°30'00" West 538.96 feet; thence
North 89°52'00" West 1237.74 feet; thence South 00°29'30" East,
25.00 feet to the Southerly right of way line of County Road
Number 32; THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE
North 89°52'00" West 1658.55 feet along said Southerly right
of way line; thence South 00°08'00" West 8.00 feet; thence
South 89°52'00" East 1508.55 feet along a line parallel with
and 8.00 feet Southerly of said Southerly right of way line of
County Road Number 32; thence North 87°04'50" East 150.21
feet to the true point of beginning.

Containing 0.291 acres.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of


1 Yolo, State of California, on the 14th day of April

2 1975, by the following vote:

3 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

4 NOES: None.

5 ABSENT: None.

6 
7 Betty A. Marchand
8 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
9 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10 ATTEST:

11 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

12 BY Reto E. Lucas, DEPUTY

13 APPROVED AS TO FORM

14 CHIEF DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

15 BY: 
16 CHIEF DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

APR 14 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By: *John E. Bussard*
DeputyXEROX
COPYRESOLUTION NO. 75- 45

(Resolution Establishing Revolving Fund for the
Office of Charles A. McGahan, Judge of the
Justice Court, Davis Judicial District)

WHEREAS, Government Code § 23920 et seq authorize the
Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the
use of the person in charge of a department of the County, and

WHEREAS, it appears to this Board of Supervisors that
it is necessary to establish such a revolving fund for the office
of the Judge of the Justice Court, Davis Judicial District in
the sum of Thirty (\$30) dollars for the purposes hereinafter set
forth,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND, by
the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That it is necessary to establish such a revolving fund
for the purchase of needed office equipment and supplies, the
total charge for which does not exceed the amount of \$10.00 on
any one purchase.

2. That a revolving fund for the office of the Judge of
the Justice Court, Davis Judicial District is hereby established.

3. That the use of said revolving fund is limited to
disbursements for the purposes hereinabove set forth.

4. That the amount of the revolving fund is hereby set at
the sum of Thirty (\$30) dollars.

5. That the Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby
authorized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of the Judge
of the Justice Court, Davis Judicial District in the amount of
Thirty (\$30) dollars after the provisions of this resolution
providing for a bond have been complied with and the Treasurer of
the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to honor said
warrant when it is presented for payment.

6. That the Judge of the Justice Court, Davis Judicial

1 District shall file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
2 either a bond executed by himself as principal and admitted
3 surety insurer or cause an endorsement to be added to the
4 County blanket bond in amount equal to the revolving fund for
5 the office of the Judge of the Justice Court, Davis Judicial
6 District as established herein, and that the bond or endorsement
7 shall be conditioned upon the faithful administration of the fund
8 and upon the willingness and ability of the Judge of the Justice
9 Court, Davis Judicial District to account for and pay over the
10 fund upon demand of the Board of Supervisors at any time.

11 7. That upon demand of the Auditor or the Board of Super-
12 visors, the Judge of the Justice Court, Davis Judicial District
13 shall give an accounting of the revolving fund for the office
14 of the Judge of the Justice Court, Davis Judicial District.


15 8. That the Clerk of the Board shall transmit certified
16 copies of this resolution to the County Auditor and the County
17 Treasurer.

18 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
19 County of Yolo, State of California, this 14th day of April, 1975,
20 by the following vote:

21 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.


22 NOES: None.

23 ABSENT: None.

24 
25 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

26 ATTEST:

27 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

28 BY , Deputy
29
30
31
32

XERO
COPY

FILED

APR 14 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Laurence P. Henigan*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-46

(Resolution Establishing Revolving Fund for the
Department of Public Health)

WHEREAS, Government Code § 23920 et seq authorize the
Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the
use of the person in charge of a department of the County, and

WHEREAS, it appears to this Board of Supervisors that
it is necessary to establish such a revolving fund for the
office of the Director of the Department of Public Health in the
sum of Fifty (\$50) dollars for the purposes hereinafter set forth,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND, by the
Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That it is necessary to establish such a revolving
fund for the purpose of purchasing postage stamps, stationery and
supplies, light bulbs, and photographic services and supplies.

2. That a revolving fund for the office of the Director
of the Department of Public Health is hereby established.

3. That the use of said revolving fund is limited to
disbursement of the purposes hereinabove set forth.

4. That the amount of the revolving fund is hereby set at
the sum of Fifty (\$50) dollars.

5. That the Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby author-
ized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of the Director of
the Department of Public Health in the amount of Fifty (\$50)
after the provisions of this resolution providing for a bond
have been complied with and the Treasurer of the County of Yolo
is hereby authorized and directed to honor said warrant when it
is presented for payment.

6. That the Director of the Department of Public Health
shall file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors either a
bond executed by himself as principal and admitted surety insurer

1 or cause an endorsement to be added to the County blanket bond
2 in amount equal to the revolving fund established herein for the
3 office of the Director of the Department of Public Health, and that
4 the bond or endorsement shall be conditioned upon the faithful
5 administration of the fund and upon the willingness and ability of
6 the Director of the Department of Public Health to account for and
7 pay over the fund upon demand of the Board of Supervisors at any
8 time.

9 7. That upon demand of the Auditor or the Board of Super-
10 visors the Director of the Department of Public Health shall give
11 an accounting of the revolving fund for the office of the Director
12 of the Department of Public Health.

13 8. That the Clerk of the Board shall transmit certified
14 copies of this resolution to the County Auditor and the County
15 Treasurer.

16 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
17 County of Yolo, State of California, this 14th day of April, 1975,
18 by the following vote:

19 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

20 NOES: None.

21 ABSENT: None.

22 *Betsy A. Marchand*
23 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

24 ATTEST:

25 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

26 BY *Pat E. Duncan* Deputy

FILED

APR 14 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By PETE E. LUCAS

Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-47

(Resolution Establishing Revolving Fund for
Probation Department)

WHEREAS, Government Code § 23920 et seq authorize the Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the use of the person in charge of a department of the County, and

WHEREAS, it appears to this Board of Supervisors that it is necessary to establish such a revolving fund for the office of the County Probation Officer, Probation Department of the County of Yolo in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25) dollars for the purposes hereinafter set forth,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That it is necessary to establish such a revolving fund for the purpose of purchasing incidental office supplies, for paying miscellaneous freight charges, and for similar unusual and minor expenditures which are necessitated by the day-to-day operation of said Probation Department.

2. That the revolving fund for the office of the County Probation Officer of the Probation Department of the County of Yolo is hereby established.

3. That the use of said revolving fund is limited to disbursement of the purposes hereinabove set forth.

4. That the amount of the revolving fund is hereby set at the sum of Twenty-five (\$25) dollars.

5. That the Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of the County Probation Officer in the amount of Twenty-five (\$25) dollars after the provisions of this resolution providing for a bond have been complied with and the Treasurer of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to honor said warrant

1 when it is presented for payment.

2 6. That the County Probation Officer shall file with the
3 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors either a bond executed by himself
4 as principal and admitted surety insurer or cause an endorsement
5 to be added to the County blanket bond in amount equal to the
6 revolving fund established herein for the County Probation Officer,
7 and that the bond or endorsement shall be conditioned upon the
8 faithful administration of the fund and upon the williness and
9 ability of the Director of the Yolo County Probation Department
10 to account for and pay over the fund upon demand of the Board
11 of Supervisors at any time.

12 7. That upon demand of the Auditor or the Board of Super-
13 visors, the County Probation Officer shall give an accounting
14 of the revolving fund for the Yolo County Probation Department.

15 8. That the Clerk of the Board shall transmit certified
16 copies of this resolution to the County Auditor and the County
17 treasurere.

18 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
19 County of Yolo, State of California, this 14th day of April, 1975
20 by the following vote:

21 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

22 NOES: None.

23 ABSENT: None.

24 BETSY A. MARCHAND

25 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

26 ATTEST:

27 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

28 BY PETE E. LUCAS Deputy
29
30
31
32

FILED

APR 21 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Bulmar Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-48

WHEREAS, the Office of the District Attorney desires to undertake the second year of a certain project designated "Video Tape In-Service Training For Law Enforcement" to be funded in part from funds made available through the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1960, PL 90-355, as amended, PL 91-644, (hereafter referred to as the Safe Streets Act) administered by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (hereafter referred to as OCJP).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That the District Attorney was authorized to submit the attached Application for Grant for Law Enforcement Purposes to OCJP and is authorized to execute on behalf of the County of Yolo the attached Grant Award Contract for law enforcement purposes including any extensions or amendments thereof.
2. That the County of Yolo agrees to provide all matching funds required for said project (including any extension or amendment thereof) under the Safe Streets Act and the rules and regulations of OCJP and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and that cash will be appropriated as required thereby.
3. That grant funds received hereunder shall not be used to supplant on-going law enforcement expenditures.

Passed and Adopted by the Board of Supervisors in the County of Yolo, State of California, this 21st day of April, 1975, by the following vote:

Ayes: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
County Clerk

By *Bulmar Rodgers*

Betsy Marchand
BETSY MARCHAND, Chairwoman of the
Board of Supervisors, Yolo County

CALIFORNIA COUNCIL ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE



Application for a grant under Section 301
(b) of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe
Streets Act of 1968 (PL-90-351), as amended
by PL-91-644.

Part B _____ Part C _____ Part E _____

Pre-Agreement Effective
Date _____

Grant Extension Approved
To _____

1. Title: VIDEO TAPE IN-SERVICE TRAINING FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT		2. Region: D																		
3. Type of Application Year: 1st _____ 2nd <u>x</u> 3rd _____		12. Applicant:																		
☒ Original (Date) <u>2/27/75</u> ☐ Revision (Date) _____		County of Yolo Office of the District Attorney P.O. Box 412 Woodland, CA 95695 (916) 666-8521																		
4. Grant Duration: <u>12</u> Months		13. Project Director:																		
5. Length of Project: <u>36</u> Months		Richard L. Gilbert, Deputy D.A. P.O. Box 446 Davis, CA 95616 (916) 758-3350																		
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Support</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Dollars</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Percent</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>6. Federal</td> <td style="text-align: right;">8,694</td> <td style="text-align: right;">90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7. State Buy-In</td> <td style="text-align: right;">483</td> <td style="text-align: right;">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8. Local Hard Match</td> <td style="text-align: right;">483</td> <td style="text-align: right;">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9. Other Match & In-Kind</td> <td>_____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10. Total Project Cost</td> <td style="text-align: right;">9,660</td> <td style="text-align: right;">100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Support	Dollars	Percent	6. Federal	8,694	90	7. State Buy-In	483	5	8. Local Hard Match	483	5	9. Other Match & In-Kind	_____	_____	10. Total Project Cost	9,660	100%	14. Financial Officer:
Support	Dollars	Percent																		
6. Federal	8,694	90																		
7. State Buy-In	483	5																		
8. Local Hard Match	483	5																		
9. Other Match & In-Kind	_____	_____																		
10. Total Project Cost	9,660	100%																		
11. Category: _____		County of Yolo Arthur M. Heasley, Auditor Courthouse Woodland, CA 95695 (916) 666-8541																		
Program: _____		15. Official Authorized to Sign Application:																		
		C. Robert Jameson, District Attorney P.O. Box 12 Woodland, CA 95695 (916) 666-8521																		
		Signature: <u>[Signature]</u> Date: <u>2-28-</u>																		

16. Project Summary

There have been no major changes in either the primary project objectives or the methodology utilized in obtaining project objectives in this second year application for funds.

First year project implementation was August 1, 1974. Descriptive information on first year operations are contained in the quarterly reports previously submitted.

The project will provide each County law enforcement agency facility with video tape playback equipment for the screening of pre-prepared training materials at roll calls and other times. The training content and scheduling will be coordinated by the Office of the District Attorney, which will acquire training materials by loan, rental, purchase, or, if necessary, production, and circulate them to agencies according to the schedule. Maximum use will be made of materials produced by expert producers and the use of such materials will be coordinated with other local, regional, and statewide training users, material users and producers. It is the aim of the project to provide a flexible system of legal and technical training to agency groups and individuals. The District Attorney's office will begin to assemble a library of VTR training materials available for individual or group review on a check-out basis; the same applies to equipment. The impact of the project will be improved operating procedures, higher system efficiency, fewer court challenges, increased personnel training, and personnel awareness.

ACTION NOTICE
Project Notification and Review System
Office of the Governor

State Clearinghouse Number (SCH) 74070224

PREAPPLICATION REVIEW

- ☒ This project was submitted to O.C.T.P. on 2/27/75
(FUNDING AGENCY) (DATE)
- ☐ It has been decided that this project will not be submitted for funding.
- ☐ This project and/or funding has changed subsequent to A-95 review. Description of the changes are attached.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW UNDER CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

- ☐ The final Environmental Impact Report or Negative Declaration, and the project, have been approved. (Notice of Determination should be forwarded to the Resources Agency.)
- ☐ It has been decided the project will not be carried out.

OPR 3
Rev. 6/74
State of California

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
Project Notification and Review System
Office of the Governor

PROJECT: Video Tape ~~Leisure~~ Law Enforcement Training for Law Enforcement
State Clearinghouse Number (SCH) 74070224

The above State Clearinghouse Number must be used on future correspondence with this office and must be brought to the attention of the agency taking action on your project.

Date Received: 7/1/74

The State review of your project will require 30 days. This card DOES NOT verify compliance with preapplication and/or environmental document review requirements. A letter containing the State's comments or a letter confirming that no comments were generated will be forwarded as soon after the review is completed as possible.

If submittal is under preapplication review requirements, you may not submit the final application until the letter is received.

For Clearinghouse by: *Sam J. Hall*

OPR 2
Rev. 6/74
State of California

JUL 5 1974



RONALD REAGAN
GOVERNOR

State of California

GOVERNOR'S OFFICE
OFFICE OF PLANNING AND RESEARCH
1400 TENTH STREET
SACRAMENTO 95814

August 8, 1974

Mr. C. Robert Jameson
County of Yolo
Office of the District Attorney
P.O. Box 412
Sacramento, CA 95695

Dear Mr. Jameson:

SUBJECT: SCH 74070224 - Video Tape In-Service Training
For Law Enforcement

The above listed project was submitted by the State Clearinghouse to numerous State agencies for review. The review has been completed, and there were no comments on the project.

This letter verifies your compliance with preapplication review requirements. Please attach it to your formal application. We request that you use the State Clearinghouse number assigned to your project on the cover page of your application and on all subsequent references to this application, since it will be used by the funding agency when the State Clearinghouse is notified of the awarding of your grant.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

William G. Kirkham
Management Systems Officer
State Clearinghouse

WGK:pmt

VIDEO TAPE IN-SERVICE TRAINING FOR
LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECT

APPLICANT'S ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION

Applicant: County of Yolo, Office of the District Attorney

I. Project Description

- a. Type of Grant: Action
- b. Type of Project: Education and Training
- c. Size of Project: not applicable
- d. Location of Project: 204 'F' Street, Davis, California
- e. Surrounding Area:
 1. Land Use and Zoning - Commercial, retail sales and offices

- II. Does the proposed action conform to all local, State and Federal plans, policies and controls for the affected area, including the Clean Air Act and the Federal Water Pollution Act of 1972? Yes.

- III. What alternatives are there to the proposed action?

The program is conducted solely within present facilities and is compatible with present activities of applicant and surrounding inhabitants.

IV. Environmental Effects

Will the implementation of the proposed projects or program produce the following effects:

1. Would the project lead to a significant increase in air pollution in a given area?

There are no phases of the project which will create air pollutants. Consequently, no increase in air pollu-

2. Lead to a significant increase in water pollution?

There are no phases of the project involving the creation or discharge of potential water pollutants.

The project involves no water use.

3. Lead to a significant increase in the ambient noise level for a substantial number of people?

No increase in the ambient noise level will be created by the project, which involves the operation of video tape recording devices within existing structures.

4. Lead to poor incompatible land use, soil erosion or soil pollution?

The operation of the project will in no way effect the soil, or its use.

5. Destroy or derogate from an important recreation area?

There are no recreational areas that will be affected by the project.

6. Substantially alter the pattern of behavior of wildlife or interfere with important breeding, nesting, or feeding grounds?

The project involves no activities or construction such as would alter any wildlife life patterns.

7. Disturb the ecological balance of land or water area, or impact critical areas such as flood plains, wetlands, beaches and damage unstable soils, steep slopes and aquifer recharge areas?

The ecological balance of land or water areas will not be disturbed.

8. Have significant effect upon areas of historical significance, archaeological significance, cultural significance or educational scientific significance?

The project will be conducted solely within existing facilities, and its implementation will not effect areas of historical, cultural, educational, or scientific significance.

9. Have an adverse aesthetic or visual effect?

Involving no structural additions or modifications, the project will have no aesthetic or visual effect.

10. Have a detrimental effect on the safety of the community?

The project is not such as to have any effect on community safety.

- V. Is there opposition to the proposal?


No opposition known to applicant.

After Evaluation of the above question it has been determined that:

X There are no Significant Environmental Impacts.

C. ROBERT JAMESON
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

By


RICHARD L. GILBERT
Deputy District Attorney
Project Director

20. BUDGET SUMMARY FOR GRANT PROJECT

If it is anticipated that funds will be requested for second and/or third year activities, fill in those spaces. If this application is for second year funding, then fill in the "Total First Year" with the approved amounts for that period, and the anticipated amounts for third year funding.

1. Budget should be based on a grant year (12 month or shorter period if the project is less than 12 months) rather than calendar year or fiscal year.
2. Including State Buy-In funds.

	TOTAL FIRST YEAR ¹			TOTAL SECOND YEAR ¹			TOTAL THIRD YEAR ¹		
Budget Category	Total	Grant Funds	Matching ² Funds	Total	Grant Funds	Matching ² Funds	Total	Grant Funds	Matching ² Funds
Personal Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Travel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consultant Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equipment	18,643	16,779	1,864	4,435	3,991	444	5,078	4,570	508
Supplies & Operating Expenses	1,666	1,499	167	5,225	4,703	522	-	-	-
Total Project Cost	20,309			9,660			5,078		
Grant Funds Requested									
Grantee Contribution									
		18,277			8,694			4,570	
			2,032			966			508

CALIFORNIA COUNCIL ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE
DETAILED PROJECT BUDGET

BUDGET CATEGORY	TOTAL	FEDERAL FUNDS	HARD MATCH		OTHER
			STATE BUY-IN	LOCAL HARD MATCH	
21. Personal Services					
A. Salaries NONE					
B. Benefits NONE					
TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-

DETAILED PROJECT BUDGET (CON'T)

BUDGET CATEGORY	TOTAL	FEDERAL FUNDS	HARD MATCH		OTHER
			STATE BUY-IN	LOCAL HARD MATCH	

22. Travel

NONE					
TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-

23. Consultant Services

NONE					
TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-

24. Equipment

1) Sony AVC-3250 DY video camera with accessories	975				
2) Double rack 9" monitors (2)	725				
3) Sony SEG-1 special effects generator	650				
4) Sony VP-1200 3/4" cassette player	1,200				
5) Sony KV-1710 color receiver	450				
6) AV-453 cart	60				
7) Electric mimeograph machine	300				
8) Pause control for VO-1600 recorder (installed)	75				
TOTAL	4,435	3,991	222	222	-

DETAILED PROJECT BUDGET (CON'

BUDGET CATEGORY	TOTAL	FEDERAL FUNDS	HARD MATCH		OTHER
			STATE BUY-IN	LOCAL HARD MATCH	
25. Supplies and Operating Expenses					
1) 60 - KC-60 1 hr. 3/4" video cassettes	1,200				
2) 20 - KC-30 1/2 hr. 3/4" video cassettes	400				
3) Rental, purchase, and other acquisition of prepared training materials	2,000				
4) Equipment maintenance	1,000				
5) Mimeograph paper	350				
6) Mimeograph stencils and supplies	200				
7) Duplication services	75				
	</				

20. Budget Narrative: Begin below and add as many continuation pages (numbered 8-A, 8-B, etc.) as may be necessary to relate the items budgeted to project activities and complete the required Justification and explanation of the project budget. Explain the sources the grantee will utilize for its matching contribution. Enumerate those proposed expenditure items that require prior approval, as specified in Bureau of the Budget Circular A-87, and in CCCJ Fiscal Affairs Manual, so prior approval may be considered at the time application is made.

EQUIPMENT

Items 1, 2, and 3. These three items will complement and complete the basic production facilities of the project. The items will enable the flexible use of 2 cameras in production of training films, switching between cameras, and monitoring of each camera's operation. The project has operated within the imposed stipulation of maximum utilization of prepared materials. However, minimal training productions used to communicate local training information and important legal developments has been impeded and been made exceptionally time consuming without the equipment. All items are compatible with present equipment.

Items 4, 5, and 6. These items are a playback unit to be located in the Woodland Office of the District Attorney. They will be available to District Attorney Deputies and Investigators. Investigators and deputies now must use facilities at other agencies to view training materials consuming excess time and making regular scheduling difficult. This unit will also be available as a back-up player for other agencies. The items were deleted from the first year budget because of cost increases.

Item 7. The mimeograph machine will be used to duplicate written materials covering and supplementing video programs. These items will include, for example, the syllabus and test accompanying each Attorney General's program, program evaluation, and program rosters. Duplication of this material has been impractical because there is only one such machine available in the County, making availability a problem. The machine is located in Woodland, about 12 miles from the Training Office, requiring excessive time for use. The lack of this item has been a principle factor preventing implementation of the testing and evaluation aspects of the project in the first year of project operation.

Item 8. Pause Control. The pause control feature will be added to an existing play recorder facilitating easier and better reproduction of taped material. The pause control is standard on newer models of the VO-1600.

SUPPLIES AND OPERATING EXPENSES

Items 1 and 2. Cassette tape. These items represent supplementary tape cassettes which are the backbone of project duplication and library procedures. Tapes are consumed by being taken out of service when placed in library status and through wear. Special program presentations and varying screening schedules have strained the project's tape supply in the first year. This item will provide the necessary tape supply for continued project operation.

28. BUDGET NARRATIVE (cont'd)

Item 3. Rental and Acquisition of Materials. This item constitutes the project funding for the rental and purchase of materials which are the core of the program material. These funds will also be used to acquire accompanying written materials and duplication rights for appropriate training materials. In rare instances, the fund will be utilized to assist in the preparation of programs by the project where outside assistance is required.

Item 4. Equipment Maintenance. Substantial maintenance costs can be anticipated for video tape equipment after the first year of operation. Some estimates run as high as 10% of equipment cost annually, or approximately \$1,800. Cassette equipment has shown better durability, and consequently, this figure should be adequate. Maintenance costs above this amount can be supplemented by special appropriations from the District Attorney's budget if it is not excessive.

Items 5, 6 and 7. Mimeograph and Duplication Supplies and Services. These items represent the operational supply aspects of the supplemental written materials and evaluation and record keeping materials of the project operations. Inability to provide these items from the District Attorney's budget has impeded implementation of all project phases.


NOTE ON BUDGET MODIFICATION:

This final budget represents a substantial modification from those submitted in the preliminary planning stages of the project's second year of operation. The changes have been made to compensate for those items lacking or insufficient in the first year budget which have impeded full implementation of project activities or which have prevented project activities from being achieved on as high a level as possible. Equipment items 1, 2, 3, and 7; and Supply items 5, 6, and 7 are intended to fill these voids.

Several costly equipment items have been deleted from the preliminary budget as the project operation has shown them to be unnecessary or duplicative. Thus, for example, a VTR-editor, an additional portable VTR unit, cassette mailers, and reel to reel tapes, have all been deleted. These items totaled approximately \$2,795. The number of 30 minute cassettes has been reduced significantly representing approximately \$600.

These budget adjustments have resulted in a net budget reduction from earlier estimates of approximately \$500, with an increase in project activity. Because the large initial equipment purchase need not be duplicated, these increased project activities will be accomplished with a \$10,649 reduction in total Project cost.

29. Graphic Representation of Costs - By Month



30. Other Sources of Funding

DATE	AGENCY REQUESTED	FUNDS REQUESTED	STATUS OF REQUEST
	NONE	\$	
		\$	
		\$	
		\$	
		\$	
		\$	

WHEREAS, the Office of the District Attorney desires to undertake the second year of a certain project designated "Video Tape In-Service Training For Law Enforcement" to be funded in part from funds made available through the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1960, PL 90-355, as amended, PL 91-644, (hereafter referred to as the Safe Streets Act) administered by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (hereafter referred to as OCJP).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That the District Attorney was authorized to submit the attached Application for Grant for Law Enforcement Purposes to OCJP and is authorized to execute on behalf of the County of Yolo the attached Grant Award Contract for law enforcement purposes including any extensions or amendments thereof.
2. That the County of Yolo agrees to provide all matching funds required for said project (including any extension or amendment thereof) under the Safe Streets Act and the rules and regulations of OCJP and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and that cash will be appropriated as required thereby.
3. That grant funds received hereunder shall not be used to supplant on-going law enforcement expenditures.

Passed and Adopted by the Board of Supervisors in the County of Yolo, State of California, this _____ day of _____, 1975, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
County Clerk

BETSY MARCHAND, Chairwoman of the
Board of Supervisors, Yolo County



C. ROBERT JAMESON
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

COUNTY OF YOLO

Woodland, California

J. TONEY
CHIEF DEPUTY

☐ 308 COURT HOUSE
P.O. BOX 412
WOODLAND, CA. 95665
PHONE: 866-8521

☐ 808 SACRAMENTO AVE.
P.O. BOX 137
BRODERICK, CA. 95605
PHONE: 972-2300

☒ 204 F STREET
P.O. BOX 445
DAVIS, CA. 95618
PHONE: 758-3350

☐ FAMILY SUPPORT
430 3RD STREET
WOODLAND, CA. 95665
PHONE: 866-8454

CHARLES VAN COURT
DEPUTY IN CHARGE
EAST YOLO OFFICE

February 27, 1975

DEPUTIES
RICHARD L. GILBERT
ROGER A. BROWN
DANIEL P. MURRAY
DWAYNE M. SAMUEL
STEPHEN L. MOCK
ROBERT F. DASHET

CARY TOMMERASON
INVESTIGATOR IN CHARGE
FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION

Anthony L. Palumbo
Executive Director
Office of Criminal Justice Planning
California Council on Criminal Justice
7171 Bowling Drive
Sacramento, California 95823

Dear Mr. Palumbo:

This letter is verification that OCJP project, Video Tape In-Service Training for Law Enforcement, is not required to submit a certificate of compliance indicating formulation of an equal opportunity program in accordance with CFR 42.301, et. seq., subpart E, for reasons numbered 1 and 2 indicated below.


- 1) The applicant employs less than 50 employees; total employees for the applicant named above is 25.
- 2) The project is for less than \$25,000; the total federal funds are \$8,694.

If additional information is required, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

C. ROBERT JAMESON
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

By


RICHARD L. GILBERT
Deputy District Attorney
Project Director

RLG:ml

MONITORING REPORT IDENTIFICATION

OCJP-500 (REV. 8-74)

PROJECT TITLE Video-Tape In-Service Training for Law Enforcement		TIME ACCOUNTING (TO HALF HOURS)										
SUBGRANTEE County of Yolo/District Attorney		PROJECT NUMBER 1985-1	CONTRACT NUMBER A1985-1-74									
ADDRESS P. O. Box 446, Davis, California 95616		GRANT PERIOD 8/1/74 to 7/31/75										
PROJECT DIRECTOR Richard L. Gilbert		STATE AGENCY/REGION OCJP/Region D										
PHONE 758-3350		DATE OF MONITORING AND NUMBER 2/3/75 1st										
ADDRESS P. O. Box 446, Davis, California 95616		FUNDS AVAILABLE AWARD \$18,277 MATCH \$2,032										
MONITORING CONDUCTED BY 1. Sandi Foss		EXPENDITURES TO DATE AWARD \$16,790 MATCH \$932										
SIGNATURE Sandi Foss	DATE 2/6/75	ENCUMBRANCES										
SPECIAL AWARD CONDITIONS		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>PROGRESS REPORT RECEIPT DATES</td> <td>FIRST</td> <td>SECOND</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>THIRD</td> <td>FOURTH AND FINAL</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>OTHER</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		PROGRESS REPORT RECEIPT DATES	FIRST	SECOND		THIRD	FOURTH AND FINAL		OTHER	
PROGRESS REPORT RECEIPT DATES	FIRST	SECOND										
	THIRD	FOURTH AND FINAL										
	OTHER											
PERSONS CONTACTED	RELATIONSHIP TO PROJECT	AGENCY										
Richard L. Gilbert	Project Director	Yolo County District Attorney's Office										

Project Summary:

The project will provide each County Law Enforcement agency facility with video tape playback equipment for the screening of pre-prepared training materials at roll calls and other times. The training content and scheduling will be coordinated by the Office of the District Attorney, which will acquire training materials by loan, rental, purchase, or, if necessary, production, and circulate them to agencies according to the schedule.

Maximum use will be made of materials produced by expert producers, and the use of such materials will be coordinated with other local, regional, and statewide training users, materials users and producers.

It is the aim of the project to provide a flexible system of legal and technical training to agency groups and individuals. The District Attorney's Office will begin to assemble a library of VTR training materials available for individual or group review on a check-out or loan basis. Individual agencies will have access to recording, duplicating, and playback apparatus for necessary training purposes.

The impact of such training should be felt in 1) improved operating procedures; 2) higher system efficiency; 3) fewer challenges to agency actions in court; 4) increased training levels of personnel; and 5) assessable reactions of personnel to the training media. Evaluation of the project will consist of surveys of the above areas.

C. Project Administration and Personnel

1. Administration

There are no special conditions attached to this grant and there is no need to impose any at this time. Project administration is the responsibility of Yolo County/District Attorney's Office. Direct supervision belongs to the Project Director, who remains in continued contact with regional staff in an effort to appropriately implement his project.

2. Personnel

There are no "personal services" included in this grant contract. The Project Director is an attorney paid by the Yolo County/District Attorney's Office.

3. Staff Training

There is no staff training appropriated for in this grant contract. Training for key project participants in the use and care of project equipment occurred in the 1st quarter of project implementation.

D. Project Implementation

This monitoring report covers both the first and second quarters of project implementation. Almost the entire first quarter of the Project was consumed with equipment acquisitions and problems encountered in that process. For that reason, the project work schedule suffered a one month set back, with most equipment acquisition not being completed until mid-October.

Other phases of the project work schedule proceeded as contemplated. A survey and compilation of available video tape training materials was prepared and circulated to participating agencies.

Training schedules were designed by each of the six Agencies and by the District Attorneys Investigators. Each of the schedules was adapted to accommodate two programs each month. This scheduling will enable the project to view the current Attorney Generals Legal Information for Law Enforcement tape each month as well as to view supplementary materials and past Attorney General's programs prepared before the project began. Arrangements were made for receipt of the Attorney General's materials for the commencement of the project, which was anticipated for November 15, 1974.

Training for key project participants in the use and care of project equipment was scheduled for November 6, 1974.

The second period of project operation was most successful. Program screenings were begun and have continued regularly on a bi-monthly basis. Training rosters and program evaluation forms were developed and duplicated and procedures were initiated for their proper use and accounting. Summarized below are the various phases of project activities for the quarter:

Introduction Tape: A fifteen (15) minute video tape was recorded explaining the nature of the video tape training project, the programs anticipated, and the responsibilities of individual offices, supervisors, and project personnel under the project guidelines. At the end of this specially recorded segment, a pre-prepared tape furnished by the Sony Corporation was added explaining the proper use of video tape playback equipment located in each Law Enforcement Agency facility. This tape was duplicated and screened in each of the six agencies by November 29.

Regular Training Programs: Commencing with December, 1974, regular training programs from the Attorney General's Legal Information for Law Enforcement series have been screened regularly. The tapes shown were as follows:

- 1) 12/3/74 to 12/10/74: A.G. #74-5; Civil Liability of Police Officer
- 2) 12/18/74 to 12/31/74: A.G.#74-6; Emergency Searches, Part I
- 3) 1/2/75 to 1/16/75: A.G.#74-7; Emergency Searches, Part II
- 4) 1/17/75 to 1/31/75: A.G.#74-8; Forcible Entry, Part I, "Knock and Notice"

Training Rosters and Evaluations: Each agency has been furnished with a supply of training rosters to record personnel viewing project programs. These forms are returned to the District Attorney's Office when completed. Return of the forms has been sporadic from some agencies. Steps have been taken to insure the regular return of completed rosters. The District Attorney's Office is in the process of developing a method for recording and summarizing statistical data from completed rosters.

Evaluation forms have also been distributed to enable each viewing offices to evaluate each program viewed.

These returned forms are reviewed when received and will be compiled for use in the project evaluation.

Duplication and Distribution of Tapes: The method of tape acquisition and distribution contemplated by the Grant was realized in the Second Quarter. Tapes have been received regularly from the Attorney General's Office. Upon receipt of the tapes, they are recorded onto 3/4" cassettes. Prior to the scheduled training dates, six duplicate tapes are prepared and are sent to each agency.

When the tapes are screened by the agencies, they are returned to the District Attorney for re-recording.

Tape Library: The "master tapes", or tapes made from those furnished by the Attorney General's Office, have been retained in the District Attorney's Office for future use by agencies or individual officers. Toward the end of the second project quarter, it became apparent that the level of later use of tapes after regular screening dates did not warrant the number of tapes being taken out of service by the library function.

To achieve an alternate means of preserving an available tape library without committing significant numbers of the project tapes, the Director of the Sacramento Area Training Center, Norther California, Criminal Justice Training and Education Systems, was approached to explore the possibility of the Center serving as a tape library for this project as well as other video tape media users within the Center's service area.

Preliminary plans have been made for the Center to provide 3/4" cassettes to the Project to record Attorney General Programs. After duplication and distribution of each programs by the Project, the cassettes would be sent to the Center to be available to all area users on a loan basis.

Specially Prepared Programs: In addition to the Introductory tape discussed above, two tapes were specially prepared by the Project for use by Local Agencies.

The first tape was a nine minute explanation of the new legal requirements that victims of violent traffic crimes be informed of their rights to recover losses through the state. The tape was screened to personnel of the California Highway Patrol and the Woodland Police Department. The tape was made available to all County agencies.

The second program involved the video taping of a

live presentation to California Highway Patrol personnel concerning newly enacted Vehicle Code legislation. The screening of the video tape at later training sessions made the training of additional personnel to present the program unnecessary and made training scheduling much more flexible.

Personnel Training: On November 6, 1974, a representative of General Electronic Systems, the vendor of Project equipment, presented a one-day training workshop on the use of all project equipment. All County Training Officers and some back-up operators attended.

E. Technical and Fiscal

1. Accounting and Recordkeeping

The financial officer for this project is the County of Yolo. There are no apparent problems at this time.

2. Fiscal Reports and Revisions

All 201's have been submitted on time and in accordance with Office of Criminal Justice Planning guidelines to date. Budgetary revisions have been made in accordance with Office of Criminal Justice Planning fiscal policy and with regional endorsement.

3. Cash Flow

No problems are anticipated in this area at this time.

4. Procurement

All equipment delineated in the grant contract has been purchased and received. Appropriate County procurement procedures were adhered to throughout the bidding purchasing process.

F. Project Evaluation and/or Internal Assessment

Development of forms to be utilized in the final assessment of this projects success/failure is currently taking place. Bases upon review of available forms to date, no problems are anticipated.

G. Summary and Conclusions

This project is making good progress toward its stated goals and objectives.

H. Recommendations

Continued Office of Criminal Justice Planning funding is herein recommended.

SF:dm

cc: Rick Gilbert
Jim Anderson
Farris Salamy
Yolo County Board of Supervisors

F I N E D

APR 25 1975

XEROX
COPY

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Paul A. Rodgers

Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-49

Resolution of Acceptance for Subdivision #2388

(El Macero Unit No. 4)

WHEREAS, the final Subdivision Map for Subdivision No. 2388 has been presented to the Board for approval and acceptance of streets; and

WHEREAS, said map has been signed by all necessary of the parties; and

WHEREAS, the improvements have been assured and the map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board does approve said map for Subdivision No. 2388 and accept on behalf of the public the easement and right-of-ways, all as provided for and indicated on said final maps.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Board accepts Lot "A" in Subdivision No. 1086, El Macero Unit No. 2, filed in Book 7 of Maps, Pages 26 and 27, in the office of the Recorder of the County of Yolo for street purposes.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Board approves Agreement No. 75-101, the Subdivision Improvement Agreement for said Subdivision No. 2388, and authorizes the Chairman of the Board to sign said agreement.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 21st day of April, 1975 by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

Betty A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of California

By Paul A. Rodgers DeputyAPPROVED APR 20 1975 FORM4/24/75 County Counsel

FILED

APR 24 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By: Basil Rodgers
DeputyXEROX
COPYRESOLUTION NO. 75-50

(Resolution Authorizing Destruction of Nonjudicial
Public Records Documents, etc., by Department of
Public Works)

WHEREAS, Section 26201. of the Government Code authorizes
the destruction of any duplicate record, paper or document, the
original or photographic reproduction of which is in the files
of any officer or department of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works, having custody
of such records, papers, and documents, a list of which is
attached hereto marked "Exhibit "A" and made a part hereof as
though set forth here at in full; and

WHEREAS said Department of Public Works may cause such
non-judicial items as listed in said Exhibit "A" to be destroyed
upon adoption of a resolution by the Yolo County Board of
Supervisors authorizing such destruction of records.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Super-
visors of the County of Yolo adopt this resolution authorizing
the Department of Public Works to destroy such records as
hereinbefore described pursuant to Section 26201. of the
Government Code of the State of California.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 21st day of April, 1975, by the
Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, by the following
vote:

Ayes; Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

Billy G. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

Basil Rodgers Deputy

(SEAL)

Approved as to Form
Dated 4/24/75
[Signature]
County Counsel of Yolo County

Exhibit "A"

Copies of:

Paid Purchase Orders 66-67, 68-69, 69-70, 70-71, 71-72

Journal entries & transfers 68-69, 69-70, 70-71.

Original: Duplicates are keypunched on file with this Department.

Gas signout sheets 68-69-70-71.

Copies:

Adm. Dept. Policy, 1948 through 1964
Supervisors proceedings, 1958, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65.

Road Dept. Budget (copies & pencil work papers),
61-62, 62-63, 63-64, 64-65

E & A Budget (copies & pencil work papers)	63-64
Co. Garage	" 63-64, 64-65-66
Parks & Rec.	" 63-64-64-65-66
Sanitation	" 63-64-64-65-66
Special Districts	" 63-64-64-65-66

Litigation - Freightways Terminal vs. Yolo Co. 1960
Tel & Tel Underground cable 1961

Misc. Correspondence 1963-64-65.

County Buildings

Hospital Dietary & Central Store
Hospital Central Cooling System
Hospital Electrical System

Districts

Sacramento-Yolo Port Dist. 1950-1961
Yolo Co. Flood Control & Water Dist. 1961-62
Bryte Sanitary Dist.
Misc. Schools

Affidavit of Posting 1952-62
Road Signing West Sacramento 1960
Gravel Reports Bureau of Mines 1954-65
Ordinances 1899-58
Harbors 1959
Harbors - Riverview Yacht 1959

Employees Personnel Files (no longer with the Rd. Dept.)
Transportation Permits 1960-71
Encroachment Permits 1962-71
SCR Study 1959
Yolo County Planning Comm. Minutes 1969
County of Yolo Planning Comm. 1958

Sanitation Ponds 1959-64

FAS - Proposed Additions to Systems 1952

FAS - State Matching Funds 1961

FAS - Status of Program 1957

Freeway Planning 1959

Board of Supervisors Meetings 1963/69

Budgets

Road Maintenance Budgets 1966/67 through 69/70

Storm Drain Maint. #1 " " "

Storm Drain Maint #2 " " "

Storm Drain Maint #3 " " "

Lighting Dist. " " "

El Macero Sewer Maint. Dist. 62/67, 67/68

El Macero Unit #1 66/67 through 69/70

County Garage 66/67 through 69/70

E & A 66/67 through 69/70

Budget General 66/67 through 69/70

Sanitation 66/67 through 69/70

Sacramento Regional Planning Comm. 67 through 72

Local Ag. Form Comm. 71 through 73

Misc. Letters 1973

Misc. Letters from Counties 1973

Traffic

Calif. Traffic Control Device Comm 1968-73

BK.

XEROX
COPY

FILED

APR 20 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 51

(Resolution Opposing AB 960/Sieroty - Deletion of
Local Government Participation on California
Council on Criminal Justice and Regional Criminal
Justice Planning Boards)

WHEREAS, this Board has reviewed Assembly Bill No. 960
introduced by Assemblyman Sieroty for the purpose of amending
Sections 13810, 13812, 13813, 13823, 13900, 13901, 13903, and
13905 of the Penal Code, relating to criminal justice and delin-
quency prevention; and

WHEREAS, the said Bill would make substantial changes in
the composition and goals of the CCCJ and the Regional Criminal
Justice Planning Boards; and

WHEREAS, the seven local government representatives on
the CCCJ would be replaced by representatives of citizens, profes-
sional, and community organizations directly related to delinquency
prevention and that at least one-third of local regional board
membership will also reflect such representation; and

WHEREAS, reimbursement is provided for gubernatorial
appointees to the Advisory Committee on Juvenile Justice; and

WHEREAS, said Bill has been characterized as necessitated
by federal law (P.L. 93-83); and

WHEREAS, that while the federal law stipulates a new
category of representation, it does not specify the number of
representatives nor does it require that this new category supersede
local government representation or that gubernatorial appointees
be reimbursed for expenses; and

WHEREAS, the Region D Criminal Justice Planning Board,
like most other regional planning boards, already reflects board
membership representative of citizens, professional, and community
organizations directly related to delinquency prevention;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that this Board of Super-
visors of the County of Yolo reaffirms its belief that local

1 government can best perform the role of criminal justice planning
2 and the implementation of local programs utilizing funds to be
3 spent at the local level; and

4 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Board opposes AB 960 in
5 its existing form and strongly urges all local jurisdictions within
6 the Region to formally take a like position and express such
7 opposition to Governor Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Assemblyman Alan
8 Sieroty, their local legislators, the members of the Assembly Com-
9 mittee on Ways and Means, and all pertinent organizations, re-
10 questing their attention to and assistance with these issues;
11 and

12 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that copies of this Resolution be
13 sent to Governor Edmond G. Brown, Jr., Assemblyman Alan Sieroty,
14 the members of the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means, the
15 California Criminal Justice Planning Directors Association, and
16 the California Criminal Justice Planning Board Chairmens Associa-
17 tion.

18 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
19 County of Yolo, State of California, this 28th day of April, 1975,
20 by the following vote:

21 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Marchand.

22 NOES: None.

23 ABSENT: Stephens, Barton.

24
25 *Baker A. Marchand*
26 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

27 ATTEST:

28 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

29
30 BY *Barbara A. Rodgers*
DEPUTY

31 (SEAL)
32

FILED

MAY 22 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Paul ...*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 52

(Resolution Calling upon the Congress of
the United States to Continue Funding of
the Tehama-Colusa Canal)

AS A BASIS AND PREMISE for this Resolution, the Board of
Supervisors of the County of Yolo finds and states as follows:

1. The Tehama-Colusa Canal Project which enters Yolo
County from the north is of vital importance to the economy of
this County.

2. While construction of the Canal through Glenn County
is largely completed, the completion of the Canal from Logan
Creek in Glenn County south through Colusa County and into Yolo
County is imperative, especially to serve the Yolo Zamora Water
District and the Dunnigan Water District.

3. Construction of a portion of the distribution system
for the Kanawha Water District located in Glenn County is under-
way and will be completed sometime in the fall of 1975, but
additional funding from the Federal Government under PL-130 loans
will be necessary to complete the remainder of the distribution
system in that water district.

4. It is imperative that loan funds be appropriated by
the Congress so that a distribution system can be constructed for
the Orland-Artois Water District under a contract with the Bureau
of Reclamation.

5. Continued funding of all these projects through
appropriations by the Congress are vitally necessary for the
economy of this County and for the raising of food and fiber to
help feed, clothe and shelter the people of the United States
and in other nations of the world.

THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of
the County of Yolo that:

1. The Congress of the United States and the President

1 of the United States be, and they are hereby urged in the strong-
2 est possible terms to continue the funding of the Tehama-Colusa
3 Canal project and the construction of the distribution systems
4 for the water districts that will receive water from that canal.

5 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby
6 AUTHORIZED and DIRECTED to forward copies of this Resolution to
7 the Honorable Alan Cranston and the Honorable John V. Tunney,
8 United States Senators from California, and the Honorable Harold
9 T. "Bizz" Johnson and the Honorable Robert L. Leggett, Represen-
10 tatives in Congress from this area.

11 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
12 County of Yolo, State of California, this 28th day of April,
13 1975, by the following vote:

14 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Marchand.

15 NOES: None.

16 ABSENT: Stephens, Barton.

17
18
19 *B. A. Marchand*
20 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
21 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
22 5-22-75
23
24

25 ATTEST:

26 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

27 BY *Barbara A. Rodgers*
28 DEPUTY

29 (SEAL)
30
31
32

XEROX
COPY

FILED

APR 28 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Palma Rodgers
DeputyRESOLUTION NO. 75-53(Authorizing Stop Signs on "D" Street
at Third Street)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354, and 21355 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorizes the designation of any intersection as a stop intersection requiring all vehicles to stop at one or more entrances to said intersection.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the intersection of "D" Street and Third Street is hereby designated a stop intersection and that all eastbound and westbound traffic on "D" Street is hereby required to stop at the entrances to said intersection, and that the Director of Public Works is hereby directed to install stop signs on "D" Street facing eastbound and westbound traffic at its intersection with Third Street.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 28th day of April, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Stephens, Barton.

Biton A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Palma Rodgers Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[Signature]
County Counsel

XEROX
COPY

FILED

MAY - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara A. Rodgers*
DeputyRESOLUTION NO. 75-54

WHEREAS, Section 2121 of the Streets and Highways Code provides that in May of each year, each county shall submit to the State of California, Department of Public Works, any additions or exclusions from its mileage of maintained County roads, specifying the termini and mileage of each route added or excluded; and

WHEREAS, Section 2004 provides that changes may be made from time to time in the County's primary system; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works of the State of California certified to the State Controller that the total mileage of maintained County roads in Yolo County was 907.14 miles, as certified March 31, 1975; and

WHEREAS, the County now finds that the total mileage of maintained County roads is 907.27 miles.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED that the mileage of maintained County roads be corrected in accordance with the indication in color on the accompanying map (s) marked "Exhibit "A" and in accordance with the additions or corrections to the attached tabulation marked "Exhibit "B", both Exhibits being hereby made a part of the resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 5th day of May, 1975 by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Duncan.

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN

BY *Barbara A. Rodgers*
Deputy SEAL

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Approved as to Form
Dated *5/2/75*
[Signature]
County Counsel of Yolo County

"EXHIBIT A"

MAINTAINED MILEAGE REPORT FOR 1974

Additions on Input Form HCC 342

None

Changes on Map Sheets

Sheet 1

1. No Changes

Sheet 2

1. Change alignment of Road 112, between Road 108 and State Highway 45 at Sycamore Slough, to a simple horizontal curve. Map Error.

Sheet 3

1. Change Morris Road to Morse Road - Map Error

Sheet 4

1. Add Annexations to Woodland
California Street Annexation - California Street
Booth Annexation - Gum Avenue

Sheet 5

1. No changes

Sheet 6

1. Add street name of Anna Street to Road 136A, between Douglas Street and Road 136.
2. Revise alignment of Industrial Boulevard and Stone Boulevard at Cebrian Street and Park Boulevard to Match Existing alignment, map error.

Sheet 7.

1. No changes

Sheet 8.

1. No Changes

Sheet 9.

1. No Changes

Sheet 10.

1. Drawing G-Revise Alignment of Road 116 East of Railroad Street, this alignment is two (2) Horizontal curves connected by a straight tangent, there is no reverse curve East of Railroad Street, map error.
2. Drawing G-Delete portion of KL 6, Third St. No longer open for through traffic.

CHANGES TO TABULATION PRINTOUT

Page 5

1. County Road 23B-12, Gum Avenue., Change termini from "in WD" to "WD to 101" and delete NON-ADD and I, (Incorporated City)
2. County Road 23B-15, Gum Avenue, Add "I" (Incorporated City).

Page 17

1. County Road 98E-51, California Street, change mileage from .380 miles to .360 miles, annexed to City of Woodland, California Street Annexation No. 74-5.

Page 22

1. County Road 136A-5, Change Street Name from Road 136A to Anna Street

Page 23

1. County Road 147, Morris Road, change name to Morse Road, longstanding error.

EXHIBIT - A TO

RESOLUTION NO. 75-54

is

IN THE MAP FILE CABINET
(IT IS TOO LARGE TO)
(ATTACHE HERE.)

EXHIBIT B TO RESOLUTION
NO. 75-54 IS ATTACHED TO
TO THE ORIGINAL RESOLUTION.
(It is too large to attach
here)

BK..

XEROX
COPY

FILED

MAY - 5 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *John E. Lucas*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-55

AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 74-129

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo
as follows:

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 74-129 dated September 16, 1974 established
the day of January 1, 1975, as the date on which affected property
owners must be ready to receive underground utility service,
and March 1, 1975, as the date by which removal of all poles,
overhead wires and associated overhead structures, and the under-
ground installation of wires and facilities for supplying electric,
communication, or similar or associated service within Underground
Utility District No. 5 must be completed; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 75-10 amended said Resolution No. 74-129
to establish the day of March 1, 1975 as the date on which
affected property owners must be ready to receive underground
utility service, and May 1, 1975 as the date by which removal
of all poles, overhead wires and associated overhead structures,
and the underground installation of wires and facilities for
supplying electric, communication, or similar or associated
service within Underground Utility District No. 5 must be completed;
and

WHEREAS, the utility companies are unable to meet the above
completion dates.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board of Supervisors of
the County of Yolo, that RESOLUTION NO. 74-129 be further amended
to establish the day of August 15, 1975 as the date on which
affected property owners must be ready to receive underground
utility service, and October 15, 1975 as the date by which
removal of all poles, overhead wires and associated overhead
structures, and the underground installation of wires and facili-
ties for supplying electric, communication, or similar or associat-
ed service within Underground Utility District No. 5 must be com-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

pleted.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 5th day of May, 1975,
by the following vote:

AYES: Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Duncan.

Billy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENNIGAN, CLERK

Barbara Rodgers Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM

[Signature] County Counsel

FILED

MAY - 5 1975

LAURENCE P. MENIGAN, Clerk

By *Calvin Rodger*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-56

Resolution Authorizing Chairman of Board of Supervisors
to Execute Joint Powers Agreement with Sacramento County

WHEREAS, Article 1, Chapter 5, Division 7, Title 1 of the
Government Code of the State of California authorizes public
agencies to contract with each other to jointly exercise a power
common to the contracting parties; and

WHEREAS, the contracting parties jointly maintain the follow-
ing described river crossing facility;

(1) Freeport Bridge, crossing the Sacramento River

and

WHEREAS, a Joint Powers Agreement was entered into by the
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO and the COUNTY OF YOLO for joint maintenance
of said bridge on the 18th day of February, 1963; and

WHEREAS, the contracting parties desire to provide for
the reconstruction of the fender system of said river crossing
and to provide for a method of sharing the cost of said reconstruc-
tion;

AND WHEREAS, an agreement has been presented to the Board
of Supervisors of Yolo County for their approval providing for
cost sharing, for the reconstruction of fenders on said bridge:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the attached
Joint Powers Agreement is hereby approved, and the Chairman of
the Board of Supervisors is authorized to execute said Joint
Powers Agreement.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS 5th DAY OF May
1975.

AYES: Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Duncan.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENNIGAN, CLERK

Barbara A. Rodgers Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM

[Signature] County Counsel

JUL 10 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By.....
Deputy

YOLO COUNTY AGREEMENT NO. 75-132

FILED

JUL 10 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara Rodgers*

JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT

This agreement, made and entered into this 5th day of

5 May, 1975, by and between the COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a
4 political subdivision of the State of California, and the COUNTY
5 OF YOLO, a political subdivision of the State of California;

W I T N E S S E T H:

7 WHEREAS, Article 1, Chapter 5, Division 7, Title 1 of
8 the Government Code of the State of California authorizes public
9 agencies to contract with each other to jointly exercise a power
10 common to the contracting parties; and

11 WHEREAS, the contracting parties jointly maintain the
12 following described river crossing facility:

13 (1) Freeport Bridge, crossing the Sacramento River
14 and

15 WHEREAS, a joint powers agreement was entered into by the
16 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO and the COUNTY OF YOLO for joint maintenance
17 of said bridge on the 18th day of February, 1963; and

18 WHEREAS, the contracting parties desire to provide for
19 the reconstruction of the fender system of said river crossing
20 and to provide for a method of sharing the cost of said recon-
21 struction;

22 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS MUTUALLY AGREED between the parties
23 hereto as follows:

24 1. Sacramento County is authorized and will prepare all
25 plans and specifications for the fender reconstruction, acquire
26 all necessary permits, and submit all necessary data to the
27 State of California, Department of Transportation, for the award
28 of the contract under the Federal Aid Secondary Program; and
29 will provide inspection of all of the work to insure compliance
30 with the requirements of the contract therefor. Provided, however,
31 that Yolo County must first approve said plans and specifications
32 before they are submitted to the State of California for approval

1 and prior to Sacramento County awarding a contract therefor.

2 2. Said construction shall consist of reconstructing
3 the existing fender system including new pilings, whalers,
4 lighting and all miscellaneous appurtenances thereto.

5 3. Yolo County shall reimburse and pay to Sacramento
6 County 50% of all monies expended by Sacramento County for the
7 reconstruction of the fender system at the Freeport Bridge,
8 including but not limited to, payments made to the contractor
9 by Sacramento County for work under the terms of the contract,
10 and approved change orders; monies expended for the required
11 engineering services including inspection, surveys, & design;
12 Sacramento County's contributions to the County Employee's
13 Retirement System and other County paid benefits of those
14 employees of Sacramento County who may be required to perform
15 services in connection with the work provided for in this Agree-
16 ment.

17 4. Yolo County shall pay its share of the costs of
18 said work within thirty (30) days of receiving notice by Sacra-
19 mento County of completion and acceptance of the work by
20 Sacramento County and receipt of a detailed cost breakdown for
21 said work performed; provided, however, that such payment will
22 not be required prior to July 1, 1976.

23 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the County of Sacramento and the
24 County of Yolo have caused this Agreement to be executed by
25 the Chairpersons of the respective Boards of Supervisors for
26 said County, attested by the respective Clerks of the said
27 Boards on the day and year first above written.

28 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a political
29 subdivision of the State of California

30 By Arden R. Amole
31 Chairperson of the Board of
32 Supervisors of Sacramento County,
State of California

75-673

1 ATTEST:

2 Ellen D. Ferraro *Chief Deputy*
3 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

4 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

5 John B. Heinrich
6 John B. Heinrich, County Counsel

7
8 COUNTY OF YOLO,
9 a political subdivision

10 Betsy A. Marchand
11 Chairperson of the Board of
12 Supervisors, of Yolo, County,
13 State of California

14 ATTEST:

15 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
16 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

17 B. Barbara Colburn
18 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
County Counsel

RESOLUTION NO. 75-673

BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the Chairperson of the Board of Supervisors be and she is hereby authorized and directed to execute a JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT, in the form hereto attached, on behalf of the COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a political subdivision of the State of California, with the COUNTY OF YOLO relating to the reconstruction of the fender system of the Freeport Bridge crossing on the Sacramento River,

and to do and perform everything necessary to carry out the purpose of this Resolution.

On a motion by Supervisor Kloss, seconded by Supervisor Sheedy, the foregoing Resolution was passed and adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Sacramento, State of California, this 30th day of June, 1975, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: Supervisors, Kloss, Melarkey, Sheedy, Wade, Smoley.

NOES: Supervisors, None

ABSENT: Supervisors, None

FILED

JUN 30 1975

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BY W. L. S. S. S.
CLERK OF THE BOARD

In accordance with Section 25101 of the Government Code of the State of California, a copy of this document has been delivered to the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, County of Sacramento on

on JUN 30 1975
Dated JUL 8 1975

Clerk of said Board of Supervisors

By Paula Short Deputy

Sandra P. Smoley
Chairperson of the Board of Supervisors of Sacramento County, California

JUN 30 1975

Phillip J. Rogers
Deputy Clerk, Board of Supervisors

(SEAL)

ATTEST: W. L. S. S. S.
Chief Deputy Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FILED

MAY 12 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-57

(Resolution Approving Adoption of Amendment
to SRAPC's Plan for Organization, Function
and Financing)

WHEREAS, § 10 of the "Plan for Organization, Functions
and Financing" of the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission
provides that all the incorporated member cities within any one
county other than Sacramento County, are to be represented by
one (1) member of the aforesaid Commission, to be appointed by a
selection committee composed of the Mayors of the incorporated
member cities within that county; and

WHEREAS, when there are an even number of Mayors of
incorporated cities serving upon said selection committee, and
said selection committee deadlocks in its selection of a repre-
sentative to the aforesaid Commission, substantial delays in
selection a representative to serve on the aforesaid commission
are caused thereby, and

WHEREAS, said Commission unanimously has adopted a
proposed amendment to § 10 to rectify this situation which reads
as follows:

"If the selection committee fails to select a
representative within 90 days after a vacancy or
other membership entitlement occurs, the Board of
Supervisors of the County in which the cities are
located would be empowered to appoint a representative.
The Board shall give notice to all of the cities that
if a representative is not selected within the next
succeeding 30 days, the Board shall select a repre-
sentative. The appointee of the Board of Supervisors
shall be a mayor or member of a city council. This
provision shall not be applicable in cases where there
is only one participating city in the county or where

1 the county is not participating;"
2 and,

3 WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors is of the opinion
4 that the above stated amendment will suitably rectify the
5 situation which it is designed to meet;

6 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of
7 Supervisors of Yolo County hereby approves adoption of the
8 aforesaid amendment to § 10 of the Plan for Organization,
9 Functions and Financing of the Sacramento Regional Area Planning
10 Commission.

11 PASSED AND ADOPTED this 5th day of May, 1975, by the
12 following vote:

13 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
14 NOES: None.
15 ABSENT: None.

16
17 Betsy A. Marchand
18 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
5-12-75

19 ATTEST:
20 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK
21 BY BARBARA A. RODGERS
22 DEPUTY
23 (SEAL)

Book

FILED

MAY 12 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Bartholomew Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 58

(Resolution Designating Depository for
Coroner Inquest Transcripts)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors has not heretofore designated a location for the filing of the transcripts and verdicts of Coroner's inquests; and

WHEREAS, Government Code § 27503 provides that the transcript of the testimony examined in a Coroner's inquest may be filed with either the Coroner's Office or the County Clerk as determined by the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, it is the judgment of this Board that business efficiency will best be served if said transcripts are filed with the County Clerk's Office;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that the place of filing the transcripts of testimony, the exhibits introduced, and the verdict in a Coroner's inquest shall be and hereby is designated to be the Office of the County Clerk of the County of Yolo.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 5th day of May, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bartholomew Rodgers
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
5-12-75

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY *Bartholomew Rodgers*
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

34

FILED

MAY 12 1975

RESOLUTION NO. 75-59

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
PETER C. LUCAS
Deputy

(Proclamation of National Action for Foster Children Week)

May 18 - 24, 1975.)

WHEREAS, the week of May 18 - 24, 1975, has been declared "National Action for Foster Children Week" by executive Order of the President, and

WHEREAS, the Foster Parents in Yolo County have unselfishly offered their hearts and homes to Children in Need, and

WHEREAS, the Children the Courts, and the Social Agencies and Members of This Board, are cognizant of and deeply appreciative of their unstinting sacrifices,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the week of May 18 - 24, 1975, be declared Foster Children's Week as a tribute to their services.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 12th day of May, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

BETSY A. MARCHAND

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, COUNTY CLERK

BY Deputy

APPROVED TO FORM

(SEAL)

Walter I. Colby
COUNTY COUNSEL OF YOLO COUNTY

To:
Auditor
Co. Counsel
Health Department

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Yolo County, California

Date: May 12, 1975
Entry No. 7

File:
HEALTH- SPECIAL PROGRAMS-
Project 4- Maternal and
Child Health.

SEE:
RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 15

RESOLUTION NO. 75-60, ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING UNANTICIPATED HEALTH-MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH REVENUES, WAS PASSED AND ADOPTED. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS AUTHORIZED TO SIGN THE RESOLUTION.

Upon motion of Supervisor Duncan , seconded by Supervisor Stephens , and duly carried, the above Resolution was passed and adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

ORIGINAL

FILED

MAY 13 1978

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *[Signature]* Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-60

(Resolution Accepting and Appropriating
Unanticipated Revenues)

WHEREAS, the final budget for this fiscal year was
adopted by this Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 74-119;
and

WHEREAS, the County Budget Act in Government Code
paragraph 29130 authorizes this Board of Supervisors by informal
action on a four-fifths vote to amend the final budget by
accepting unanticipated revenues and appropriating such revenues;
and

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo will receive unanticipated
revenues from the State of California, Department of Health,
Maternal and Child Health, in the amount of \$12,953 during this
fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, it is desired to accept and appropriate said
amount;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. The unanticipated revenues mentioned above are hereby
accepted in the revenue account designated by the following
account number and title: 82-5676 Federal-Other-Other Projects.

2. The revenues mentioned above are hereby appropriated
for the use of the following budget unit, No. 501-4; Budget Title,
Health-Maternal and Child Health, in the following amounts:


<u>Account No.</u>	<u>Expenditure Classification</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
1101	Regular Salaries	\$ 6,108
1102	Extra Help	1,835
1201	Retirement	652
1202	Social Security	469


1	1300	Insurance	\$ 264
2	2090	Communications	150
3	2201	Workmans Compensation	73
4	2300	Medical/Lab Supplies	250
5	2390	Office Expense	250
6	2492	Rents/Leases	396
7	2553	Periodicals/Books	125
8	2610	Transportation/Travel	526
9	4300	Equipment	
10		a) 3 office desks	825
11		b) 3 office chairs	225
12		c) 1 electric typewriter	550
13		d) 2 sphygmomanometer	120
14		e) 2 stethoscopes	50
15		f) 2 fetalscopes	50
16		g) 1 scale	<u>35</u>
17	Total		\$12,953

18 3. This Board of Supervisors reserves the power upon
 19 request to authorize the transfer of funds between subobjects of
 20 expenditure appropriations and between major objects.

21 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
 22 County of Yolo, State of California, this 12th day of May,
 23 1975, by the following vote:

24 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
 25 NOES: None.
 26 ABSENT: None.

27
 28 
 29 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

30 ATTEST:
 31 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK
 32 BY 
 DEPUTY

cc: Health Department
 Auditor-Controller
 County Counsel
 County Executive

(SEAL)



COUNTY OF YOLO

Woodland, California

95695

ERWIN W. MEIER
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

May 8, 1975

FILED

MAY 12 1975

Honorable Betsy A. Marchand, Chairman
and Members of the Board of Supervisors

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By *[Signature]* Deputy

Subject: Request for Approval to Accept and Appropriate
Unanticipated Maternal and Child Health Revenues

The Health Department was awarded a Maternal and Child Health grant in the amount of \$68,283.48. \$12,953 will be received as unanticipated revenue this year. On April 24, 1975 the Health Department submitted a budget for expending these revenues which was approved by the Board.

By a four-fifths vote, the Board can act to accept and appropriate the \$12,953 of revenues. The remaining revenues and budget request will be part of the 1975/76 budget. It is therefore recommended that the Board:

- 1) Approve the attached resolution accepting and appropriating \$12,953 into Budget Unit 501-4 Health-Maternal and Child Health; and
- 2) Approve the attached ordinance amending the Salary Ordinance establishing the positions of Public Health Nurse II, Public Health Nurse I, Typist-Clerk III, and $\frac{1}{2}$ Health Educator.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature of Erwin W. Meier]

ERWIN W. MEIER
County Executive

cc: Health Department
Auditor-Controller
County Counsel

FILED

MAY 12 1975

RESOLUTION 75-61

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Tate E. Lucas
Deputy

This resolution must be adopted in order to acquire federal surplus property with a government acquisition cost of either \$2500 and over or under \$2500, in accordance with Section II of the California State Educational Agency for Surplus Property Plan of Operation, as amended, filed with, and approved by, the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Governing Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo and hereby ordered that the official(s) and/or employee(s) of the above-named organization whose name(s), title(s) and signature(s) (is) (are) listed below, shall be and (is) (are) hereby authorized as our representative(s) to acquire federal surplus property from the California State Educational Agency for Surplus Property under the Terms and Conditions listed on the reverse side of this form.

<u>Name(s)</u>	<u>Title(s)</u>	<u>Signature(s)</u>
<u>Bert P. Chagnon</u>	<u>Administrator</u>	<u>Bert P. Chagnon</u>
<u>Dale Munson</u>	<u>Asst. Administrator</u>	<u>Dale Munson</u>
<u>Arthur English</u>	<u>Storekeeper</u>	<u>Arthur English</u>
<u>Mike Ivie</u>	<u>Asst. Storekeeper</u>	<u>Mike Ivie</u>
<u>David Rodgers</u>	<u>Asst. of Buildings Grounds</u>	<u>David L. Rodgers</u>

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 12th day of May 1975, by the Governing Board of Supervisors of Yolo County, California, by the following vote: Marchand.
AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, /
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

I, _____, Clerk of the Governing Board of _____ of _____ County, California, do hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the said Board at a _____ meeting thereof held at its regular place of meeting at the time and by the vote above stated, which resolution is on file in the office of the said Board.

APPROVED TO FILE

Baker A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of California.

County Counsel of Yolo County.

ATTEST: LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, County Clerk

(Clerk)
By Tate E. Lucas
Deputy

Book
FILED

MAY 12 1975

LAURENCE P. HENNING, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75-62 By *Plato E. Spencer*
Deputy

(Resolution Authorizing Use of Land and
Tower by Certain Fire Districts for Train-
ing Purposes)

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo is the owner of a certain
parcel of real property described as follows:

All that real property situate, lying and
being in the County of Yolo, State of Cali-
fornia, more particularly described as
follows:

Beginning at the Southeast corner of Block
40 in the Town of Washington, Yolo County,
California, running thence Westerly along
the North line of William Street 78 feet;
thence Northerly at right angles to the first
alley; thence Easterly along the South line
of said alley to Third Street; thence Southerly
along the Westerly line of Third Street to the
place of beginning.

WHEREAS, there is located upon said property a large steel
tower structure; and

WHEREAS, the WASHINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT of Yolo
County, the WESTGATE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, and the BRYTE
FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT have requested the use of said land for
training and drilling of fire protection personnel of its
districts; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors finds that said use
of said property is beneficial to all parties and the people of
this County; and

WHEREAS, the WASHINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT has
represented to this Board that it will, prior to using said land
or tower, provide the Board with evidence of insurance coverage
which will hold the County of Yolo free and harmless from any
claims or causes of action which might arise as the result of
said use of said structure or land;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that the WASHING-
TON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, the WESTGATE FIRE PROTECTION

1 DISTRICT, and the BRYTE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT may have the use
2 of said land and said tower for said purposes only henceforth
3 until this license and permit are revoked, at no cost or charge
4 therefor.

5 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
6 County of Yolo, State of California, this 12th day of May, 1975,
7 by the following vote:

8 AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

9 NOES: None.

10 ABSENT: None.

11
12 Betsy A. Marchand
13 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
14 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15
16
17
18

19 ATTEST:

20 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

21
22 BY Pete E. Duncan

DEPUTY

23 (SEAL)
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

FILED

MAY 12 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By PETE E. LUCAS

Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 63

(Resolution Declaring May 14th as National
Head Start Day)

WHEREAS, May 14, 1975 has been declared by Executive
Order to be National Head Start Day; and

WHEREAS, the Head Start program is celebrating its
decennial anniversary; and

WHEREAS, the past decade has witnessed great success
of the Head Start program to better the lives of the Head Start
children and their families;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board of
Supervisors declares May 14, 1975, to be Head Start Day and
directs the staff and management of the Head Start program to
take appropriate action to participate in the National Head Start
Day on May 14, 1975; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Board hereby expresses
its appreciation to the Head Start program for a decade of
service well done.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 12th day of May, 1975, by
the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

BETSY A. MARCHAND

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY PETE E. LUCAS

DEPUTY

(SEAL)

16
FILED

MAY 19 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By John E. Lucas Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-64
(Grade X'ing Protection - C.R. 6 @ SPRR)

WHEREAS, the State of California, Department of Transportation has presented a program supplement No. 2, to Local Agency - State Agreement #1 (Yolo County Agreement #75-91) covering the Federal Aid Safer Roads Demonstration Program;

AND WHEREAS Yolo County Board of Supervisor's Minute Order #74-1498 dated December 9, 1974 has heretofore been adopted approving said Safer Roads Demonstration Program:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute said "Program Supplement No. 2" on behalf of the County of Yolo:

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 19th day of May, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY John E. Lucas DEPUTY

(SEAL)

PK
FILED

MAY 27 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-65

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County by Agreement No. 73-157 dated July 27, 1973, entered into an agreement with the Subdivider of Subdivision No. 2298, Port Center Business Park and

WHEREAS, said agreement included a guarantee that the Subdivider would complete certain public improvements in accordance with Yolo County Standards; and

WHEREAS, said improvements have been completed and are acceptable to the County for operation and maintenance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that pursuant to Article 7 of said agreement, the County of Yolo does hereby accept the improvements constructed in connection with said Subdivision No. 2298.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a minimum of 15% (\$2806.36) of the Improvement Security be retained by the County of Yolo until May 27, 1976 in accordance with Article 8 and Article 13 of said agreement.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on this 27th day of May, 1975 by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Stephens, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

B. A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

FILED

JUN - 91975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75-66

By *Barbara Rodgers*
Deputy

(Resolution Approving the Application for
Land and Water Conservation Funds for the
CACHE CREEK CANYON REGIONAL PARK PROJECT)

WHEREAS, the Congress under Public Law 88-578 has authorized the establishment of a Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Grant-In-Aid Program, providing matching funds to the State of California and its political subdivisions for acquiring lands and developing facilities for public outdoor recreation purposes; and

WHEREAS, the State Department of Parks and Recreation is responsible for the administration of the program within the State, setting up necessary rules and procedures governing application by local agencies under the program; and

WHEREAS, said adopted procedures established by the State Department of Parks and Recreation require the applicant to certify by resolution the approval of applications and the availability of local matching funds prior to submission of said applications to the State; and

WHEREAS, Part V of said applications contains assurances that the applicant must comply with; and

WHEREAS, said procedures further require the applicant to possess and adopted plan showing parks and recreation lands and facilities, existing and proposed; and

WHEREAS, the COUNTY's CACHE CREEK CANYON REGIONAL PARK plan was first adopted by the Board of Supervisors on June 17, 1974; and

WHEREAS, the proposed CACHE CREEK CANYON REGIONAL PARK project appears on the County's plan and is consistent with the California Outdoor Recreation Resources Plan; and

WHEREAS, the project must be compatible with the land use plans of those jurisdictions immediately surrounding the project;

1 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors
2 hereby:

3 1. Approved the filing of an application for Land and
4 Water Conservation Fund assistance; and

5 2. Certifies that said agency understands the assurances
6 in Part V of the application and certifies that it will comply
7 with the regulation policies, guidelines, and requirements, in-
8 cluding Office of Management and Budget circulars Nos. A-87, A-95,
9 and A-102 as they relate to the application.

10 3. Certifies that said agency meets the planning requirement
11 and that the project is compatible with the land use plans of those
12 jurisdictions immediately surrounding the project; and

13 4. Appoints the Park Director as agent of the County of
14 Yolo to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents
15 including but not limited to applications, agreements, amendments,
16 billing statements, and so on which may be necessary for the com-
17 pletion of the aforementioned project.

18 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
19 County of Yolo, State of California, this 2nd day of June, 1975,
20 by the following vote:

21 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

22 NOES: None.

23 ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 Vacant.

24 *Betty A. Marchand*
25 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
6-9-75

26 ATTEST:
27 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

28
29 BY *Baileat Rodgers*
30 DEPUTY

31 (SEAL)
32

Book

FILED

JUN 16 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara A. Rodgers*
Deputy

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 120
(EL MACERO UNIT NO. 4)

RESOLUTION NO. 75-67

APPROVING PETITION FOR PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS
AND
ORDERING PROCEEDINGS TAKEN UNDER THE MUNICIPAL
IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 1913

WHEREAS, on June 16, 1975, certain owners of
lands in this county filed their petition with the Clerk of
this Board and in their said petition requested this Board

To do certain work and to construct certain
improvements in accordance with the provisions
of the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913 on
streets, public places, and public rights of
way in this County, and

To assess the costs and expenses of said work and
improvements in accordance with the provisions of
said Act on a district of lands which said owners
declared would be benefitted by said work and
improvements, and

To order serial bonds to be issued in accordance
with the provisions of the Improvement Act of 1911
to represent any unpaid assessments for said work
and improvements and to order said bonds to contain
certain provisions which said owners requested
that said bonds contain, and

WHEREAS, said district of lands includes all the
land in the subdivision known as Subdivision No. 2388 El Macero
Unit No. 4 and adjacent lands, and

WHEREAS, a licensed real estate broker has filed a statement with said petition and said statement shows the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works estimated costs of said improvements that will be assessed against the lots in said subdivision and said adjacent land and shows said person's opinion that said estimated cost of said improvements will not exceed 75% of the estimated fair market value of the lots in said subdivision and of said adjacent lands after the proposed public improvements described in said petition shall have been constructed, and

WHEREAS, said owners declared in said petition that they were all the owners of more than 60% in area of the lands they described in said petition and declared that they waived all the provisions of Division 4 of the Streets and Highways Code of California (The Special Assessment Investigation, Limitation and Majority Protest Act of 1931) and all investigations, proceedings and reports provided therein, and

WHEREAS, this Board is of the opinion that said petition shall be granted, and

WHEREAS, the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works has advised this Board in writing that the owners of more than 60% in area of the lands described in said petition have signed said petition and waiver.

NOW THEREFORE, RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

That this Board finds and determines respecting the petition filed with the Clerk of this Board on June 16, 1975 1975, that owners of more than 60% in area of the real property

described therein have signed said petition and have waived all the provisions of Division 4 of the Streets and Highways Code (The Special Assessment Investigation, Limitation and Majority Protest Act of 1931) and all investigation, proceedings and reports provided for therein.

That this Board hereby grants the name Assessment District No. 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4) to the area described in said petition.

That this Board hereby grants said petition and hereby determines to do said work and improvements under the provision of the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913 and to assess the costs and expenses thereof on the district of lands described in said petition and hereby determines to issue improvement bonds under the Improvement Act of 1911 to represent unpaid assessments and hereby determines that said bonds shall contain specific provisions as requested by said owners.

That this Board hereby finds and determines that the estimated cost of the public improvements that will be assessed on the lots in Subdivision 2388, El Macero Unit No. 4, and that will be assessed against the land in said Assessment District that is adjacent to said subdivision will not exceed 75% of the fair market value of the lots in said subdivision and said adjacent lands after the public improvements described in said petition shall have been constructed.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY
OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS 16th DAY OF June,
1975, BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES: SUPERVISORS Duncan, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: SUPERVISORS None.

ABSENT: SUPERVISORS Edmonds & Supervisorial District No. 5 is vacant.

Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
County Clerk

BY *Balena A. Rodgers*
Deputy

(seal)

BK

FILED

JUN 16 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara A. Rodgers*
Deputy

PETITION FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 120
(EL MACERO UNIT NO. 4)

Dated: May 15, 1975

Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo
Woodland, California

We the undersigned declare that we are all the owners of more than 60% in area of the real property herein-after described, and

We hereby petition your Honorable Board to improve the streets and easements shown on the plat of Subdivision No. 2388 El Macero Unit No. 4 recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Yolo, and also any streets or easements adjacent thereto that you deem necessary to improve in order to carry out a complete plan of improvement of the streets and easements in said subdivision, by constructing

1. Concrete curbs and gutters
2. Paving consisting of aggregate base with asphaltic concrete surfacing
3. Drainage facilities
4. Sanitary sewer system
5. Street signs
6. Water distribution system
7. All necessary appurtenances for the above described improvements.

We hereby request your Honorable Board to do the above described work and to construct the above described improvements in the sizes, dimensions, materials, and in the positions and locations and in all the matters relating thereto, all in accordance with plans and specifications submitted by the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works and duly approved by your Honorable Board, and

We hereby authorize your Board to delete from said work any class of improvement as hereinbefore described that you deem advisable.

We hereby request your Honorable Board to order said work to be done and said improvements to be constructed in accordance with the provisions of Division 12 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California (The Municipal Improvement Act of 1913) as it is now written or as it may hereafter be amended.

We further request that your Honorable Board designate the real property hereinafter described as Assessment District No. 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4) and that in accordance with the provisions of said Improvement Act of 1913 you assess the costs and expenses of said work and improvements on the real property situate in said district.

We further request that your Honorable Board, acting under the provisions of Streets and Highways Code Section 10010 (a section of said Municipal Improvement Act of 1913) shall make provision in the proceedings for the public improvement work described in this petition, that will allow a sum of money in said assessment and report that is sufficient to eliminate any special assessment liens that your Honorable Board has heretofore levied for the public improvement work for the assessment district known as Assessment District No. 118 (El Macero Unit No. 2) on the lands in the proposed Assessment District now described in this petition.

We further petition your Honorable Board that you assess all amounts of money which are necessary to eliminate said special assessment lien, and which the County of Yolo uses for paying and eliminating such an Assessment District No. 118 (El Macero Unit No. 2) assessment lien from a parcel of land located in the proposed assessment district described in this petition,

only on the same land where such former assessment was a lien before it was so paid, and only on the several parts of said land in accordance with the benefits to said several parts that the said former assessment conferred upon said several parts.

We further request that your Honorable Board issue bonds to represent unpaid assessments and request that said bonds be issued under the provisions of the Improvement Act of 1911 and that said bonds shall contain any provision or term that is permissible in said Improvement Act of 1911, including a 5% redemption provision.

We, and each of us, hereby expressly WAIVE the provisions of Division 4 of said Streets and Highways Code of California (The Special Assessment Investigation, Limitation and Majority Protest Act of 1931), as amended, and all investigations, proceedings and reports provided for therein.

Said real property hereinbefore referred to is located wholly in the County of Yolo, State of California, and is more particularly described in Exhibit A attached hereto.

MACE PROPERTIES, INC.

By:

C. Freland Mace
Pres.

C. Freland Mace
C. FRELAND MACE

WELLS FARGO BANK

By:

[Signature]
Vice Pres

Herbert B. Mace
HERBERT B. MACE

Lois Mace Chappell
LOIS MACE CHAPPELL

YOLO ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, INC.


WOODLAND PH. 662-4346 • DAVIS PH. 753-2515 • WEST SACTO PH. 321-7076

Post Office Box 1364 1216 Farm Avenue
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA
95695

May 6, 1975

Description of A. D. 120, Yolo County, El Macero No. 4

Beginning at the Southeast corner of Lot No. 191 of El Macero Unit No. 2, recorded in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County in Book 7 of Maps, Maps No. 26 and 27; thence from said point of beginning S. 89° 38' 0" E. 2692.37 feet; thence N. 00° 22' 00" E. 213.25 feet; thence along a curve to the right on an arc of 20.00 feet, said arc being subtended by a chord bearing N. 46° 58' 32" W. 29.29 feet; thence along a curve to the left on an arc of 720.04 feet, said arc being subtended by a chord bearing N. 08° 30' 17" W. 215.28 feet; thence along a curve to the right on an arc of 275.00 feet, said arc being subtended by a chord bearing N. 10° 46' 09" W. 60.65 feet; thence N. 67° 52' 20" E. 199.43 feet; thence N. 48° 04' 40" E. 413.99 feet; thence N. 26° 27' 30" W. 311.98 feet; thence S. 83° 31' 00" W. 88.57 feet; thence S. 63° 53' 30" W. 336.32 feet; thence N. 64° 40' 20" W. 144.93 feet; thence N. 74° 44' 40" W. 387.66 feet; thence S. 36° 01' 40" W. 95.21 feet; thence S. 27° 00' 50" E. 114.49 feet; thence S. 47° 00' 30" E. 181.84 feet; thence S. 36° 18' 20" E. 451.72 feet; thence S. 88° 36' 40" E. 98.42 feet; thence along a curve to the left on an arc of 325.00 feet, said arc being subtended by a chord bearing S. 13° 18' 12" E. 43.04 feet; thence along a curve to the right on an arc of 670.04 feet, said arc being subtended by a chord bearing S. 08° 04' 38" E. 210.27 feet; thence along a curve to the right on an arc of 20.00 feet, said arc being subtended by a chord bearing S. 43° 35' 20" W. 27.09 feet; thence along a curve to the right on an arc of 479.00 feet, said arc being subtended by a chord bearing N. 85° 26' 35" W. 139.09 feet; thence N. 03° 04' 30" E. 97.48 feet; thence N. 47° 27' 35" W. 68.32 feet; thence N. 71° 23' 20" W. 117.50 feet; thence N. 58° 39' 20" W. 142.26 feet; thence N. 72° 58' 20" W. 334.67 feet; thence S. 11° 04' 50" W. 244.56 feet; thence S. 87° 00' 10" W. 363.50 feet; thence N. 01° 03' 40" E. 270.06 feet; thence N. 36° 46' 10" W. 113.60 feet; thence S. 62° 26' 20" W. 296.10 feet; thence S. 05° 47' 00" W. 153.78 feet; thence N. 75° 49' 00" W. 187.72 feet; thence S. 80° 47' 10" W. 605.82 feet; thence S. 86° 34' 20" W. 334.60 feet to the Northeast corner of Lot No. 192 of El Macero Unit No. 2; thence along said subdivision boundary S. 00° 22' 00" W. 291.51 feet to the point of beginning and containing 34.003 Acres of Land.



H. E. Niederberger, L. S. 2878

EXHIBIT "A"

AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER

Pursuant to Section 2804.2 of the Streets and Highways Code, I attach hereto the certificate of

First American Title Insurance Company

respecting the lands in the County of Yolo, California, described in the attached certificate to which this affidavit is attached.

Said certificate shows

(1) The name of each person, firm or corporation that is an owner of said real property on May 15, 1975, and whose name is shown on the records on file in the office of the Yolo County Recorder on that date; and

(2) Each mortgage or deed of trust that affect said real property on May 15, 1975, and that is shown on the records on file in the office of said Recorder on that date, and the name of each mortgagee and deed of trust beneficiary that is stated in each such mortgage and deed of trust.

The undersigned declares that he is the

President

(President - Vice President - Secretary - Assistant Secretary)

of MACE PROPERTIES, INC., a corporation, and declares on behalf of said corporation that the facts stated in said certificate are true, and that said corporation now owns an interest in the land described in said certificate and that on the date set opposite his name below that he knows of no other persons, firm or corporation that is an owner of said land other than the persons, firms or corporations listed in said certificate and that he knows of no mortgage or deed of trust affecting said real property other than the mortgages and deeds of trust listed in said certificate.


Dated: May 15, 1975

C. Ireland Mace

Subscribed and sworn to before
me on May 15, 1975

Priscilla L. Stasulat
Notary Public, State of California

My commission expires: 8-2-77



AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER

Pursuant to Section 2804.2 of the Streets and Highways Code, I attach hereto the certificate of

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

respecting the lands in the County of Yolo, California, described in the attached certificate to which this affidavit is attached.

Said certificate shows

(1) The name of each person, firm or corporation that is an owner of said real property on May 15, 1975, and whose name is shown on the records on file in the office of said Recorder on that date; and

(2) Each mortgage or deed of trust that affects said real property on May 15, 1975, and that is shown on the records on file in the office of said Recorder on that date, and the name of each mortgagee and deed of trust beneficiary that is stated in each such mortgage and deed of trust.

Each of the undersigned severally declares that he now owns an interest in the land described in said certificate and that the facts stated in said certificate are true, and that on the date stated opposite his name below he knows of no mortgage or deed of trust affecting said real property other than the mortgages and deeds of trust listed in said certificate.

Name

Date

C. Freeland Mace May 15, 1975
C. Freeland Mace


Herbert B. Mace May 15, 1975
Herbert B. Mace

Lois Mace Chappell May 15, 1975
Lois Mace Chappell

Subscribed and sworn to before me
on May 15, 1975.

Phyllis L. Stasulat
Notary Public, State of California

My commission expires: 8-2-77





First American Title Company of Yolo

812 COURT STREET • WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695 • WOODLAND 662-3282 • DAVIS 758-3282

Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo
Woodland, California

RE: Assessment District No. 120

Gentlemen:

The undersigned company certifies, that on the date that this certificate bears, that the owners, as defined in the Streets and Highways Code Section 2804 and Section 2804.2 of Parcel 1 and Parcel 2 as shown on the attached plat, are as follows:

Parcel (1)
2804: Mace Properties, Inc.

2804.2: Wells Fargo Bank, N. A.,
Beneficiary, American Securities
Company, a corporation, Trustee
Deed of Trust recorded April 15,
1975, in Book 1142 Page 27
Official Records of Yolo County.

Parcel (2)
2804: C. Freland Mace, Herbert
B. Mace, and Lois Mace Chappell

2804.2: Wells Fargo Bank,
N. A., Beneficiary, American
Securities Company, a corpora-
tion, Trustee, Deed of Trust
recorded April 15, 1975, in Book
1142 Page 22 Official Records of
Yolo County.

Dated: May 15, 1975

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY OF YOLO

By: Bert Grant
Bert Grant, Manager

Affiliated with First American Title Insurance Company

Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo
Woodland, California

The undersigned declares:

That he has read the petition addressed to you for constructing public improvement work under the provisions of the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913, in the proposed Assessment District 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4).

That the office of the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works advises me that the estimated amount of money that will be assessed against the land that is shown on the map entitled

PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 120
(EL MACERO UNIT NO. 4)

for all the costs of constructing said public improvement work and incidental expenses thereof is the sum of \$429,860.00.

That the undersigned is a qualified real estate broker in the County of Yolo and is familiar with the real property described in said petition and states that it is his opinion that the sum of \$429,860.00 will not exceed 75% of the market value of said real property after the proposed public improvement work described in said petition shall have been constructed.

Dated: May 15, 1975

Robert M. Liza

May 15, 1975

Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo
Woodland, California


Gentlemen:

I certify that I have caused to be examined a petition filed with your Honorable Board on June 16, 1975. Said petition requests the construction of improvements on streets and easements within and adjacent to the boundaries of certain real property described in said petition. Said petition requests that said real property be designated Assessment District No. 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4).

I further certify that it was found from said examination that said petition is signed by owners of more than 60% in area of the lands described in said petition.

I therefore recommend that a resolution now be adopted approving said petition and directing that plans and specifications for said improvement be prepared.

Respectfully yours,


County Surveyor-Director
of Public Works

BF
FILED

JUN 16 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Paul and Rodgers*
Deputy

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 120
(EL MACERO UNIT NO. 4)

RESOLUTION NO. 75-68

EMPLOYING ATTORNEY

RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

1. That GALE E. PECK, Attorney at Law
be and he is hereby employed by this Board to prepare
all legal documents and resolutions, but not the
Assessment or Report, for a proceeding to be taken by
this Board under the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913 for
a proposed assessment district to be known as

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 120
(EL MACERO UNIT NO. 4)

2. That the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo shall pay to GALE E. PECK for his services
above described the sum of money that is equal to 3% of
the amount assessed on the lands in said district for
acquiring and constructing the public improvement work
for said district, provided that said sum shall be payable
to him solely from moneys received from the sale of
improvement bonds issued under the proceeding above described
and that said sum shall be due and payable to him five (5)
days after the sale of said bonds.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY
OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS 16th DAY OF June,
1975, by the following vote:

AYES: SUPERVISORS Duncan, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: SUPERVISORS None.

ABSENT: SUPERVISORS Edmonds & Supervisorial District No. 5 is vacant.

Betty A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
County Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

EX

2

FILED

JUN 16 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara A. Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-69

APPROVING DISTRICT MAP

RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

That the map submitted by the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works showing the boundaries of Assessment District No. 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4) be, and the same is hereby, approved and that the Clerk of this Board shall file it in his office.

That the Resolution of Intention to be adopted respecting Assessment District No. 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4) shall refer to said map in lieu of describing the boundaries of said District in detail.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS 16th DAY OF June, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: SUPERVISORS Duncan, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: SUPERVISORS None.

ABSENT: SUPERVISORS Edmonds & Supervisorial District No. 5 is vacant.

Betsy U. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
County Clerk

By Barbara A. Rodgers
Deputy
(SEAL)

Book

22

FILED

JUN 16 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara A. Rodgers*
Deputy

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 120
(EL MACERO UNIT NO. 4)

RESOLUTION NO. 75-70

RESOLUTION OF INTENTION

RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

That it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience require and that it is the intention of this Board to order the following described work to be done and improvements to be constructed in certain places, rights of way and public roads in the County of Yolo, to wit:

That South El Macero Drive, Golf View Circle and Par Circle as shown on the plat of Subdivision #2388, El Macero Unit No. 4, recorded in the office of the Yolo County Recorder in Map Book 9 at pages 36 ~~37~~ 38 be improved by constructing thereon concrete curbs and gutters, pavement consisting of aggregate base with asphaltic concrete surfacing, drainage facilities, sanitary sewer system, street signs, water distribution system, and all necessary appurtenances for the above described improvements.

That the east one-half of Mace Boulevard between El Macero Unit One and El Macero Unit Two be improved by constructing thereon pavement consisting of aggregate base with asphaltic concrete surfacing and asphaltic concrete curbs and all necessary appurtenances.

That the County of Yolo shall contribute from funds specified by this Board the entire cost of constructing all the improvements on Mace Boulevard.

That it is the intention of this Board to designate certain lands to be an assessment district and to assess the cost of constructing said public improvement work on the lands located wholly within said district including the cost of all the incidental expenses of the proceedings which this Board shall conduct under the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913 in respect of said public improvement work and including the cost of issuing the improvement bonds that this Board described hereinafter in this Resolution.

That this Board informs all persons that certain parcels of land are located within the boundaries of the proposed

Assessment District described in this Resolution and that this Board has heretofore levied an assessment on said parcels of land for the benefits inuring to them from the public improvement work for Assessment District No. 118 (El Macero Unit No. 2).

That this Board informs all persons that the assessment parcel number of said parcel of land in the Assessment for said Assessment District No. 118 (El Macero Unit No. 2) and the amount of money now unpaid upon the principal sum that this Board so levied on said parcel of land is shown below, vis:

Assessment Parcel No.

55

Principal Amount Unpaid

\$ 13,000 -

That this Board informs all persons that it deems that the public interest and convenience require that it is necessary to include in said assessment a sum of money that is sufficient to pay in full the amount remaining unpaid on said assessments together with an amount of money that is sufficient to pay all interest and penalties then due on said assessment and all other amounts of money lawfully required to pay and discharge any bond heretofore issued to represent such assessment and to eliminate the lien of such assessment.

That this Board informs all persons that the amount of money necessary for paying, discharging, and eliminating said assessment will be assessed solely on the several parts of that assessment parcel of land that may be created therefrom in the said Assessment for the public improvement work described in this Resolution.

That in the opinion of this Board of Supervisors the public interest will not be served by allowing the property

owners to take the contract to perform the work of improvement herein described, and such property owners shall not be permitted to enter into a written contract to do said work.

That it is the opinion of this Board that said work and improvements are of more than local and ordinary public benefit and that this Board hereby orders that the costs and expenses of said work and improvements shall be charged upon a District and this Board hereby declares that said District is the District to be benefited by said work and improvements and that said District shall be assessed to pay the costs and expenses of said work and improvements.

That the exterior boundaries of said District and the extent of the territory included therein are shown upon the map of said Assessment District No. 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4) approved and adopted by this Board on June 16, 1975, 1975.

That said map is now on file in the office of the Clerk of this Board and this Board hereby refers to said map and to the Resolution approving said map for a particular description of the boundaries of said District and extent of the territory included in said District, and that this Board hereby declares that the area of all public highways, streets and roads included in said District is excepted from said District.

THAT THIS BOARD HEREBY GIVES NOTICE that serial bonds, made payable to bearer, shall be issued pursuant to Part 5, Division 7 of Streets and Highways Code (The Improvement Act of 1911) to represent unpaid assessments of \$50.00 or more,

and that said bonds will bear interest at the rate of eight percent (8%) per annum and that the last principal installment due on said bonds shall mature fourteen (14) years from the 2nd day of January next succeeding the 1st day of September following their date, and that the redemption provisions of said bonds shall provide a premium of five percent (5%) of unmatured principal if redeemed before maturity.

That this Board hereby finds and determines that if after the above public improvement work has been done and constructed the assessment herein proposed results in a surplus remaining in the improvement fund established for the proceedings herein proposed, then this Board shall dispose of said surplus in a manner that is lawful under Streets and Highways Code Section 10,427.

That this Board hereby refers the proposed construction of the public improvement work herein described to the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works of Yolo County and hereby directs him to make and file with the Clerk of this Board the Report in writing specified in Section 10,204 of the Streets and Highways Code and hereby directs him to include in said Report all the matters provided for in said Section 10,204.

On a motion by Supervisor Duncan, seconded by Supervisor Barton, the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, this 16th day of June, 1975, by the following vote, to-wit:

AYES: SUPERVISORS, Duncan, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: SUPERVISORS, None.

ABSENT: SUPERVISORS, Edmonds & Supervisorial District No. 5 is vacant.

Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By B. A. Rodgers
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 75-71

FILED

JUN 16 1975

APPROVING REPORT
OF
COUNTY SURVEYOR-DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS
PREPARED FOR PROPOSED
ASSESSMENT DISTRICT 120 (EL MACERO UNIT NO. 4)
AND
SETTING TIME AND PLACE FOR HEARING PROTESTS THEREON
AND
DESIGNATING NEWSPAPER FOR PUBLISHING NOTICES

LAURENCE P. MENIGAN, Clerk
By *Barbara Bolger*
Deputy

WHEREAS, on June 16, 1975, this Board adopted its Resolution No. 75-70, Resolution of Intention and therein directed the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works to prepare a Report under the provisions of the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913 respecting the proposed construction of certain public improvement work for a proposed Assessment District 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4) all of which improvement work is described in said Resolution, and

WHEREAS, on June 16, 1975, the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works of Yolo County acting in accordance with the direction so made to him in said Resolution duly made and filed with the Clerk of this Board the said Report, and

WHEREAS, said Report contains all the matters specified in Streets and Highways Code Section 10,204 (the applicable Section of said Municipal Improvement Act of 1913) and includes therein a proposed Assessment to be designated Assessment District 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4), and

WHEREAS, the Clerk of this Board has presented said Report to this Board and this Board has duly examined and considered the same.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the Report of the County-Surveyor-Director of Public Works of Yolo County described in the recitals of this resolution and all the parts and portions thereof including the proposed assessment contained therein is hereby preliminarily adopted, confirmed and approved.

2. That this Board hereby sets July 28, 1975 at the hour of 10:00 o'clock A.M. at the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo in the Yolo County Courthouse in the City of Woodland, California, as the time and place for hearing protests to any matters contained in said Resolution of Intention or in said Report or to the County of Yolo constructing the public improvement work described in the Report or to the County of Yolo paying in full the amount of money due on Assessment No. 55 levied by the County of Yolo in Assessment District No. 118 (El Macero Unit No. 2) or to this District assessing the cost and expenses thereof on a proposed assessment district heretofore designated Assessment District 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4), and hereby directs the Clerk of this Board to give notice of said hearing in the manner, form, and time required by the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913.

3. That this Board hereby designates THE DAILY DEMOCRAT, a newspaper published and circulated in the County of Yolo as the newspaper in which to publish all notices required to be published under the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913 for the proceedings for the proposed Assessment District 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4).

On a motion made by Supervisor Barton, seconded by Supervisor Duncan, the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, this 16th day
of June, 1975, by the following vote, to-wit:

AYES: SUPERVISORS Duncan, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: SUPERVISORS None.

ABSENT: SUPERVISORS Edmonds & Supervisorial District No. 5 is vacant.

Betty A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

COUNTY CLERK

BY: Bartholomew Rodgers
Deputy

Book

FILED

JUN 16 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara A. Rodgers*
Deputy

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT 120
(EL MACERO UNIT No. 4)

RESOLUTION NO. 75-72

DIRECTING CLERK
TO
ADVERTISE FOR BIDS

WHEREAS, the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works has duly filed the Report respecting the proposed public improvement work for Assessment District 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4), and

WHEREAS, the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works has shown in his said Report his estimate of the cost of the public improvement work that this Board proposes to order to be constructed for said Assessment District, and

WHEREAS, this Board deems that it is advisable to call for bids on said public improvement work proposed to be constructed for said Assessment District, and deems that it is desirable to receive such bids before the time set for the public hearing on said Report and on the Assessment attached thereto.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise for bids for doing the public improvement work described in the Report for Assessment District 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4).

2. That the Secretary of this Board is hereby directed to advertise for such bids in THE DAILY DEMOCRAT, a newspaper published and circulated in the County of Yolo, for the time provided in Section 6066 of the Government Code.

3. That this Board sets July 17, 1975, as the day when all such bids shall be received.

4. That this Board directs that all bids be received at the office of the Director of Public Works located at 292 West Beamer Street, California, at the hour of 2:00 P.M. on said July 17, 1975.

On a motion made by Supervisor Barton, seconded by Supervisor Duncan, the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, this 16th day of June, 1975, by the following vote, to-wit:

AYES: SUPERVISORS, Duncan, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: SUPERVISORS, None.

ABSENT: SUPERVISORS Edmonds & Supervisorial District No. 5 is vacant.

Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

COUNTY CLERK

BY: Basil A. Rodgers
Deputy

5
FILED

JUN 23 1975

LAURENCE P. MORGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 73

(Resolution Making Declaration as to Reservation of the Power to Grant Retroactive Adjustments and Modifications of Salaries and Fringe Benefits of County Officers, and Employees)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors is in the process of reviewing or meeting and conferring with its officers and employees and designated representatives of recognized employee organizations as to matters relating to employment conditions and employer-employee relations, including but not limited to wages, hours and other terms and conditions of employment; and

WHEREAS, it appears that said review and meeting and conferring upon said matters will not be complete so as to take final action thereupon which can be effective on July 1st, 1975; and

WHEREAS, it appears in the best interest of the County of Yolo to do so;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that this Board of Supervisors reserves to itself the power to take action after July 1st, 1975 concerning all matters relating to employment conditions and employer-employee relations including but not limited to wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment as to all officers and employees of the County of Yolo and to make such action retroactive to July 1st, 1975.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 23rd day of June, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Merchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 Vacant.

Baker A. Merchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 ATTEST:

2 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

3 BY *Pete C. Lucas*
4 DEPUTY

5
6 (SEAL)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32



COUNTY OF YOLO

P. O. Box 127

Woodland, California

95695

CHARLES R. MACK, COUNTY COUNSEL
WALTER I. COLBY, Chief Deputy
ROBERT A. RUNDSTROM, Deputy
Room 304 - Courthouse
Telephone: 666-8211

RECEIVED
JUN 17 1975
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By..... Deputy

June 16, 1975

FILED

JUN 23 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By..... Deputy

Honorable Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo
Courthouse
Woodland, California 95695

Dear Mrs. Marchand:

Enclosed is a resolution which reserves to the Board of Supervisors the power to take action as to wages and hours and other terms and conditions of employment and to make such action retroactive to July 1st, 1975. The enclosed resolution does not compel the Board of Supervisors to take retroactive action; however, it gives them the power to do so.

Yours truly,

CHARLES R. MACK
COUNTY COUNSEL

CRM:ewa

Encl.

cc: Erwin W. Meier
Arthur M. Heasley
Lynn Edwards
Jerry Shaddinger
Robert A. Peterson
Marion F. Baker

FILED

JUN 23 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75-74

By *Balmain Rodgers*
Deputy

(Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the County
of Yolo in Support of Assembly Bill No. 1893)

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo
has heretofore requested the introduction of Assembly Bill No. 1893
in order to make possible the operation of a water service system
by the West Sacramento Sanitary District; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill No. 1893 is enabling legislation
to enable the West Sacramento Sanitary District to provide muni-
cipal water service to the citizens of the West Sacramento
Sanitary District to provide municipal water service to the
citizens of the West Sacramento Sanitary District; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill No. 1893 would only enable the
operation of a district water service system to be paid for by
users, this Board of Supervisors declares that there are no costs
to the State, nor should any appropriation be made, nor are there
any costs to be incurred by the County of Yolo from the provisions
of Assembly Bill No. 1893 other than incidental costs such as the
collection of taxes and LAFCO proceedings similar to other
independent districts in the County of Yolo; and

WHEREAS this Board of Supervisors requests that the State
of California not make an appropriation in connection with AB 1893;
and

WHEREAS, this request should not hinder in any way the
application in the future by the West Sacramento Sanitary District
for Federal or State grants, subject to the rules and regulations
uniformly applicable to all appropriate agencies requesting such
grants.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board of
Supervisors (1) declares its support of Assembly Bill No. 1893
without an appropriation by the State of California, (2) agrees
to assume full and complete responsibility for any and all such

1 incidental costs for said district, (3) specifically waives any
2 right to seek State reimbursement therefor, and (4) understands
3 that this Resolution shall not deny the right of the West Sacra-
4 mento Sanitary District to make application for Federal and State
5 grants or hinder the approval of such grants. .

6 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
7 County of Yolo, State of California, on the 23rd day of June,
8 1975, by the following vote:

9 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

10 NOES: None.

11 ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 position is vacant.

12
13 COUNTY OF YOLO, a political sub-
14 division of the State of California

15 BY Baker A. Marchand
16 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

17 ATTEST:

18 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

19 BY Barbara A. Rodgers
20 DEPUTY

FILED

JUN 2 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Balmain Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-75

Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2448
Dexter Estate

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2448 has been approved by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors through normal appeal procedures, pursuant to Section 66452.5 of the Government Code; and

WHEREAS, said map has been signed by all necessary parties; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of four parcels in an area designated as Agricultural Preserve Zone on the Yolo County General Plan; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local Ordinances;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board does approve said Parcel Map No. 2448 as submitted.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 23rd day of June 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 is vacant.

Billy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Balmain Rodgers, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

FILED

JUN 23 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clark

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-76

Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2406
Lots 1, 3 & 4 of Subdivision No. 2155

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2406 has been approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission, and

WHEREAS, the final Parcel Map No. 2406 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, said map has been signed by all necessary parties;
and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of four parcels in an area designated for a planned development area on the Yolo County General Plan and is, therefore, found consistent with said plans; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board does approve said Parcel Map No. 2406 as submitted.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 23rd day of June
1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 15 is vacant.

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Paula S. Rodgers, DEPUTY
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

JUN 30 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By: *Baldwin Bolger*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-77

(Establishing fees for disposal of waste materials at the Yolo County Solid Waste Disposal Site)

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Central Landfill Solid Waste Disposal Site will begin accepting waste materials for disposal during the month of July, 1975; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to establish fees for disposal of waste materials at said site,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. The following definitions shall apply to all vehicles entering the site for disposal of refuse:

A. Commercial Trucks:

All trucks and/or truck and trailer combinations with load capacities of one ton or more per vehicle.

B. Autos:

All automobiles and station wagons.

C. Pickups:

All trucks of 3/4 ton load capacity and less.

D. Small trailers:

All trailers of 8 feet in length or less.

E. Racks:

Sideboards on pickups or small trailers exceeding a dimension of three feet above the bed of the pickup or small trailer.

F. Bulky Wastes:

Large items of solid waste including appliances furniture, large auto parts, trees, branches, stumps and other oversize wastes whose large size precludes or complicates their handling by normal collection, processing or disposal methods.

2. The following Fee Schedule shall apply to the disposal of all wastes at the Disposal Site:

Commercial Trucks	\$2.25 per ton
Autos	0.50 each
Small Trailers	1.00 each
Pickups	1.00 each
Small Trailers or Pickups with racks or loads greater than 3 feet in height above the bed	1.50 each

1	Bulky Wastes	3.50 per ton
2	Auto Tires	0.20 each
3	Truck Tires	0.40 each
4	Bulk Tires	25.00 per ton
5	Dirt, gravel, etc.	0.50 per ton
6	Septic, Cannery & Similar Liquid Wastes	3.00 per ton
7	Separated Recoverable Materials	No Charge
8	Minimum fee for weighed materials	1.50
9	Special Services	At cost as determined by the Dept. of Public Works, of the County of
10	PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this <u>30th</u> day of <u>June</u> , 1975 by the following vote:	

11
12
13 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

14 NOES: None.

15 ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 position is vacant.

16
17 *Bob A. Marchand*
18 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
19 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

20 ATTEST:

21 Laurence P. Henigan, Clerk

22
23 BY *Balraa Rodgers*
24 Deputy

25 (Seal)
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Book

FILED 16

JUN 30 1978

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-78

(Pavement Marker Program)

WHEREAS an agreement number 75-91 (Local Agency/State Master Agreement No. 1) between the State of California and the County of Yolo has been executed on March 24, 1975;

AND WHEREAS the State of California, Department of Transportation has presented program supplement numbers 3 and 4 to said Master Agreement;

AND WHEREAS existing markings are required to be revised in order to conform to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices;

AND WHEREAS Resolution No. 74-163 was adopted December 9, 1974 approving the concept of a single multi-county contract to place pavement markers;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute said "Program Supplement Numbers 3 & 4" on behalf of the County of Yolo:

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of June 1975, etc.

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 position is vacant.

Boyd A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

By Barbara Rodgers Deputy
Seal

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
[Signature]
County Counsel

County of 26
LOCAL AGENCY Yolo
DATE June 3, 1975
SUPPLEMENT NO. 3
TO LOCAL AGENCY - STATE
AGREEMENT NO. 1

PROGRAM
of
LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
in the

County of Yolo
Local Agency

Pursuant to the Federal Highway Safety Act, the attached "Program" of Federal Aid Projects marked "Exhibit B" is hereby incorporated in that Master Agreement for the Federal-Aid Program which was entered into between the above named LOCAL AGENCY and the STATE on 3-24-75, and is subject to all of the terms and conditions thereof.

The subject program is adopted in accordance with Paragraph 2 of Article II of the aforementioned agreement under authority of ~~City~~/County Resolution No. 75-78 approved by the ~~City~~ Council/Board of Supervisors on June 30, 1975 (see copy attached).

County of Yolo

Local Agency

By Betsy A. Marchand
Title

Attest: LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
Clerk

By Barbara A. Bolger
Secretary

Approved for State

HEINZ HECKEROTH
Assistant Director, Highways

By [Signature]
Chief, Office of Local Assistance

Department of Transportation

Form DH-OLA-431 (5-75)

PROGRAM

EXHIBIT B

of

LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

DATE: June 3, 1975

PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT NO.: 3

LOCAL AGENCY: Co. of Yolo

Project No.	Location & Description	Total Cost	Federal Funds	Matching Funds*
PMS-000S(72)	In the County of Yolo at various locations Upgrade pavement markings to conform to 1971 MUTCD	\$10,076.00	\$10,076.00	None
*Local Agency Funds unless otherwise specified				

- Special Covenants or Remarks-
1. All maintenance involving the physical condition and the operation of the improvements, referred to in Article VI MAINTENANCE of the aforementioned Master Agreement will be performed by the County of Yolo at regular intervals, as required for efficient operation of the completed improvements, and in a manner satisfactory to the authorized representatives of The United States.
 2. The County of Yolo will administer the project.

County of
LOCAL AGENCY Yolo
DATE June 3, 1975
SUPPLEMENT NO. 3
TO LOCAL AGENCY - STATE
AGREEMENT NO. 1

PROGRAM
of
LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
in the

County of Yolo
Local Agency

Pursuant to the Federal Highway Safety Act, the attached "Program" of Federal Aid Projects marked "Exhibit B" is hereby incorporated in that Master Agreement for the Federal-Aid Program which was entered into between the above named LOCAL AGENCY and the STATE on 3-24-75, and is subject to all of the terms and conditions thereof.

The subject program is adopted in accordance with Paragraph 2 of Article II of the aforementioned agreement under authority of ~~City~~/County Resolution No. _____ approved by the ~~City~~ Council/Board of Supervisors on _____ (see copy attached).

County of Yolo
Local Agency

By Betsy A. Marchand
Title

Attest: _____
Clerk

Approved for State

HEINZ HECKEROTH
Assistant Director, Highways

By [Signature]
Chief, Office of Local Assistance

Department of Transportation

Form DH-OLA-431 (5-75)

PROGRAM

EXHIBIT B

of

LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

DATE: June 3, 1975

PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT NO.: 3

LOCAL AGENCY: Co. of Yolo

Project No.	Location & Description	Total Cost	Federal Funds	Matching Funds*
PMS-000S(72)	In the County of Yolo at various locations Upgrade pavement markings to conform to 1971 MUTCD	\$10,076.00	\$10,076.00	None

*Local Agency Funds unless
otherwise specified

- Special Covenants or Remarks: 1. All maintenance, involving the physical condition and the operation of the improvements, referred to in Article VI MAINTENANCE of the aforementioned Master Agreement will be performed by the County of Yolo at regular intervals, as required for efficient operation of the completed improvements, and in a manner satisfactory to the authorized representatives of The United States.
2. The County of Yolo will administer the project.

LOCAL AGENCY County of Yolo
DATE June 9, 1975
SUPPLEMENT NO. 4
TO LOCAL AGENCY - STATE
AGREEMENT NO. 1

PROGRAM
of
LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
in the

County of Yolo
Local Agency

Pursuant to the Federal Highway Safety Act, the attached "Program" of Federal Aid Projects marked "Exhibit B" is hereby incorporated in that Master Agreement for the Federal-Aid Program which was entered into between the above named LOCAL AGENCY and the STATE on March 24, 1975, and is subject to all of the terms and conditions thereof.

The subject program is adopted in accordance with Paragraph 2 of Article II of the aforementioned agreement under authority of ~~City/County~~ Resolution No. _____ approved by the ~~City~~ Council/Board of Supervisors on _____ (see copy attached).

County of Yolo

Local Agency

By _____

Title

Attest: _____

Clerk

Approved for State

HEINZ HECKEROTH
Assistant Director, Highways

By _____

Chief, Office of Local Assistance

Department of Transportation

Form DH-OLA-431 (5-75)

PROGRAM

EXHIBIT B

of

LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

DATE: June 9, 1975

PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT NO.: 4

LOCAL AGENCY: County of Yolo

Project No.	Location & Description	Total Cost (Est)	Federal Funds	Matching Funds*
PMS-000S (61)	In the County of Yolo at various locations Place pavement markers by single, multi-county contract.	\$51,400.00	\$51,400.00	None

*Local Agency Funds unless
otherwise specified

- Special Covenants or Remarks
1. All maintenance, involving the physical condition and the operation of the improvements, referred to in Article VI MAINTENANCE of the aforementioned Master Agreement will be performed by the County of Yolo at regular intervals, as required for efficient operation of the completed improvements, and in a manner satisfactory to the authorized representatives of The United States.
 2. The State will administer the project.

LOCAL AGENCY County of Yolo
DATE June 9, 1975
SUPPLEMENT NO. 4
TO LOCAL AGENCY - STATE
AGREEMENT NO. 1

PROGRAM
of
LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
in the

County of Yolo
Local Agency

Pursuant to the Federal Highway Safety Act, the attached "Program" of Federal Aid Projects marked "Exhibit B" is hereby incorporated in that Master Agreement for the Federal-Aid Program which was entered into between the above named LOCAL AGENCY and the STATE on March 24, 1975, and is subject to all of the terms and conditions thereof.

The subject program is adopted in accordance with Paragraph 2 of Article II of the aforementioned agreement under authority of ~~City~~/County Resolution No. _____ approved by the ~~City~~ Council/Board of Supervisors on _____ (see copy attached).

County of Yolo

Local Agency

By B. F. A. Marchand
Title _____

Attest: _____
Clerk

Approved for State

HEINZ HECKEROTH
Assistant Director, Highways

By [Signature]
Chief, Office of Local Assistance

Department of Transportation

Form DH-OLA-431 (5-75)

PROGRAM

EXHIBIT B

of

LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

DATE: June 9, 1975

PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT NO.: 4

LOCAL AGENCY: County of Yolo

Project No.	Location & Description	Total Cost (Est)	Federal Funds	Matching Funds*
PMS-000S (61)	In the County of Yolo at various locations Place pavement markers by single, multi-county contract.	\$51,400.00	\$51,400.00	None

*Local Agency Funds unless
otherwise specified

- Special Covenants or Remarks-
1. All maintenance involving the physical condition and the operation of the improvements, referred to in Article VI MAINTENANCE of the aforementioned Master Agreement will be performed by the County of Yolo at regular intervals, as required for efficient operation of the completed improvements, and in a manner satisfactory to the authorized representatives of The United States.
 2. The State will administer the project.

36

COUNTY OF YOLO
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
WORK ORDER

Date 6/30/75

Project Various Pavement Striping Corrections

Project No. 4264

(formerly 3562)

Location Yolo County

Description of Work Upgrade pavement markings to conform to 1971 manual on
uniform traffic control devices.

Remarks Portions of: FAS - CR 102, CR 98, CR 31, CR 93A,
CR 32, CR 13, S. Riv. Rd., Clerksburg Rd., CR 85, CR 8,
CR 86, CR 6, SELECT - CR 89, S. Riv. Rd., Linden Rd.,
CR 29, CR 95, CR 95A, CR 28H(local), CR 99W, CR 21A, CR 20A, CR 85B, CR 22.

Labor \$5,283
O.H. 2,113
EQ. 680
Mat. 1,100
ST \$9,176
Cont. 900

Work to be performed by:

☒ County Forces

☐ Contract

☐ Other

COST ESTIMATE

Estimated By: Gerald N. Gibson

Construction Cost \$ 10,076.00

Date: April 30, 1975

Preliminary Engineering See Labor

(Detail Estimate Attached: Yes ☐ No ☐)

Construction Engineering " "

R/No and Acquisition _____

Total \$ 10,076.00

Person in Charge _____

Title: _____

Work approved by Board _____ 19 _____

By Minute Order No. _____

Approved By _____

Public Works Director

COMPLETION REPORT

I certify that construction was started on _____ and completed

on _____

Person in Charge _____

Title: _____

BK

FILED

JUN 30 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Edna M. Rodriguez*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-79

(Resolution requesting Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission's approval of annual transportation claim of Local Transportation Fund)

WHEREAS, Section 99260 of the Public Utilities Code required the local agency to file an estimated claim of transportation financial needs for the fiscal year 1975/76;

AND WHEREAS, said Transportation Development Act requires the establishment of a LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND and designation of a TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY;

AND WHEREAS, the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission is designated as the TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY for the County of Yolo to receive and consider such claims for approval pursuant to rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary of the Business and Transportation Agency;


AND WHEREAS, it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo to maintain the present level of bus transit services as a minimum level of service in the East Yolo area for the fiscal year 1975/76;

AND WHEREAS, it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo to expand the level of services in other portions of the County;

NOW THEREFOR, BE IT RESOLVED that the attached "Annual Project and Financial Plan" be hereby approved and that the Director of Public Works be authorized to execute the attached claim and instructed to forward said claim to the designated Transportation Planning Agency:


PASSED AND ADOPTED this 30th day of June, 1975 by the Board of Supervisors, County of Yolo, State of California by the following vote;

1 AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
2 NOES: None.
3 ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 position is vacant.

4
5 
6 CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
7 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

8 ATTEST:

9 Laurence P. Henigan, Clerk

10  Deputy
(Seal)

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

ANNUAL TRANSPORTATION CLAIM

TO: Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission
1225 8th Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

FROM: Applicant: County of Yolo, Dept of Public Works

Address: 292 West Beamer Street

City: Woodland, California

Zip: 95695

Contact Person: Lloyd H. Roberts

Phone: 666-8428

The County of Yolo hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 as amended and applicable rules and regulations that its annual transportation claim be approved in the amount of \$ 307,748 for fiscal year 1975/76, to be drawn from the local transportation fund of the County of Yolo.

When approved, please transmit this claim to the County Auditor of the County of Yolo, for payment. Approval of the claim and payment by the County Auditor to this applicant is subject to such monies being on hand and available for distribution, and to the provisions that such monies will be used only in accordance with the terms of the approved annual financial plan.

APPROVED:

Sacramento Regional Area
Planning Commission

County of Yolo

Dept. of Public Works

APPLICANT

By _____

Title _____

Date _____ 19__

By Lloyd H. Roberts

Title Director of Public Works

Date 6/30/ 19 75

ANNUAL PROJECT AND FINANCIAL PLAN
(use additional forms as necessary)

Briefly describe all proposed projects and indicate proposed expenditures of your jurisdiction for the ensuing fiscal year for right-of-way acquisition and construction of local streets and roads, including facilities provided for the exclusive use by pedestrians and bicycles. Give each project a title and number in sequence.

Project Title and Brief Description	Is this a major new facility? Yes or No	Project Cost	Sources of Funding
# <u>1</u> Agrm't with Regional Transit for Woodland, Davis, Sacto. service.	Yes	\$ 75,310	SB 325
# <u>2</u> Agrm't with Regional Transit for present level East Yolo Serv.	No	59,171	SB 325
# <u>3</u> Agrm't with Regional Transit for Clarksburg to Sacramento Line	No	3,488	SB 325
# <u>4</u> Yolo County Mini Tran	No	29,186 168,167	SB 325

Project Title and Brief Description	Is this a major new facility? Yes or No	Project Cost	Sources of Funding
# <u>5</u> Bike Paths	Yes	75,000	SB 325
# <u>6</u> C.R. 31 - 97 to 96		115,570 59,430	Other SB 325
# <u>7</u> Total		301,593	SB 325

a. Applicants Total Proposed Expenditures \$ 2,496,804

b. ~~115 of (e) for SRAPC Transportation Planning~~ \$ 6,155

b. Annual Transportation Claim shall include
b and c and not exceed finding of apportion-
ment made by SRAPC. \$ 307,748

c. % of Claim (b) of Total (a) 12 %

d. If Claim is more than 50% of total proposed
expenditures (a) please justify: NA

Briefly describe the status of current fiscal year annual transportation claim including: a) project progress to date; and b) income and expenditures to date. In addition, provide a projection of a and b above to July 1.

A) Near Complete or under contract
 B) Income - 309,431
 Expenditure 309,431
 C) Projection to 7/1/75: 95% complete
 Income - (See B)
 Expenditures - (See B)

CERTIFIED:

By Lloyd H. Roberts
 Title Director of Public Works
 Date June 30, 19 75

STATEMENT OF CONFORMANCE

The County of Yolo hereby certifies that
(APPLICANT)
the Annual Transportation Claim for fiscal year 75/76 in
the amount of \$ 307,748 conforms with the requirements
of Article 8, Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 as amended and applicable
rules and regulations.

CERTIFIED:

By Lloyd H. Roberts
Lloyd H. Roberts
Title Director of Public Works
Date June 30 19 75

Book

FILED

JUN 30 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara A. Rodgers Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-80

(Resolution Authorizing Participation in the Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Program and Authorizing Execution of Contract with the Department of the California Highway Patrol)

WHEREAS, California Vehicle Code Sections 9250.7 and 22710 provide for an Abandoned Vehicle Trust Fund and a method of disbursement of funds to local agencies that participate in the Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Program; and

WHEREAS, The County of Yolo, State of California, has adopted an ordinance in accordance with the California Vehicle Code providing for the abatement of abandoned vehicles; and

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo, State of California desires to participate in the Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Program and share in the moneys in said Abandoned Vehicle Trust Fund;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County of Yolo participate in the Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Program during the fiscal year 1975-1976 and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized to execute the contract with the Department of the California Highway Patrol for participation in said Abandoned Vehicle Program.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of June, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 position is vacant.

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BY Barbara A. Rodgers

DEPUTY

(SEAL)

AK

FILED

JUL - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By *[Signature]* Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 81

(Resolution Fixing an Ad Valorem Rate of Assessment for the Dunnigan Water District)

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo met as a Board of Equalization this 30th day of June, 1975, for the purpose of hearing all objections presented to it regarding the correctness of any assessment concerning the Dunnigan Water District; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors this date heard all objections presented and all testimony relevant to said objections; and

WHEREAS, the District Assessor before the hearing was closed added the total values as determined by this Board, determined the gross assessed valuation of all the land, and made a statement thereof; and

WHEREAS, §§ 36605 through 36607 of the Water Code of the State of California have been fully complied with,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the Board of Supervisors acting as a Board of Equalization fix an ad valorem rate of assessment upon each \$100.00 in value of the land assessed in the Dunnigan Water District in the amount of \$0.44 cents. This ad valorem assessment rate being a rate sufficient to raise the amount specified in the annual estimate of the sum required to discharge all of its obligations.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of June, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 position is vacant.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JUL 7 1975

Edmunds, Barton, Marchand

July 7, 1975

Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand

July 7, 1975

1 ATTEST:

2 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

3 BY Pete E. Lucas
4 DEPUTY

5 (SEAL)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

JUL - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

BARBARA A. RODGERS, Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75- 82

(Resolution of Intention to Establish
County Service Area No. 6 (Snowball))

WHEREAS, pursuant to Title 3, Division 2, Part 2, Chapter 2.2 of the Government Code of the State of California, a request for institution of proceedings for the establishment of a county service area was presented to this Board; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 25210.13 of the Government Code, said request was approved by the Yolo County Local Agency Formation Commission on March 11, 1975; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 25210.11 and in consideration of said request this Board of Supervisors wishes to initiate proceedings for the establishment of a county service area;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND:

1. That a county service area is proposed to be established under the terms of the aforesaid chapter in the unincorporated area of Yolo County; the boundary lines of the territories proposed for inclusion in the area are as set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

2. That the name proposed for said county service area is: COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 6 (SNOWBALL).

3. That the type of extended county services proposed to be provided in this area consist of miscellaneous extended services, pursuant to Section 25210.4 of the Government Code, including repair, operation, control and maintenance of the levees within the boundaries of the district, including those services currently rendered, and those levees currently maintained by Levee Maintenance Area No. 6.

4. Said services are specified in the Petition for the Initiation of these proceedings, and this Board finds that it is necessary, appropriate and economically feasible that said

1 services be provided by the proposed county service area.

2 5. Except where funds are otherwise available from
3 service charges collected pursuant to Section 75210.77(a), a tax
4 sufficient to pay for all such services will be annually levied
5 upon all taxable property within said area.

6 6. That current governmental services rendered in the
7 area are rendered by Reclamation District No. 787, Reclamation
8 District No. 730, and Knights Landing County Service Area for
9 water and power only.

10 7. That on the 18th day of August, 1975, at
11 11:00 o'clock A. M., a public hearing will be held in the
12 Board of Supervisors' Chambers, Yolo County Courthouse, on the
13 establishment of said area.

14 8. The Clerk of the Board is directed to publish notice
15 of said public hearing pursuant to Government Code Section 6061
16 in The Daily Democrat, a newspaper of general circula-
17 tion published within the County.

18 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
19 County of Yolo, State of California, this 7th day of July,
20 1975, by the following vote:

21 AYES: **Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.**

22 NOES: **None.**

23 ABSENT: **Supervisory District No. 5 position is vacant.**

24

25

BETSY A. MARCHAND

26

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

27

28

29 ATTEST:

30 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

31

BARBARA A. RODGERS

32

BY _____ DEPUTY

(SEAL)

"EXHIBIT A"

DISTRICT LEGAL DESCRIPTION

All That Real Property, Situate in The County of Yolo, State of California, Formerly Designated As Maintenance Area No. 6, Being Portions of Township 11 North Range 2 East and Township 11 North Range 3 East M.D.B. & M. and More Particularly Described As Follows:

Beginning At The Intersection Of The South Line Of Section 36 Township 11 North, Range 2 East and The East Line of The Knights Landing Ridge Cut; Thence Northwesterly Up and Along Said East Line To The South Easterly Line of Sycamore Slough; Thence North Easterly Along Said Sycamore Slough To The Intersection Thereof With The Southerly Line of The Sacramento River; Thence Southeasterly Down and Along The Meanders of Said Sacramento River To The Intersection Thereof With That Portion Known As Grays Bend, Said Intersection Being The Alignment of Said River As it Existed in The Year 1908; Thence Southwesterly Along Said Grays Bend (Old Sacramento River) To The Intersection Thereof With The South Line of Section 31, Township 11 North, Range 3 East; Thence Along Said South Line Westerly To The Point of Beginning, and Containing 2,331 Acres More or Less.

FILED

JUL - 7 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Paul M. Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-83

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo has traffic signals installed at the intersections of Jefferson Boulevard and West Capitol Avenue, at Merkley Avenue and Jefferson Boulevard, at West Capitol Avenue and Westacre Road, and at West Capitol Avenue and Harbor Boulevard.

WHEREAS, the County does not have adequate personnel or equipment for the maintenance of such traffic signals:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the State of California, Department of Transportation, be requested to maintain said signal system at an estimated cost of \$3,500 for the 1975-76 fiscal year.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following "Save Harmless" provision is approved by the Board in connection with such maintenance.

"It is expressly understood and agreed by the County that the State of California and its officers and its employees shall not be answerable or accountable in any manner for injuries to or the death of persons or for any damage to property resulting from the operation of or the failure to maintain the traffic signals.

The County agrees to indemnify and save harmless the State of California and its officers and employees from all suits, actions or claims of any characters brought as a consequence of the operation of, or the failure to maintain the traffic signals."

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Director of Public Works be authorized to deposit sufficient funds not to exceed \$3,500 with the Department of Transportation for the maintenance of said signals during the 1975-76 fiscal year.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors, County of Yolo, State of California, on the 7th day of July, 1975, by the following vote:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 position is vacant.

B. A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of California

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

By Laurence P. Henigan

(Seal)

APPROVED TO FORM

[Signature]
County Counsel of Yolo County

Book
FILED

JUL 22 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Balmain Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-84
(Authorizing a 4-Way Stop at
County Road No. 31 and County Road No. 98)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354, and 21355 of the
Vehicle Code of the State of California authorizes the designa-
tion of any intersection as a stop intersection requiring all
vehicles to stop at one or more entrances to said intersection.

WHEREAS, stop signs are presently located facing eastbound
and westbound traffic on Road 31 at its intersection with Road 98.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the
intersection of Road 98 and Road 31 is hereby designated a four
way stop intersection and all traffic is hereby required to
stop at the entrance to said intersection, and that the Director
of Public Works is hereby directed to install stop signs on
Road 98 facing northbound and southbound traffic at its inter-
section with Road 31, establishing said intersection as a four-
way stop.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 17th day of
July, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Supervisorial District No. 5 Position is vacant.

B. A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

By Balmain Rodgers Deputy

(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[Signature] County Counsel

7-22-75

Back

FILED

AUG 4 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By.....BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT 120
(El Macero Unit No. 4)

RESOLUTION NO. 75-85

APPROVING REPORT, CONFIRMING ASSESSMENT
ORDERING ASSESSMENT RECORDED
ORDERING IMPROVEMENTS TO BE CONSTRUCTED
AND
DESIGNATING TREASURER TO COLLECT AND TO RECEIVE
MONEY

WHEREAS, on June 16, 1975, this Board adopted its Resolution No. 75-70 Resolution of Intention, and declared therein its intention to construct certain public improvement work on designated public streets and easements in the County of Yolo under the provisions of the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913, and

WHEREAS, on June 16, 1975, in said Resolution No. 75-70 this Board directed the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works to make a report to this Board in the form, style and manner required by said Act, and

WHEREAS, on June 16, 1975, said County Surveyor-Director of Public Works did make a report and did file the same with the Clerk of the Board and

WHEREAS, on June 16, 1975, this Board duly considered said Report and then adopted Resolution No. 75-71 entitled in part "Approving Report of County Surveyor-Director of Public Works Prepared for Proposed Assessment District 120 (El Macero Unit No. 4)" and thereby preliminarily approved said report, and on that date set this day, July 28, 1975,

as the date for a public hearing on all matters contained in said Resolution of Intention and in said Report and on the matter of the County of Yolo constructing the public improvement work described in said Resolution and in said Report and on the matter of the extent of the proposed Assessment District described in said Report and on the matter of the proposed assessment set forth in said Report, and

WHEREAS, on June 16, 1975, this Board ordered the Clerk of the Board to give notice of said hearing by posting, publishing and mailing all as required under said act, and

WHEREAS, on this date the Clerk of the Board has shown the affidavit of his deputy filed with this Board that he caused notices of the said hearing to be posted, published and mailed all in the time and form required by said Act, and

WHEREAS, this day is the day regularly set for hearing any person who desires to object to any matters contained in said Resolution of Intention or to the County of Yolo constructing the public improvement work described in said Report or for hearing any person who desires to object to the extent of the proposed Assessment District described in said Report or to any matter respecting the Assessment contained in said Report, and

WHEREAS, no person has filed any protest respecting said Resolution of Intention or to said report or to the extent of the proposed Assessment District described in said Report or to the Assessment contained therein or to the County of Yolo constructing the improvements described in said Report,

NOW THEREFORE RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

1. That this Board finds that each of the recitals of this Resolution is true.

2. That this Board finds and determines that the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works' estimate of the cost of constructing and doing the new work described in said Report which is not installed in place was the sum of \$322,860.00 based upon the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works' estimated quantities and the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works' estimated unit prices.

That this Board finds and determines that the clerk has advertised for bids on said new work and that the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works has advised this Board that the cost of the new work based upon the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works' estimated quantities and the successful contractor's unit prices is the sum of \$ 293,849.20.

That this Board hereby finds and determines that because the successful contractor's bid for the new work described in the Report is lower than the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works' estimate for said work and for other reasons that the Report and Assessment should be revised in the following particulars:

- a. Revise the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works' estimate in the report to show the contractor's bid price for each item of work and to show the new "Total Estimated Construction Cost" derived from such bid prices.
- b. Revise the items of Incidental Expenses in the report that are computed as percentages of the bid price.

- c. Revise the page in the Report to show the total amount of money to be assessed which results from the bid price.
- d. Revise the assessment pages to show the contractor's unit prices and to show the changes in the incidental expenses and in the total amount to be assessed which result from said prices.
- e. Revise the amount of money assessed on each subdivision of land described in the Assessment to show the benefits from the new work to each such subdivision that result from the contractor's bid prices for the new work being lower than the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works' estimate of prices for said new work.
- f. Reduce the amount shown for preliminary Engineering from \$10,000.00 to \$1,000.00 and increase the amount shown for servicing bonds from \$3,000.00 to \$4,275.00.

That this Board finds and determines that the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works has revised the Report and Assessment in each of the particulars above stated all to the satisfaction of this Board and hereby declares that its action on such Report and Assessment is that the Report and Assessment as so revised should be confirmed with no other changes or revisions except those stated above in this Resolution and this Board therefore hereby approves the Report that was filed with this Board by the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works as so revised and hereby confirms the assessment contained in said Report as so revised.

3. That this Board hereby orders the Clerk of the Board to transmit to the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works of the County of Yolo the Assessment and Diagram contained in the Report hereinafter referred to and hereby directs said County Surveyor-Director of Public Works to

record said Assessment and Diagram in his office and to give notice of the filing thereof by publishing and mailing as provided by law, and to record the notice required by law in the office of the Recorder of the County of Yolo showing that said assessment and diagram have been recorded in his office.

4. That this Board hereby orders that the public improvement work shall be constructed and done that this Board declared its intention to construct and do in Resolution No. 75-70, Resolution of Intention, adopted June 16, 1975, and this Board hereby determines that said work is also the work that the County Surveyor-Director of Public Works described in his Report to this Board dated June 16, 1975, as work to be constructed and done.

5. That this Board hereby orders that the Treasurer of the County of Yolo shall collect and receive the money from property owners on account of said Assessment.

6. That the Report hereinabove referred to is that certain report approved June 16, 1975, by this Board in its Resolution No. 75-71 and that bears the identifying legend as follows:

"Report Respecting Proposed
Assessment District 120
(El Macero Unit No. 4)

Municipal Improvement Act of 1913"

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY
OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, This 28th day of July, 1975,
by the following vote, to-wit:

AYES: SUPERVISORS Duncan, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: SUPERVISORS None.

ABSENT: SUPERVISORS Edmonds and Supervisorial District No. 5
position is vacant.

BETSY A. MARCHAND
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Attest:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
COUNTY CLERK

BY: BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

(SEAL)

FILED

AUG - 5 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara A. Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-86

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ABANDON

WHEREAS, a petition has been filed for abandonment of the hereinafter described easements under the provisions of Section 50430 - 50445 of the Government Code of the State of California.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board fixes the 2nd day of September 1975, at the hour of 10:00 A.M. in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, Yolo County, Courthouse, Woodland, California, as the time and place fixed for a hearing on the abandonment of the hereinafter described property.

See Exhibit "A" Attached Hereto Consisting of Seven Pages.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of the Board shall be instructed to publish and post notice pursuant to Section 50440 and 50441 of the Government Code.

PASSED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on this 28th day of July, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds and Supervisorial District No. 5 position is vacant,

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

By Barbara A. Rodgers DEPUTY

APPROVED AS TO FORM

County Counsel

8-5-75

certainty, and a reference to a map or plan on file in the office of the clerk.

(c) The time and place for hearing all persons interested in the proposed vacation. The time shall not be less than 15 days from the passage of the ordinance or resolution.

Added Stats 1949 ch 81 § 1; Amended Stats 1957 ch 875 § 1.

Prior Law: Based on Stats 1943 ch 774 § 17 1st, 2d sents p 2554.

Amendments:

1957 Amendment: Added "or resolution" after "ordinance" wherever it appears.

Collateral References:

Cal Jur 2d Easements § 40.

McKinney's Cal Dig Easements § 46.

25 Am Jur 2d Easements and Licenses §§ 101 et seq.

§ 50439. Petition to vacate easement

Five freeholders, one a resident of the township in which the easement proposed to be abandoned is situated, may petition the board of supervisors to vacate all or part of an easement.

Added Stats 1949 ch 81 § 1.

Prior Law: Based on Stats 1943 ch 774 § 32 p 2555.

Collateral References:

Cal Jur 2d Easements § 40.

McKinney's Cal Dig Easements § 46.

25 Am Jur 2d Easements and Licenses § 101.

SUGGESTED FORM

Petition To Vacate Easement

To the Board of Supervisors of _____ County:

We the undersigned freeholders, at least one of whom is a resident of the Township of _____ respectfully present this petition to vacate _____ [all or part] of the following easement: _____ [describe easement].

Petitioners respectfully show that said easement is unnecessary for present or prospective public use in that: _____ [state facts].

Wherefore, petitioners request that the Board of Supervisors fix a day for hearing this petition as prescribed by law.

	Name	Address	City	Date
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____

§ 50440. Hearing: Fixing day and giving notice: Publication

Upon the making of a resolution of intention to vacate all or part of

an easement or the filing of a petition, the board of supervisors, by order, shall fix a day for hearing the resolution or petition and shall give notice to all persons of the time and place fixed for the hearing. The notice shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation published in the county and designated in the order for at least two successive weeks prior to the hearing.

Added Stats 1949 ch 81 § 1.

Prior Law: Based on Stats 1943 ch 774 § 33 1st, 2d sents p 2555.

Collateral References:

Cal Jur 2d Easements § 40.

McKinney's Cal Dig Easements § 46.

25 Am Jur 2d Easements and Licenses § 101.

§ 50441. Notices of easement vacation: Posting: Contents of notice

The legislative body of the local agency shall also post conspicuously notices of easement vacation along the line of the easement proposed to be vacated. The notices shall be posted not more than three hundred feet apart but at least three notices shall be posted. The notices shall state the time and place of hearing and the filing of the petition or the adoption of the ordinance or resolution of intention. In the case of a county, the notice shall also describe with common certainty the easement proposed to be vacated.

Added Stats 1949 ch 81 § 1.

Prior Law: Based on Stats 1943 ch 774 §§ 17 3d-5th sents, 33 3d-5th sents pp 2554, 2555.

Collateral References:

Cal Jur 2d Easements § 40.

McKinney's Cal Dig Easements § 46.

25 Am Jur 2d Easements and Licenses § 101.

§ 50442. Hearing evidence: Finding and order

On the day fixed for the hearing, the legislative body shall hear the evidence offered by persons interested. If it finds, from all the evidence submitted, that an easement or part of an easement, described in the petition or the ordinance or resolution of intention is unnecessary for present or prospective public use, it may make its order vacating all or part of the easement.

Added Stats 1949 ch 81 § 1.

Prior Law: Based on Stats 1943 ch 774 §§ 18, 34 pp 2555, 2556.

Collateral References:

Cal Jur 2d Easements § 40.

McKinney's Cal Dig Easements § 46.

25 Am Jur 2d Easements and Licenses § 101.

YOLO ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, INC.

WOODLAND PH. 662-4360 • DAVIS PH. 753-2515 • WEST SACTO PH. 371-7078

Post Office Box 1364 1216 Foothill Avenue
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA
95695

Exhibit "A"


January 20, 1974

DESCRIPTION OF DRAINAGE EASEMENT TO BE ABANDONED
BY COUNTY OF YOLO

(Deeded to County August 11, 1969, Vol. 918 O. R. Page 499)

A strip of land for drainage easement being a portion of Parcel B as shown on the map recorded in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys at Page 59 in the Office of the County Recorder of Yolo County, California, and being a portion of Section 18, T. 8 N., R. 3 E., M. D. B. & M., more fully described as follows:

A strip of land 60 feet wide, the centerline of which is described as follows: Beginning at a point on the centerline of South El Macero Drive that is distant N. 0° 22' 00" E. 30.00 feet from the Northeast corner of Lot 191, Subdivision No. 1086, also known as El Macero Unit No. 2, as same appears of record in Map Book 7, Pages 26 and 27, Yolo County Records; thence S. 89° 38' 00" E. 1,500 feet, the end of the herein described line.


G. E. Moeller R. C. E. 6733

YOLO ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, INC.

WOODLAND PH. 862-8346 • DAVIS PH. 754-2515 • WEST SACO PH. 371-7076

Post Office Box 1304 - 1216 Fortia Avenue
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA
95685

Exhibit "A"

January 20, 1975

DESCRIPTION OF SAN SEWER EASEMENT TO BE ABANDONED
BY COUNTY OF YOLO

(Deeded to County April 10, 1963, Vol. 709 O.R. Page 448 & Vol. 709, Page 450)

All that portion of Parcel A and Parcel B, as said parcels are shown and so designated on that certain Record of Survey, recorded in the office of the Recorder of Yolo County in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys, Page 59, described as follows:

A strip of land seven and one-half (7.50) feet in width, measured at right angles and/or radially, the centerline of which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point located in said Parcel B, from which the North-west corner thereof bears North 42° 37' 53" West 3994.48 feet; thence from said point of beginning North 89° 38' 00" West 20.00 feet; thence curving to the right on an arc of 706.00 feet radius, said arc being subtended by a chord bearing North 85° 06' 44" West 111.30 feet; thence South 09° 06' 17" West 173.06 feet.



G. E. Moeller

R. C. E. 6733

YOLO ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, INC.

WOODLAND PH. 662-4346 • DAVIS PH. 753-2515 • WEST SACTO PH. 371-7076

Post Office Box 1364 1216 Fyoma Avenue
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA
95695

Exhibit "A"

January 20, 1975


DESCRIPTION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES EASEMENT TO BE ABANDONED
BY COUNTY OF YOLO

(Deeded to County January 8, 1971, Vol. 963 O. R. 476 - Parcel 1)

Being a portion of Parcel "B" as shown on that certain map recorded in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys at page 59, Official Yolo County Records, and being a portion of Section 18, T. 8 N., R. 3 E., M. D. B. and M., being more particularly described as follows:

1. A strip of land 8 feet wide, the centerline of which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Southerly line of that certain 60 foot drainage easement, deeded from C. Freland Mace and Herbert B. Mace to the County of Yolo, as same appears of record in Book 918 Official Records, Page 499, Yolo County Records. Said point of beginning being distant S. $0^{\circ} 22' 00''$ W. 30.00 feet and N. $89^{\circ} 38' 00''$ W. 344.41 feet from the easterly terminus of that certain course designated (S. $89^{\circ} 38' 00''$ E. 1500.00 feet) as same appears in said deed. Thence from said point of beginning leaving said Southerly line S. $09^{\circ} 35' 50''$ W. 111.65 feet to the Southerly line of said Parcel "B", the end of the herein described line.


G. E. Moeller

R. C. E. 6733

YOLO ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, INC.

WOODLAND PH. 562-4345 • DAVIS PH. 753-2515 • WEST SAC TO PH. 371-7076

Post Office Box 1364 1216 Farm Avenue
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA
95695

Exhibit "A"


January 21, 1975

DESCRIPTION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES EASEMENT TO BE ABANDONEDBY COUNTY OF YOLO

(Deeded to County January 8, 1971, Vol. 963 O. R. 476- Parcel 2)

Being a portion of Parcel "B" as shown on that certain map recorded in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys at page 59, Official Yolo County Records, and being a portion of Section 18, T. 8 N., R. 3 E., M. D. B. and M., being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Northerly line of that certain 60 foot drainage easement, deeded from C. Freland Mace and Herbert B. Mace to the County of Yolo, as same appears of record in Book 918 Official Records at page 499, Yolo County Records. Said point of beginning being distant N. $0^{\circ} 22' 00''$ E. 30.00 feet and N. $89^{\circ} 38' 00''$ W. 160.00 feet from the easterly terminus of that certain course designated (S. $89^{\circ} 38' 00''$ E. 1500.00 feet) as same appears in said deed. Thence, from said point of beginning leaving said Northerly line N. $0^{\circ} 22' 00''$ E. 20.00 feet; thence N. $89^{\circ} 38' 00''$ W. 181.00 feet; thence S. $0^{\circ} 22' 00''$ W. 20.00 feet to the said Northerly line; thence along the said Northerly line S. $89^{\circ} 38' 00''$ E. 181.00 feet to the point of beginning.


G. E. Moeller, R. C. E. 6733

YOLO ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, INC.

WOODLAND PH. 662-4346 • DAVIS PH. 753-2515 • WEST SAC TO PH. 371-7076

Post Office Box 1364 - 1216 Forting Avenue
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA
95695

Exhibit "A"

January 21, 1975


DESCRIPTION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES EASEMENT TO BE ABANDONEDBY COUNTY OF YOLO

(Deeded to County January 8, 1971, Vol. 963 O. R. 476- Parcel 3)

Being a portion of Parcel "B" as shown on that certain map recorded in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys at page 59, Official Yolo County Records, and being a portion of Section 18, T. 8 N., R. 3 E., M. D. B. and M., being more particularly described as follows:

1. A strip of land 30 feet wide, the center line of which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Northerly line of that certain 60 foot drainage easement, deeded from C. Freland Mace and Herbert B. Mace to the County of Yolo, as same appears of record in Book 918 Official Records at page 499 Yolo County Records. Said point of beginning being distant N. 0° 22' 00" E. 30.00 feet and N. 89° 38' 00" W. 211.36 feet from the easterly terminus of that certain course designated (S. 89° 38' 00" E. 1500.00 feet) as same appears in said deed. Thence from said point of beginning leaving said Northerly line N. 05° 38' 20" E. 288.24 feet; thence N. 08° 04' 33" W. 154.32 feet to a point on the Northerly boundary line of Mace Properties, as same appears of record on that certain Grant Deed from El Macero Country Club to El Macero Golf Club, Inc., filed in Book 838 Official Records, page 421 of pages 419 to 424, Yolo County Records the end of the herein described line, (said point bearing S. 62° 26' 20" W. 109.99 feet from the Northeast corner of that certain course designated N. 62° 26' 20" E., 296.10 feet).


G. E. Moeller, R. C. E. 6733

YOLO ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, INC.

WOODLAND PH. 662-4346 • DAVIS PH. 753-2515 • WEST SACTO PH. 371-7076

Post Office Box 1364 1216 Farling Avenue
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA
95695

Exhibit "A"

January 21, 1975

DESCRIPTION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES EASEMENT TO BE ABANDONEDBY COUNTY OF YOLO

(Deeded to County January 8, 1971, Vol 963 O. R. 476 - Parcel 4)

Being a portion of Parcel "B" as shown on that certain map recorded in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys at page 59, Official Yolo County Records, and being a portion of Section 18, T. 8 N., R. 3 E., M. D. B. and M., being more particularly described as follows:

1. A strip of land 30 feet wide, the center line of which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Southerly line of that certain 60 foot drainage easement, deeded from C. Freland Mace and Herbert B. Mace to the County of Yolo, as same appears of record in Book 918 Official Yolo County Records, at page 499. Said point of beginning being distant S. 0° 22' 00"W. 30.00 feet and N. 89° 38' 00" W. 132.38 feet from the easterly terminus of that certain course designated (S. 89° 38' 00" E. 1500.00 feet) as same appears in said deed. Thence from said point of beginning leaving said Southerly line S. 53° 20' 44" E. 160.81 feet to a point that is distant 15 feet at right angles Northerly from the Southerly line of said Parcel "B"; thence along a line parallel with and distant 15 feet at right angles Northerly from the said Southerly line of said Parcel "B" S. 89° 38' 00" E. 1141.95 feet, the end of the herein described line.



G. E. Moeller, R. C. E. 6733

YOLO ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, INC.

WOODLAND PH. 662-4346 • DAVIS PH. 753-2515 • WEST SACTO PH. 371-7076

Post Office Box 1364 1216 Fortna Avenue
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA
95695

Exhibit "A"

January 21, 1975


DESCRIPTION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES EASEMENT TO BE ABANDONEDBY COUNTY OF YOLO

(Deeded to County January 8, 1971, Vol. 963 O. R. 476 - Parcel 5)

Being a portion of Parcel "B" as shown on that certain map recorded in Book 8 of Maps and Surveys at page 59, Official Yolo County Records, and being a portion of Section 18, T. 8 N., R. 3 E., M. D. B. and M., being more particularly described as follows:

1. A strip of land 30 feet wide, the center line of which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Easterly line of that certain 60 foot drainage easement deeded from C. Freland Mace and Herbert B. Mace to the County of Yolo, as same appears of record in Book 918 Official Records at page 499, Yolo County Records. Said point of beginning being distant S. 0° 22' 00" W. 15.00 feet from the easterly terminus of that certain course designated (S. 89° 38' 00" E. 1500.00 feet) as same appears in said deed. Thence from said point of beginning leaving said Easterly line N. 87° 27' 20" E. 1138.38 feet to a point hereafter referred to as Point "A"; thence N. 87° 27' 20" E. 15.02 feet, the end of the herein described line.


G. E. Moeller, R. C. E. 6733

8K

12

COUNTY OF YOLO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Resolution

NO. 75-87

FILED

AUG - 4 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara Rodgers*
Deputy

WHEREAS, Vincent Frugoli is receiving the GOLD MEDAL AWARD as EMIGRANT OF THE YEAR from his native province of Lucca, Italy; and

WHEREAS, Vincent Frugoli has been a distinguished citizen of West Sacramento, Yolo County, California, for many years; and

WHEREAS, through hard work and his unusual and brilliant business acumen, he has become a successful businessman in West Sacramento; and

WHEREAS, in his many appearances before the Board of Supervisors he has displayed his great knowledge of land surveying and zoning matters, completely confusing the Board of Supervisors to such an extent that the Board of Supervisors always says "Yes" to him, whether or not he is right or wrong; and

WHEREAS, he has given unstintingly of his own time to participate in community and church activities to the betterment of the West Sacramento area; and

WHEREAS, Vincent Frugoli is a true, living example that the United States of America is still the land of opportunity for those who are willing to work hard and diligently.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors congratulates Vincent Frugoli on receiving the EMIGRANT OF THE YEAR award; that he justly deserves that award; and wishes him well in his continuing work to make West Sacramento a better place to work and live.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of JULY, 1975 by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand

NOES: None

ABSTAINING: None

ABSENT: None

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Back

FILED

AUG-4 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75-88 By PETE E. LUCAS DEPUTY

(Resolution Accepting Quit Claim Deeds
from JAMES T. RALPH and MARY M. RALPH re:
WEIMAR MEDICAL CENTER)

WHEREAS, James T. Ralph has executed a Quit Claim Deed,
dated July 24, 1975, quit claiming all of his right, title and
interest in that certain property commonly described as the Weimar
Medical Center, to the Counties of Amador, Contra Costa, El Dorado,
Inyo, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, Sierra, Solano, Sutter,
Tuolumne, Yolo and Yuba; and

WHEREAS, Mary M. Ralph, wife of James T. Ralph has execu-
ted a Quit Claim Deed, dated March 8, 1975, quit claiming all of
her right, title and interest in that certain property commonly
described as the Weimar Medical Center to the Counties of Amador,
Contra Costa, El Dorado, Inyo, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento,
Sierra, Solano, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yolo and Yuba;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Yolo, State of California, hereby accepts the
aforesaid Quit Claim Deeds and authorizes and directs the Placer
County Counsel to record said Deeds in the Official Records of
Placer County.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
County of Yolo, State of California, this 4th day of August,
1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

BETSY A. MARCHAND

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY PETE E. LUCAS
DEPUTY
(SEAL)

Book

FILED

AUG 11 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-89

WHEREAS, in the day to day operation of Yolo County business, various county departments have found it necessary to maintain certain cash revolving and cash difference funds independent of the County Treasury system; and

WHEREAS, these funds are used for the following purposes:

1. For making change as authorized by Government Code Section 29325.
2. To pay for services or materials which constitute a legal charge against the County as authorized by Government Code Sections 29326 and 29327.
3. In the case of the District Attorney, Sheriff and Public Administrator, to pay such expenses as authorized by Government Code Section 29400-29408, 29430-29441, and 29460-29464, respectively.
4. To make up cash shortages resulting from cashing operations as authorized by Government Code Sections 29370-29381; and

WHEREAS, Article 2 (commencing at Section 29320) and Articles 4 to 7, inclusive (commencing at Section 29370) of Chapter 2, Division 3, Title 3, of the Government Code, set forth the authority for the creation, administration and control of such funds; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 29377 requires written application to its Board of Supervisors for replenishment of departmental cash shortages; written approval of the Auditor-Controller; replenishment being authorized after an investigation conducted by the District Attorney pursuant to Government Code Section 29390; and

WHEREAS, most cash differences are of small amounts and they generally do not involve fraud or gross negligence; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 29380.1 provides that the Board of Supervisors may provide that applications to have cash difference funds replenished shall be made with the Auditor instead of the Board and may authorize the Auditor to perform the functions of the Board to replenish the cash difference fund and to transfer money in the overage fund to the General Fund of the County;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that pursuant to Government Code Section 29380.1 the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the requirement of Government Code Section 29377 for submission of written application to the Board of Supervisors for replenishment of departmental cash difference funds, is satisfied by submission to the Auditor-Controller of a claim which itemized, by employee and date, all cash shortages incurred. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that this resolution constitutes its authorization, required by Government Code Section 29378, for the Auditor-Controller to draw his warrant for replenishment of departmental cash difference funds;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Auditor-Controller shall make an investigation if any employee experiences cumulative shortages of \$25.00 or more in one day, or \$100.00 or more in one fiscal year, and, if the investigation reveals any reasonable possibility of fraud or gross negligence on the part of the employee, the Auditor-Controller shall submit a report of the investigation to the Board of Supervisors with copy to the District Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all Revolving Fund Custodians within five (5) working days after close of the fiscal year shall submit a written report to the Auditor-Controller setting forth any cash shortages

or overages incurred during that year, itemized by employee name, date and amount.


BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that pursuant to Government Code Section 29380.1 the Auditor shall render a written report and give account to the Board of the cash difference fund and the overage fund at the end of each fiscal year and at such other times as the Board directs.

Passed and adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 11th day of August, 1975, by the following vote:

Ayes _____ Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton.

Noes _____ None.

Absent _____ Marchand.



~~DAVID L. BARTON, VICE-CHAIRMAN, Of~~
the Board of Supervisors, Yolo County

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
County Clerk

By _____ Deputy

Back

FILED

AUG 11 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

Paulina Rodgers

RESOLUTION NO. 75-90

WHEREAS, the United States Army Corp of Engineers, San Francisco Office, published a public brochure dated August, 1972, which proposed to use a large part of Yolo County as a waste disposal site, and;

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo, on April 1973, sent a Resolution to the same office of Corp of Engineers, stating that they were unalterably opposed to the plan for dumping wastewater within the boundaries of Yolo County, and;

WHEREAS, on April 13, 1973, the United States Army Engineer District, located in San Francisco, held an environmental workshop concerning wastewater disposal plans, at which time the County of Yolo expressed their displeasure of such plans within the County, and

WHEREAS, on February 13, 1974, the County of Yolo, along with the Cities of the County, and many other citizens groups, and public agencies within the County, presented a comprehensive statement before the California Regional Water Quality Control Board - Central Valley Region of the State of California, expressing the County's concern over any plan which would provide a large scale dumping of wastewaters within the boundaries of Yolo County and;

WHEREAS, on July 22, 1975 the South Pacific Division of the Corp of Engineers issued a public notice of Interim Report on a San Francisco Bay and Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Region, California Water Quality and Waste Disposal investigation, in which it was stated that no major social, ecological or economic factors have been identified that would negate wastewater management by land application.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Yolo go on record to the Board of Engineers for the Corp of Engineers, stating that any plan to dispose of wastewaters within the


1 boundaries of the County of Yolo would have tremendous social,
2 ecological, economical impacts upon this County.

3 PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 11th day of August,
4 1975, by the following vote:

5 AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton.


6 NOES: None.

7 ABSENT: Marchand.

8 
9 VICE-CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10 ATTEST:

11 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, COUNTY CLERK

12
13 BY  Deputy

14 (SEAL)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

FILED

AUG 18 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-91

(Grade Crossing Protection - C.R. 96 @ S.P.R.R. Crossing #AE 92.1)

WHEREAS, the State of California, Department of Transportation has presented a program supplement No. 5, to Local Agency - State Agreement #1 (Yolo County Agreement #75-91) covering the Federal Aid Safer Roads Demonstration Program;

AND WHEREAS Yolo County Board of Supervisor's Minute Order #74-1.98 dated December 9, 1974 has heretofore been adopted approving said Safer Roads Demonstration Program:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute said "Program Supplement No. 5" on behalf of the County of Yolo:

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of August, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Boby G. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

By *Barbara Rodgers* DEPUTY

(Seal)

Approved as to Form

Dated _____

Robert M. [Signature]
County Counsel of Yolo County
Deputy

PROGRAM

of

LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

in the

FILED

AUG 18 1975

County of YoloLocal Agency

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

Pursuant to the Federal Highway Safety Act, the attached "Program" of Federal Aid Projects marked "Exhibit B" is hereby incorporated in that Master Agreement for the Federal-Aid Program which was entered into between the above named LOCAL AGENCY and the STATE on March 24, 1975, and is subject to all of the terms and conditions thereof.

The subject program is adopted in accordance with Paragraph 2 of Article II of the aforementioned agreement under authority of CLY/County Resolution No. 75-91 approved by the CLY COMMITTEE/Board of Supervisors on August 18, 1975 (see copy attached).

County of YoloLocal Agency

By

B. A. Marchand
Title

Attest:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN

Clerk

BY

Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

Approved for State

HEINZ HECKEROTH

Assistant Director, Highways

By

[Signature]
Chief, Office of Local Assistance

Department of Transportation

Approved as to Form

Dated

[Signature]
County Counsel of Yolo County

Deputy

PROGRAM

EXHIBIT B

of

LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

DATE: July 25, 1975

PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT NO.: 5

LOCAL AGENCY: County of Yolo

Project No.	Location & Description	Total Cost (Est.)	Federal Funds	Matching Funds* 10%
SRS-000S(48)	In the County of Yolo, on County Road 96, at Railroad Grade Xing AE 92.1	\$29,000	\$26,100	\$2,900

*Local Agency Funds unless
otherwise specified

The last sentence of Part 4, Article V, of the Master Agreement for the Federal-Aid (Urban System/ Safety Improvement) Program between the County of Yolo, and the State on March 24, 1975, regarding the time which project documents must be retained is superseded. Following final settlement of the project accounts with the Federal Highway Administration, such records and documents may be microfilmed at the option of LOCAL AGENCY but in any event shall be retained for a 3-year period after submission of the final voucher or a 4-year period from the date of final payment under the contract, whichever is longer.

- Special Covenants or Remarks:
1. All maintenance, involving the physical condition and the operation of the improvements, referred to in Article VI MAINTENANCE of the aforementioned Master Agreement will be performed by the Southern Pacific Transportation Company at regular intervals, as required for efficient operation of the completed improvements, and in a manner satisfactory to the authorized representatives of The United States.
 2. The cost of maintenance of the crossing protection shall be as allocated by Public Utilities Commission.
 3. The State will administer the project.

AUG 18 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Balmain Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-92
(Rescinding Resolution No. 75-77 and establishing
fees for disposal of waste materials at the Yolo
County Solid Waste Disposal Site)

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Central Landfill Solid Waste
Disposal Site will begin accepting waste materials for disposal
during the month of July, 1975; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to establish fees for disposal
of waste materials at said site,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That Resolution No. 75-77 is hereby rescinded
and replaced by this Resolution.

2. The following definitions shall apply to all
vehicles entering the site for disposal of
refuse:

A. Commercial Trucks:

All trucks and/or truck and trailer
combinations with load capacities of
one ton or more per vehicle.

B. Autos:

All motor vehicles with non-commercial
licenses.

C. Pickups:

All pickups and vans of 3/4 ton load
capacity and less, displaying commercial
license plates.

D. Small trailers:

All trailers of 8 feet in length or less.

E. Racks:

Sideboards on pickups or small trailers
exceeding a dimension of three feet above
the bed of the pickup or small trailer.

F. Bulky Wastes:

Large items of solid waste including
appliances, furniture, large auto parts,
trees, branches, stumps and other over-
size wastes whose large size precludes
or complicates their handling by normal
collection, processing or disposal methods.

3. The following Fee Schedule shall apply to the disposal of all wastes at the Disposal Site:

Commercial Trucks	\$2.25 per ton
Autos	0.50 each
Small Trailers	1.00 each
Pickups	1.00 each
Small Trailers or Pickups with racks or loads greater than 3 feet in height above the bed	1.50 each
Bulky Wastes	3.50 per ton
Auto Tires	0.25 each
Truck Tires (rim size 16" through 22")	1.00 each
Tractor Tires (rim size 24" & larger)	2.00 each
Bulk Tires (whole)	25.00 per ton
(split)	8.50 per ton
(shredded)	2.25 per ton
Clean dirt, gravel, etc.	-0-
Septic, Cannery & Similar Liquid Wastes	3.00 per ton
Minimum cash fee for weighed materials	1.00
Separated Recoverable materials	-0-
Special Services	At cost as determined by the Dept. of Public Works

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of August 1975 by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY *Barbara Rodgers* Deputy

(Seal)

Approved as to Form

Dated

B. P. Hest
County Counsel of Yolo County

Deputy

4 (21)
FILED

AUG 18 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Pauline A. Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-93
(Federal Aid Off-System Roads)

WHEREAS, on July 18, 1975, the Department forwarded official notice of amounts due Yolo County under the Federal-Aid Highway Acts, in accordance with the provisions of Section 2530 of the Streets and Highways Code, as follows:

Federal Aid Off-System Roads - \$69,829.00

WHEREAS, Section 2532 of the Streets and Highways Code requires the County to provide \$14,364.00 to match said FAOS Funds.

WHEREAS, Section 2532 of the Streets and Highways Code requires the County within 60 days of receiving such notice to notify the Department as to what amount of the apportionment the County wishes to claim and to agree to provide any County matching funds as may be necessary.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the County of Yolo does hereby notify the Department that the County of Yolo claims the said apportionment and the whole thereof, and that the County of Yolo does hereby agree to provide any County matching funds required.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of August, 1975 by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE C. HENIGAN, CLERK

Pauline A. Rodgers Deputy
(Seal)

Approved as to form

Dated _____

Robert A. ...
County Counsel of Yolo County

DEPUTY

FILED

AUG 18 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Balanced Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-94

(Designating County Road No. 28H from County Road No. 102 to County Road No. 104, a through highway.)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354 and 21355 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorize the designation of any highway as a through highway requiring all vehicles to stop at the entrances thereto:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that County Road No. 28H from County Road 102 to County Road No. 104 is designated a through Highway; and all traffic is required to stop at County Road entrances thereto; and that the Director of Public Works is directed to install stop signs at the County Road entrances to County Road No. 28H:

1. Stopping southbound traffic at County Road No. 103,
2. Stopping southbound traffic at County Road No. 104.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of August, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

B. G. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY Balanced Rodgers (Seal) DEPUTY

Approved as to Form

Dated _____

h. h. h. h. h.
County Counsel of Yolo County
Deputy

FILED

AUG 18 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Barbara A. Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-95

(Authorizing Stop Signs on County Road No. 22 at
County Road No. 94B)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354, and 21355 of the
Vehicle Code of the State of California authorizes the designation
of any intersection as a stop intersection requiring all vehicles
to stop at one or more entrances to said intersection.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the
intersection of County Road No. 22 and County Road No. 94B is
hereby designated a stop intersection and that all eastbound
and westbound traffic on County Road No. 22 is hereby required to
stop at the entrances to said intersection, and that the Director
of Public Works is hereby directed to install stop signs on
County Road No. 22 facing eastbound and westbound traffic at its
intersection with County Road No. 94B.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County
of Yolo, State of California, on the 18th day of August,
1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Barbara A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY *Barbara A. Rodgers* DEPUTY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Robert A. Bart
County Counsel
de p.m.

FILED

AUG 12 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By *Pauline A. Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-96

(Resolution Declaring and Establishing County Service Area No. 6 (Snowball), Declaring, Determining and Establishing the Boundaries thereof, and Types of Services to be Performed Therein)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors initiated proceedings for the establishment of the County Service Area No. 6 (Snowball) upon a written request therefor signed by two members of this Board and filed with this Board, by passing and adopting Resolution No. 75-82, Resolution of Intention to Establish a County Service Area, in the manner required by law; and

WHEREAS, notice of a hearing thereon was given by the Clerk of this Board in the manner required by law; and

WHEREAS, such a hearing was held and completed on the 18th day of August, 1975; and

WHEREAS, all of the proceedings taken in this manner were conducted in the manner required by law; and

WHEREAS, it appears in the judgment of this Board to be in the best interest of the County of Yolo to do so;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED, DECLARED, ESTABLISHED AND FOUND as follows:

1. All of the foregoing recitals are true and correct;
2. The proceedings prior hereto in this matter were all valid and conducted in conformity with the requirements of law;
3. Each of the services, to wit:

repair, operation, control and maintenance of the levees within the boundaries of the proposed district, including those services currently rendered, and those levees currently maintained by LEVEE MAINTENANCE AREA NO. 6, described in the resolution of intention in this matter, is in entirety a miscellaneous extended county service which should be provided on an extended basis within the county service area and is specified in the request for initiation of proceedings for the formation of the county

1 service area;

2 4. County Service Area No. 6 (Snowball) is hereby estab-
3 lished.

4 5. The boundaries of the County Service Area No. 6 (Snow-
5 ball) are determined and established to be as set forth in Exhibit
6 "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

7 6. The types of services to be performed therein are to
8 be as follows: repair, operation, control and maintenance of the
9 levees within the boundaries of the proposed district, including
10 those services currently rendered, and those levees currently main-
11 tained by LEVEE MAINTENANCE AREA NO. 6.

12 7. This Board certifies that a Negative Declaration has
13 been completed in compliance with the California Environmental
14 Quality Act and the Guidelines promulgated thereunder and that
15 this Board has reviewed and considered the information contained
16 therein.

17 8. The Clerk of this Board is instructed to file a Notice
18 of Determination stating as follows:

- 19 a. The decision to approve the project;
20 b. The determination that the project will not have
21 a significant effect on the environment; and
22 c. The statement that no EIR has been prepared.

23 9. The Clerk of this Board is ordered to file certified
24 copies of this resolution together with a map or plat indicating
25 the boundaries of the County Service Area with the County Assessor
26 and the State Board of Equalization on or before December 31st,
27 1975.

28 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
29 County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of August,
30 1975, by the following vote:

31 /////

32 /////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

BY *Pauline A. Rodgers*
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

"EXHIBIT A"

DISTRICT LEGAL DESCRIPTION

All That Real Property, Situate in The County of Yolo, State of California, Formerly Designated As Maintenance Area No. 6, Being Portions of Townships 11 North Range 2 East and Township 11 North Range 3 East M.D.B. & M. and More Particularly Described As Follows:

Beginning At The Intersection Of The South Line Of Section 36 Township 11 North, Range 2 East and The East Line of The Knights Landing Ridge Cut; Thence Northwesterly Up and Along Said East Line To The South Easterly Line of Sycamore Slough; Thence North Easterly Along Said Sycamore Slough To The Intersection Thereof With The Southerly Line of The Sacramento River; Thence Southeasterly Down and Along The Meanders of Said Sacramento River To The Intersection Thereof With That Portion Known As Grays Bend, Said Intersection Being The Alignment of Said River As it Existed in The Year 1908; Thence Southwesterly Along Said Grays Bend (Old Sacramento River) To The Intersection Thereof With The South Line of Section 31, Township 11 North, Range 3 East; Thence Along Said South Line Westerly To The Point of Beginning, and Containing 2,531 Acres More or Less.

B/K

FILED

AUG 18 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, C.A.

By *Barbara Rodgers*
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 75-97

(Resolution Finding Proceedings for the Establishment of COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 6 (SNOWBALL) to be Valid and in Conformity with the Requirements of Law)

WHEREAS, an unincorporated area of the County of Yolo is proposed to be established as COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 6 (SNOWBALL) pursuant to Chapter 2.2 (commencing with § 25210.1) of Part 2 of Division 2 of Title 3 of the Government Code; and

WHEREAS, the approval of the proposal by the Yolo County Local Agency Formation Commission has been obtained pursuant to Chapter 6.6 (commencing with § 54773) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code in the form of Resolution No. 75-3 of that Commission entitled "Resolution Making Determinations that Application for Formation of COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 6 (SNOWBALL) is Approved" adopted on March 11, 1975; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors pursuant to Government Code § 25210.11 initiated the proceedings for the establishment of the county service area by the adoption on August 18th, 1975, of Resolution No. 75-96, resolution of intention to establish a county service area, which resolution stated that:

A. A county service area is proposed to be established under the terms of the mentioned statute in the unincorporated area of the County of Yolo; the boundaries of the territory proposed for inclusion in the area are set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof;

B. The name proposed for said county service area is COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 6 (SNOWBALL);

C. The type of extended county services proposed to be provided in the area pursuant to said Chapter is as follows: repair, operation, control and maintenance of the levees within the boundaries of the proposed district, including those services currently rendered, and those levees currently maintained by LEVEE

1 MAINTENANCE AREA NO. 6, described in the resolution of intention
2 in this matter.

3 D. Except where funds are otherwise available from
4 service charges collected pursuant to § 25210.77(a) of the Cali-
5 fornia Government Code, a tax sufficient to pay for all such
6 services which are furnished on an extended basis will be annually
7 levied upon all taxable property within such area.

8 E. On August 18, 1975, at 11:00 o'clock A. M., a time
9 not less than thirty (30) nor more than sixty (60) days after the
10 adoption of this resolution, a public hearing was held on the
11 establishment of said area; and

12 WHEREAS, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors published
13 notice of said hearing pursuant to Government Code § 6061 in the
14 Daily Democrat, a newspaper of general circulation
15 published in the County on the 17th day of July, 1975,
16 and that said publication was complete at least seven (7) days
17 prior to the date of said hearing; and

18 WHEREAS, said notice contains the text of the resolution,
19 stated the time and place for the hearing, and stated that at the
20 hearing the testimony of all interested persons or taxpayers for
21 or the furnishing of specified types of extended services will be
22 heard; and

23 WHEREAS, a public hearing was held at the time and place
24 fixed by said resolution of intention to establish a county
25 service area and set forth in said notice and hearing and was con-
26 cluded on August 18, 1975, and within thirty (30) days; and

27 WHEREAS, no written protests against the establishment of
28 the area, the extent of the area or the furnishing of specified
29 types of extended services within the area were filed with the
30 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on or before the time fixed for
31 the hearing of such protests, and no protests were made orally at
32 the hearing; and

1 WHEREAS, no written protests pertaining to the regularity
2 or sufficiency of the proceedings were filed with the Clerk of the
3 Board of Supervisors on or before the time fixed for the hearing;
4 and

5 WHEREAS, at said public hearing there was presented to this
6 Board of Supervisors a Negative Declaration completed by the Local
7 Agency Formation Commission of the County of Yolo as lead agency
8 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and
9 the Guidelines promulgated thereunder, which was adopted by that
10 Commission and considered by it in LAPC Proceeding No. 693, and
11 This Board reviewed and considered the information contained
12 therein; and

13 WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the hearing, this Board of
14 Supervisors found and determined that with the exception of exist-
15 ing county services and facilities at existing levels each of the
16 services described in the resolution of intention in entirety is an
17 extended county service; and

18 WHEREAS, after making said finding, this Board of Super-
19 visors passed upon all protests; and

20 WHEREAS, it appears that there was neither a written pro-
21 test of 50% or more of the registered voters residing within the
22 territory proposed to be included in COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 6
23 (SNOWBALL) nor a written protest by the owners of one-half or
24 more of the value of the land and improvements in the territory
25 proposed to be included in said area as shown by the last equalized
26 assessment roll, against the establishment of the area of the
27 furnishing of a specified type or types of extended service within
28 the area,

29 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FOUND, ORDERED AND RESOLVED as
30 follows:

31 1. That each of the foregoing recitals is true and
32 correct.

1 2. That all the proceedings heretofore taken in this
2 matter and all of the proceedings hereinabove recited are valid
3 and in conformity with the requirements of law, including Chapter
4 2.2 (commencing with § 25210.1) of Part 2 of Division 2 of Title 3
5 of the Government Code of the State of California.

6 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
7 County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of August,
8 1975, by the following vote:

9 AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

10 NOES: None.

11 ABSENT: None.

12
13 *Bakey A. Marchand*
14 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
15 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

16
17 ATTEST:

18 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

19 *Boulton Rodgers*
20 BY DEPUTY

21 (SEAL)

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

"EXHIBIT A"

DISTRICT LEGAL DESCRIPTION

All That Real Property, Situate in The County of Yolo, State of California, Formerly Designated As Maintenance Area No. 6, Being Portions of Townships 11 North Range 2 East and Township 11 North Range 3 East M.D.B. & M. and More Particularly Described As Follows:

Beginning At The Intersection Of The South Line Of Section 36 Township 11 North, Range 2 East and The East Line of The Knight's Landing Ridge Cut; Thence Northwesterly Up and Along Said East Line To The South Easterly Line of Sycamore Slough; Thence North Easterly Along Said Sycamore Slough To The Intersection Thereof With The Southerly Line of The Sacramento River; Thence Southeasterly Down and Along The Meanders of Said Sacramento River To The Intersection Thereof With That Portion Known As Grays Bend, Said Intersection Being The Alignment of Said River As it Existed in The Year 1908; Thence Southwesterly Along Said Grays Bend (Old Sacramento River) To The Intersection Thereof With The South Line of Section 31, Township 11 North, Range 3 East; Thence Along Said South Line Westerly To The Point of Beginning, and Containing 2,531 Acres More or Less.

FILED

AUG 18 1975

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75-98 by *Barbara Rodgers* Deputy

(Resolution Proclaiming and Calling
a Maximum Tax Rate Election for
COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 6 (SNOWBALL))

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has established COUNTY
SERVICE AREA NO. 6 (SNOWBALL) by Resolution No. 75-96; and

WHEREAS, the boundaries of said COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 6
(SNOWBALL) are as set forth in said Resolution as Exhibit "A"
therein; and

WHEREAS, the Revenue and Taxation Code § 2286 provides
that a maximum property tax rate in such a district, to be valid,
must be approved by a majority vote of the qualified voters therein;
and

WHEREAS, Revenue and Taxation Code § 2263.2 provides that
the formation of such a district as this County Service Area is
not effective for property tax purposes until and unless a maximum
property tax rate has been established by the voters of the area;
and

WHEREAS, this Board desires to set such a maximum tax rate
in said County Service Area by such an election,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. This Board does hereby proclaim and call said election
to be held in COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 6 (SNOWBALL) on the 4th
day of November, 1975.

2. The measure to be submitted to the voters of said area
is as follows:

"Shall a maximum tax rate of \$1.78
per \$100 assessed valuation, for COUNTY
SERVICE AREA NO. 6 (SNOWBALL), be
approved?"

3. This Board finds and determines that there are more
than 250 qualified voters eligible to vote in this election. For
this reason, the County Clerk is hereby directed not to use the

1 mailed ballot procedure for this election.

2 4. The County Clerk is directed to:

3 A. Not less than fifteen (15) days nor more than
4 thirty (30) days before said date of this election, compile the
5 index of voters eligible to vote in the election as of the 30th
6 day preceding said election, establish the election board, precinct
7 boards, and precincts, as needed, and mail out the sample ballots.

8 B. As a notice of this election to the voters of said
9 area, either post a copy of this Resolution and Proclamation in an
10 appropriate place, or cause a copy to be published in the County
11 pursuant to Government Code § 6061, no fewer than 44 days before
12 said date of this election.

13 C. Following the holding of this election, to prepare
14 and render to the district a statement of his costs and charges
15 for said services rendered by him in the matter of this election.

16 PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the
17 County of Yolo, State of California, this 18th day of August,
18 1975, by the following vote:

19 AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

20 NOES: None.

21 ABSENT: None.

22
23 *Boley A. Marchand*
24 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
25 COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

26 ATTEST:

27 LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

28 BY *Barbara Rodgers*
29 DEPUTY

30 (SEAL)

31

32

"EXHIBIT A"

DISTRICT LEGAL DESCRIPTION

All That Real Property, Situate in The County of Yolo, State of California, Formerly Designated As Maintenance Area No. 6, Being Portions of Township 11 North Range 2 East and Township 11 North Range 3 East M.D.B. & M. and More Particularly Described As Follows:

Beginning At The Intersection Of The South Line Of Section 36 Township 11 North, Range 2 East and The East Line of The Knights Landing Ridge Cut; Thence Northwesterly Up and Along Said East Line To The South Easterly Line of Sycamore Slough; Thence North Easterly Along Said Sycamore Slough To The Intersection Thereof With The Southerly Line of The Sacramento River; Thence Southeasterly Down and Along The Meanders of Said Sacramento River To The Intersection Thereof With That Portion Known As Grays Bend, Said Intersection Being The Alignment of Said River As it Existed in The Year 1908; Thence Southwesterly Along Said Grays Bend (Old Sacramento River) To The Intersection Thereof With The South Line of Section 31, Township 11 North Range 3 East; Thence Along Said South Line Westerly To The Point of Beginning, and Containing 2,531 Acres More or Less.

back

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN
By *Edith E. Lucas*
Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 75-99
(Approving S.P.R.R. Agreements for Crossings
at County Road 1 and County Road 6)

WHEREAS the Southern Pacific Transportation Company has submitted Easement and Agreements for upgrading railroad grade crossings at County Road 1 and County Road 6 for execution by the County of Yolo;

AND WHEREAS the County has previously approved State agreements and program supplements for the expenditure of Federal Funds for the improvements to said crossings;

AND WHEREAS the County is willing to contribute its share of funding for the improvement of said crossings:

NOW, THEREFOR, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California is hereby authorized to execute agreements for improvements to railroad grade crossings at Mile Posts AE-103.0 (C.R. 6) and AE-106.4 (C.R. 1)

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 25th day of August, 1975, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Thompson, Edmonds, Barton, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

Laurence P. Henigan
County Clerk

Edith E. Lucas

Approved as to form

[Signature]
County of Yolo